

[7]
Confidential No. 83
1891

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

DATED 22. 5. 91.

976
eries. Mis

Confidential.

copy sent to Press

ed for signature

patched 23. 5. 91

[Despatch Abstract.]

Police Probationers Passing

upon report of the S. G. A.

BACK PAPER, No. *127* of 18 *98*

FURTHER PAPER, No. *31* of 18 *98*

Spare copies.

Struck	..	..	..
Issued	..	..	..

Total ..
In Stock ..

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 , No.

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT--A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED {
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.--
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

1873

Prolet 7

Cs
(2mm order)

Ind. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.
 Again G.O., dated 18 , No.
 Current No. of 18 , dated from

(*Confid.*) Current No. 203 } of 1891, dated 20th May. from *Lt. of Police*
 being *Lt. C. A. 2137*

Order of 22nd May 91. No. 975

The *Lt. of Police* will inform
 Messrs *Good & Dunnington* that
 unless more favorably reported
 on they will not be confirmed
 in the grade of Assistant *Lt.*
2 Messrs Goodale & Powell should
 be warned that they will be
 given further trial until the
 end of 1891 & if not favorably
 reported upon then that their
 services will be dispensed with.

(From orders)

20 May.

Lt. of Police. Dated 20/5

No. *2646*

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
 Madras, *30th April 1891.*

FROM

Colonel G. A. Porteous

Ag. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE

Chief Secretary

to Government

Colacamund

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to submit the report
 required by G.O. No. 2388 (Judicial) of 22nd October
 1887. No report is submitted under G.O. No. 288
 20th October 1886 all Probationers {except one
 very recently appointed} having completed the
 tests.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant.

Wm. A. Stewart Colonel
Ag. Inspr. Genl. of Police

1673 7. 30. 10. 90 + 1/2

C/S
R 19/5

Confidential
Judicial (1887) DEPARTMENT.
Current No. 2437

H.W.
to care

For Orders.

All the probationers, except
Mr. Hannington recently
appointed, have passed their
tests.

In L.O. 1673 7. 30. 10. 90, Mr.
Lonsdale was warned that
should he fail again his
services would be dispensed
with. The I.G. now reports on
him that "he just managed
to scrape through his depart-
mental paper his answers
were very poor". The same
remark is entered against Mr.
Powell who was also warned
in the above L.O. Regarding
Mr. Bradley the other officer
on whom remarks were passed
in the last report the I.G.
reports "Passed fair examination
but it is too soon to judge of
his work as Assistant Supdt."

v. 91.

6 May

FROM

Col. C. A. Porteous
Inspector General

of Police.

No. 2616

Dated 30

Received 25

April 1887

No.

One Enclosure

SUBJECT.

Similar General Report required
by L.O. 2388 (Judicial) of
22nd Dec 1887 on Probationers.

CHIEF SECRETARY
18 MAY 91

I must start from Col. Porteous' report.
The three men, Hood - Hannington - Lonsdale
& Powell should be dispensed with. The intention
of the Statute that all the bad men sh^d be got rid of.

Which three men Hood & Hannington should be removed unless more favorably
reported on they will not be confined in the grade of Assistant
Supdt. Lonsdale and Powell should be given further trial till end of 1891 and if not
improved upon then they be dispensed with.
I am sure that in firing these young men they are doing a good work, but if the first
three are not done good practical work the others will be
those who do not show good practical work the others will be
the same.

Statement shewing names of all Probationers with a general

No.	Names	Date of appointment to Police as Ordinary Inspector	As a Probationer	District to which stands posted	do
1.	Mr. R. Hood		14. 1. 85	Malabar	Cuddapah
2.	" E. W. Lushington		2. 4. 86	Tanjore	Tanjore
	" P. B. Thomas	30 4 84	10 4 88	Ganjam	Jaypore
	" B. C. Leggatt	3 6 88	18 9 88	Madura	Tinnevely
	" A. E. L. Mainwaring	9 5 88	22 2 89	Coimbatore	Vizagapatam (Parvathipur)
	F. A. Dene	9 10 88	1 2 89	Chingleput	Malabar (Malapuram)
	W. E. Lonsdale *	29. 8. 88	31. 8. 88		
	" R. M. Powell *	3 4 89	5		
9	" C. L. Bidie				
10	" W. A. Bradley				

18 MAY 91

It has been from Mr. Portman's report. The Hon. Secy. Hood - Lushington, Lonsdale & Powell will be disappointed with. The interests of the Native that all the said men sh^d. be got rid of.

It is to be borne in mind that unless more favorably the trade of Amritsar. Since further trial till end of 1891 and if not be dispensed with.

The Native has some good in being young, untidy, than, but if the forest is those who do not show good character work the sterling stuff out.

CONFIDENTIAL No. 213

[9]

Jud. DEPARTMENT.

From

Col. Malabar

Despatch to
G.O. 986 Judl
23. 5. 91

No.

Dated 10
Received 12 } 5. 91
Enclosures One

SUBJECT.

Escheat Case in Mysore.

My attorney was received
I came back as Revenue Insp.
claim, for the jewels and
all proceeds of the paddy & cattle,
was presented by the Taluk Shroffs
father, manantaddy Padumiah
Taragan, and both claims were
dealt with in my report N^o 161 dated
28th Sept. 1884.

After I had informed the Tahsildar
that I was coming back to Wyznad,
he wrote to me, saying he wanted
my assistance to investigate Putti's
case. Soon after I joined I received
a private note from the Tahsildar,
Chattru, saying he wanted to see me
at his house & would wait for me
till 2 P.M. I forgot the date of the letter,
but I will produce it. I went to the
Tahsildar's house & he said to me
"why do you interfere in these claim
petitions?" He said in Malayalam
"Entimaittu kadali kiyam kalakkannu?"
i.e. 'why do you mix asafotida in
the sea?' He added that the Sirkar
was rich & the Sirkar & the manantaddy
Padumiah Taragan poor & the property
was no great matter to the Sirkar.

say
the o
narikodan Patham to Taragan,
155 of " had then
dangerous N^o 161 of 28th

1. *unreluctant* Dattar was
but I would do so if I rec^d
an order from the Tahsildar
I was told by some clerks th^t
the District magst was satisfied,
but I received no orders. In may
Mr D'Cur went away & Chattru
came back for him. Chattru sent
a clerk, the 4th clerk Subrajan Dattar,
to sell the cattle & paddy. They were
worth Rs 2000, but only Rs 1000 had
been realized.

Then Kalpetta Kulu Nair brought
his civil suit, making the collector
Mr Melwattar 1st Defendant. The collector
called for an inventory and full report
and I submitted them in my report
no 45 d/27 March 1882. On hearing of
this Kulu Nair, withdrew his suit. The
Munsiff informed the collector and
I was told that the collector then
called for the inventory of the property
and a detailed report. Mr D'Cur
returned to Wynad for a week or two
and my report no 46 dated 27 March
1882 was made to him. The order from
the collector after the withdrawal of the
suit was received by Chattru.

I made 3 other reports in 1883 regard
this & other Rs in July 1884

our statement made
Luzer, late Reem.

I replied ——— & do my
with regard to the second
mentioned in my petition & 7th had
Vaddu Patti I reported that the estate 8th then
Jannamkaram be executed. The Tahsildar did
send on my report, but investigation
Kalpetta Kulu Nair to bring a suit
in the munsiff's court. I say this be-
cause from the Tahsildar's conduct
and certain letters he wrote to me, it
I knew he was at the bottom of it.
I will produce these letters. While
I was making the enquiry in 1881,
I found a 'hidden store' in a corner of
the Vaddu compound consisting of 12 gold
& 12 silver ornaments and I was pressed
to keep them. I refused, sent for a
goldsmith, had the articles weighed
and sent a list, countersigned by the
head constable and others, to the then
Tahsildar, Mr D'Cur. I sent a report
at the same time. I had originally
enquired into this case under the order
of the Tahsildar Mr D'Cur. After I
had reported the finding of the jewel
some misrepresentations were made
to the Tahsildar and he sent me an
order saying that he had understood
that the occupant of the property
Narikodan Patti Nair, a
dangerous no 161 d/28th had then

me to attach the property
Taragan was now a Sattar and
must be released. I
over all the property including
wrote to the Taragan and obtained
in him a kychik binding himself
produce them when called upon.
I forwarded the kychik to the Tahsildar
in February 1881. In March 1881 the
Taragan died. The head constable
of Kalpetta & the Ansam Adhikari
of Etanattassur came & made inquiry,
but took no steps. I heard of this
and came from Battery, attached
the property, deposited it all with
Samu Patter & Nallacunta Patter, took
their kychik and sent it with a report
to the Tahsildar Sub-Div. The head constable
& the Adhikari duly signed the kychik
as witnesses. The Ansam Adhikari,
S. Anantan Nair, is nearly related
to the Kalpetta Nair. After signing the
kychik, he & the head constable made
a false report to the Vayitri magte, McKays,
who forwarded their report to the District
magte. They accused me of having
taken away all the moveable property
& documents. The District magistrate
ordered that I should produce
the property before the Sub Magistrate
at Vayitri and give an explanation.
I replied that I could not produce

I replied that I must do my
duty to my masters. He wanted me
to take back the report I had
written, but I refused. He then
got the Taluk Sheristadar, Pannikod
Eresha Menon, now pensioned to send
me a letter by Brahmamuni Taragan,
the Shroff's elder brother, asking me
to let him off and to take my report
back. I have got the letter and will
produce it. I gave the Taragan a verbal
answer that I would not do what
was asked even if my father had
asked me.

8th May 1891.

True Extract
W. D. Munn
as Collector

The ex-Revenue Inspector states
that he sent the following reports
to the Tahsildar concerning this estate.

Report No 250 of 3^d December 1880

" No 10 of 7th January 1881

" No 51 of 8th February 1881

" No 83 of 15th March 1881

" No 109 of 27 April 1881

" No 149 of 29 May 1881

" No 45 of 27 March 1882

" No 130 of 19 June 1883

" No 194 of 22^d Sept. 1883

" No 202 of 30th Sept. 1883

" No 142 of 26 August 1884

" No 155 of 1st Sept. 1884

" No 161 of 28th Sept. 1884