

Petition from

A Tamil petition

DATED

189 .

may that orders may
issue for the said
Dorasamoy Iyer
being examined
in the matter, for
the complaints of
the poor being inquired
into & for the trial
de novo of the several
suits dismissed by
the above Sub Judge.

21/3

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

Wm. Carter

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
18th March 1892.

CURRENT NO.

Judicial
758/1300

Rubric

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.



Dated 18th March 1892.
Received 21/3/92
Regd 19th

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from

There is a sufficiently specific charge but the petition is probably pseudonymous. I would refer it to the District Magistrate to ascertain whether it is so and if it is not to report.

MS
30/3/92

For order

Non. the Judges of the High Court

for disposal.

Subj: this may be lodged, no specific charge being made.

To the Hon. the C.J. with C.L. with original petition.

Petition from Krishniah of Srivaraikapuram street in Kadakkalkallidai Kurichi in Ambasamudram Tk, Tinnevely. The pet^r brings to notice that in R. Dorasammy case against Judge the 2nd subordinate Court opened in the Tinnevely dt. having agreed to assist a Brahmin friend of his in performing a yagam (a kind of oblation) called the 'Savathomugam', tells the parties to suits brought before him that a sum of Rs 10,000 is required for the above purpose & collects money from them by oppressing them greatly. The rich are enabled to get decrees passed in their favor by paying large sums of money to the sub judge and the suits brought by poor people are dismissed. The poor are therefore endeavoring to go away to some place where justice is rendered and this will surely happen if steps are not taken to remove the hardship now felt.

The peti to

[5]

Papers to be read in Proceedings :-

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Current No. 1300

of 1892, dated 18th March from The Private Secretary
transferring a Tamil Pet.

Current No. 1300

of 18 , dated from

Order 97.4.92 No 685

The petition will be referred to the District Magistrate, Tinnevely, who will ascertain whether it is pseudonymous or not, and if it is not he will report on the allegations made by the petitioner.

(From Orders)

MS
31/3/92

Not for Orders

This is a petition against a Sub Judge. Should it not be transferred to the High Court - if any action is to be taken on it?

B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

HEAD AGA ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
No.

FURTHER PAPER, No. *69 Cingul* OF 189 *2*

ENCLOSURES.

Spare copies.

Struck	..	..	..
Issued	..	..	..

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

Total ..

In Stock ..

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT- A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Confidential No. 59
1892.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 7-4-92

No. 686

Series. Mis.

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature. 7-4-92

DESPATCHED

Despatched

9 APR 92

IN M.S.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sub Judge Referring to the D. No.
Tinnivelly a petition regarding the
- of that district