

Confidential No. **73-A**
1891.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 27. April 1891

No. 814-A

Series. *Pres.*

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Police Appointment Orders passed
in the case of the appointment of
Mr. R. T. Dr. Mayne, Inspector of
Police, to act as an Assistant
Superintendent & the Minutes
of the Gov. of Madras
thereon.

In H.C. Madras

Please have 25 copies made
Send 2 to Secy S. 2 to Secy J. + 3 up
here.

Please notify A. M. in
the Librarian

Cd
22/5/91

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 18

Spare copies.

Struck 25
Issued

Copy of Note - 2
Copy of Note - 2
Copy of Note - 3

Total ..
In Stock .. 15

Govt. of {1891} Madras.
JUDICIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Prof

12/15

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27 April 1891, No. 814-A

*Minute sent to Mr. Major. Approved 15/8
12/15*

Humble W. B. M.

Recd 1891

Three. ~~Minutes~~ Appointment

Orders passed in the case of the
appt. of Mr. R. J. M. Mayne Inspector
of Police to act as ^{as} Const. Supdt. in the
of Honorable Members the same.

Judicial Department

Minute

*Botacamund 27th April 1891
RN^o 499*

*The President proposes the
following appointment.*

*Mr. Robert John Mannar
Mayne, 2nd class Inspector, to
act as Assistant Superintendent
of Police, Tinnevely District
during the absence of Mr. C.
Leggatt on privilege leave
until Mr.*

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT No.

Minute by the Hon'ble Mr. STOKES, C.S.I., dated 28th April 1891.

When I wrote my note of 20th April, I was perfectly aware of Mr. Mayne's reputation and the opinion held of him by Colonel Porteous. I give full weight to that opinion and I fully believe that Mr. Mayne is a very promising young officer. But not having qualified according to the rules for the post of Assistant Superintendent, he is, in my opinion, unfit for that post and should not have been recommended for it by Colonel Porteous. I feel still constrained to refuse my concurrence to his appointment.

As His Excellency asks what I would propose, I should either cancel or refuse Mr. Leggatt's privilege leave, or granting it, leave the district in the sole charge of the Superintendent for the period which is said to be short. Mr. Leggatt is quite a young officer and may well forego his leave I think.

H. E. STOKES.

ORDER—dated 27 April 1891, No. 814A, Judicial.

The appointment will be gazetted.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Draft order submitted to

the C.S. for approval.

The date is that of the

appl. - Both Hon'ble let Stokes 11/5/91

C.S. have passed the proofs of the

21/5 1891

X
21 May

Def 1/5/91 27/4/91

21/5/91

CONFIDENTIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

Minute by His Excellency the President, dated 17th April 1891.

I propose Mr. Robert John Maunsell Mayne, 2nd-class Inspector, to act as Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tinnevely district, during the absence of Mr. C. B. Leggatt on privilege leave or until further orders.

(Signed) WENLOCK.

Minute by His Excellency the President, dated 23rd April 1891.

Mr. Mayne's nomination to act as Assistant Superintendent of Police at Tinnevely for one month.

I cannot for a moment admit that Mr. Mayne is "unfit" for this appointment. On two different occasions the Inspector-General has reported on Mr. Mayne in these words :—

"Mr. Mayne has passed in every subject, except Criminal Procedure Code. His answers in police departmental paper, which I examined and marked, were *exceedingly* good, and the President of the Board of Examiners remarks that he 'passed his examination in Tamil with great credit.' Mr. Mayne seems to me a *very* promising young officer.—2nd May 1890."

Again, March 19th, 1891 :—

"but having no passed probationer available to take their places, unless His Excellency be pleased to appoint Mr. Mayne, an ordinary Inspector, but a *well qualified* young officer, to the short temporary vacancy in Tinnevely. I trust that His Excellency will see fit to appoint Mr. Mayne to the next vacancy on the list of probationers. He is a young gentleman . . . a good linguist, active and energetic, and *admirably* suited for a police officer."

Having read these remarks, I came to the conclusion that Mr. Mayne was particularly fitted for this post. I can only take the recommendations of the head of the department, and if he is not sufficiently up in his duties as to know whether any particular officer is or is not fitted to take up a temporary appointment for one month, I must say I do not think he is fit to hold the office of Inspector-General. But if the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes still considers Mr. Mayne to be unfit to hold this appointment, in the face of the recommendations of the head of his department, and in the absence of any passed probationer, I should be glad to know what he would propose instead.

WENLOCK.

P.S.—I have not referred to G.O. 27th May 1889, as that only refers to probationers, which have nothing to do with this case.

N.B.—The underlinings of the words quoted are those of the Inspector-General, not mine.—W.

Submitted for H.E. the Governor's approval.

Cd.
14/5

Returned by H.E. 17/5

initialed on com C/ 17/5

Minute by His Excellency the President, dated 23rd April 1891.

Mr. Mayne's nomination to act as Assistant Superintendent of Police at Tinnevelly for one month.

I cannot for a moment admit that Mr. Mayne is "unfit" for this appointment. On two different occasions the Inspector-General has reported on Mr. Mayne in these words:—

"Mr. Mayne has passed in every subject, except Criminal Procedure Code. His answers in police departmental paper, which I examined and marked, were *exceedingly* good, and the President of the Board of Examiners remarks that he 'passed his examination in Tamil with great credit.' Mr. Mayne seems to me a *very* promising young officer.—2nd May 1890."

Again, March 19th, 1891:—

"but having no passed probationer available to take their places, unless His Excellency be pleased to appoint Mr. Mayne, an ordinary Inspector, but a *well qualified* young officer, to the short temporary vacancy in Tinnevelly. I trust that His Excellency will see fit to appoint Mr. Mayne to the next vacancy on the list of probationers. He is a young gentleman . . . a good linguist, active and energetic, and *admirably* suited for a police officer."

Having read these remarks, I came to the conclusion that Mr. Mayne was particularly fitted for this post. I can only take the recommendations of the head of the department, and if *he* is not sufficiently up in his duties as to know whether any particular officer is or is not fitted to take up a temporary appointment for one month, I must say I do not think he is fit to hold the office of Inspector-General. But if the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes still considers Mr. Mayne to be unfit to hold this appointment, in the face of the recommendations of the head of his department, and in the absence of any passed probationer, I should be glad to know what he would propose instead.

WENLOCK.

alluded
P.S.—I have not ~~referred~~ ^{alluded} to G.O. 27th May 1889, as that only refers to probationers, which have nothing to do with this case.

N.B.—The underlinings of the words quoted are those of the Inspector-General, not mine.—W.

*Submitted for the Honorable
Members' approval.*

*C
19/5*

2543
Hes
may
Minute by the Hon'ble Mr. STOKES, c.s.i., dated 28th April 1891.

When I wrote my note of 20th April, I was perfectly aware of Mr. Mayne's reputation and the opinion held of him by Colonel Porteous. I give full weight to that opinion and I fully believe that Mr. Mayne is a very promising young officer. But not having qualified according to the rules for the post of Assistant Superintendent, he is, in my opinion, unfit for that post and should not have been recommended for it by Colonel Porteous. I feel still constrained to refuse my concurrence to his appointment.

As His Excellency asks what I would propose, I should either cancel or refuse Mr. Leggatt's privilege leave, or granting it, leave the district in the sole charge of the Superintendent for the period which is said to be short. Mr. Leggatt is quite a young officer and may well forego his leave I think.

H. E. STOKES.

V E

Mr. Mayne's Note

D. 41

H. E. the Governor

2/4

C-2074 C2/4 C2/4
R 21/4 R 27/4

Note

With reference to the Honorable
Mr. Stokes' remarks on the accompanying
Police Minute, it is submitted that

(1) Mr. Mayne passed the examination
laid down for officers in the higher
grades of the ^{Police} Dept. in January 1890
in every subject except the Criminal
Procedure Code (please see para V of
Letter from the D.G. of Police of 2nd May
1890 in G.O. 19. 6. 90. No. 1044).

* In Corr.

(2) No qualified Probationers are without
acting appointments. Of those in
the list on p. 177 of the Monthly
Civil List who are shown without
such appts. Mr. Bradley was apptd.
Ag. Asst. Supdt. Gauhati on the 10th
instant, Mr. Armitage was apptd.
Ag. Asst. Supdt. Coimbatore on
the 3rd instant, and Mr. Hamington
does not appear to have passed
anything having been appointed
only in January last.

Particulars regarding Mr.
Mayne were given in Col. Porteous
D.O. to the Private Secy. of 19. March
(in G.O. 378 R. of 3. 4. 91)

C.S.

20. 4. 91

20B.
20/4

Minute

When I wrote my note of 20th April,
I was perfectly aware of Mr. Mayne's
reputation and the opinion held
of him by Col. Porteous. I give full
weight to that opinion and I
fully believe that Mr. Mayne is a
very promising young officer. But
not having qualified according to
the rules for the post of Assistant
Supt. he is in my opinion unfit
for that post and should not have
been recommended for it by Col.
Porteous. I feel still constrained
to reserve my conclusions to his
appointment.

As Mr. Stokes what I would
propose, "I should either cancel
the appt. or grant it leave the
in the late change of the
the basis which is said
Mr. Mayne is quite a
it may well prove his

H. Stokes
25/4/91

Mr. Stokes' note of 20th April
20/4
27/4
CHIEF CLERK
27 APR 91
H.E. the Governor of
BENHAR

25/4

I regret that the Hon. Mr. Stokes still considers
Mr. Mayne unfit for this appointment, and
the ground that he has not qualified under
the rules. Mr. Mayne - not being a probationer
has been relieved from ^{completing} these tests - but
from all the information regarding his qualifications
I am quite satisfied he is perfectly fit to take
up this temporary appointment. As regards
the hon. members' suggestion - 1. Mr. Brooke
Lyggett's leave is approaching its termination,
& cannot now be cancelled - and Col.
Porteous says as to having his charge with
the Supt. "it being of the greatest importance
that the large and heavy sub-division of
Finerelly should not be left without
an officer". Under these circumstances, the
appointment should be gazetted, and the
refers due to the Hon. Mr. Stokes, for him to
record his minute of dissent. ²¹
The necessary orders have been given. 27. 4. 91

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Ootacamund
April 28th 1891

Mr. Mayre's ~~offer~~ nomination to Act as
Assistant Superintendent of Police at
Tinnevely for 1 month. -

I cannot for a moment admit that Mr. Mayre is "unfit" for
this appointment.

On two different occasions the Inspector General has reported on
Mr. Mayre in these words: - "Mr. Mayre has passed in every
subject, except Criminal Procedure Code: his answers in Police
Departmental paper, which I examined and marked, were exceedingly
good, and the President of the Board of Examiners remarks that
he passed his examination in Tamil with great credit". Mr. Mayre
seems to me a very promising young officer. 2nd May 1890.

Again March 19th 1891 "but having no passed probationer available
to take their places, unless H. E. be pleased to appoint Mr. Mayre
an ordinary Inspector, but a well qualified young officer to
short temporary vacancy in Tinnevely. I trust that H. E.

He fit to appoint Mr. Mayne to the next vacancy on the list of probationers. He is a young office gentleman . . . a good linguist active and energetic, and admirably suited for a Police Officer. -

Having read these remarks, I came to the conclusion that Mr. Mayne was particularly fitted for this ^{post} ~~place~~. I can only take the recommendation of the Head of the Department, and if he is not sufficiently up in his duties as to know whether any particular officer is or is not fitted to take up a temporary appointment for 1 month, I must say I do not think he is fit to hold the office of Inspector General. But if the hon. Mr. Stokes still considers Mr. Mayne to be unfit to hold this appointment, in the face of the recommendations of the Head of his Department, and in the absence of any pressed probationers, I should be glad to know what he would propose instead.

Wentlock

P.S. I have not referred to G.O. 27 May 1889 - as that only refers to probationers - which have nothing to do with this case.

L.B. The underlinings of the words quoted are those of
not mine

From

Col. C. A. Brown

V. B.

No.

Immailed

Dated

14-4-71

Received

16-4-71

Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Forwarding draft
Minute of appointment
of Mr. C. A. Brown
as of Supt of Police
Surreyville D.C.

This young man is not a probationer
I should like to know

(1) whether he has passed the tests
for the Assistant Supt. Grade?

I believe he has, for I remember asking
how it was he had been allowed
to appear.

(2) Whether any probationer who
is fully qualified by passing an
exam without acting appointment.

H.C.

17 Apr.

Mr. Mayne not having passed
the test prescribed for Assistant
Supt. is not qualified. It was
laid down some time ago, that
even among probationers no
man should be allowed to act
as Assistant Supt. until he
has fully passed. A fortiori a
non probationer who has not
passed ought not to be appointed.
I am therefore obliged to refuse
consent to the President's
proposal on the ground that the
nominee is unfit.

H.C.

20 Apr.

I concur with H.C. The former
is the proper course to take.

Brooke-Leggatt on short
leave, as you mentioned in
semi-official letter at the
last month that H. S. had con-
sented to sanction this temporary
arrangement.

Yrs Sinc
Wm. A. Porter

To J. D. Perry Esq C. S. S.

Private Secretary

to H. S. The Governor

Salam

C No 1795

April 14. 1891

My dear Mr. Pres

I beg to submit, for the
approval and sanction of H. E. the
Governor, the accompanying draft
minute for the appointment of Mr.
A. Mayne as Acting Assistant
Superintendent of Police, Tamarac
District, during the absence of Mr.

In

of

as

for

16/4/91