M (3)

No. 269

Godavari District Joint Magistrate's Office Rajahmundry
24th October 1891.

From
F. H. Hammett Esquire
Ag. Joint Magistrate Godavari Dist.

To
W. A. Happell Esquire
Magistrate Godavari Dist.

Sir

With reference to your Endorsement No. 24 of 5-10-91, I have the honor to forward in original for perusal and return the letter addressed to me by the officiating Chairman of the Rajahmundry Council asking me to issue an order prohibiting the bringing or landing of corpses [illegible] the river bed during the prevalence of Cholera, and [illegible] by me. I therefore [illegible] directing him to point out some other place to the people for this purpose.

2. A similar order was issued in 1889 by the

For orders.

References are put up.

—

Recorded.
(Signed).
W. A. H.
4. 11. 1891
—
(True Copy).

F H Hammett
Offg. Dist. Magte.

G.O. No. 762

dead. This petition was sent to me for report and the enclosed copy of my report M (3) sets forth the facts. Mr Happell declined to interfere. Foiled in this attempt the proprietor has been looking out for another chance.

3. That the proprietor and Narasimham are adherents of one another may be suspected from the fact that in the defamation case Jogayya, who was one of the principal and the only educated witness who supported Narasimham's case, was the brother of the man who has married the proprietor's sister.

4. There are the following facts which go to show the connection of Narasimham and the proprietor with the present case

(a) On the 13th January when the shed was removed, Narasimham was absent from Rajahmundry. He returned from Madras about the 15th. On the 16th or 17th he was seen by Mr Narayanaswami Naidu, the Chairman Delegate, coming away from the spot where the shed had stood and where a crowd was assembled whom Mr Narayanaswami thinks Narasimham must have been addressing.

Two or three days after this the telegram was sent and the person selected to send it was (as already stated) the private physician of the Dharmavaram proprietor and the self styled priest of the Devangulu

(b) Two men named Tirupatirayi and Venkatappayya, who from the records of the defamation case are evidently tools of Narasimham, were seen going about the bazaar trying to get signatures to a petition against me in connection with my action in regard to a new pettah which I am trying to get built. The allegations in this

petition are, I am told, so absurd that I refrain from referring to them. If the petition is sent up it will disclose to Government better than anything I have written the nature of the plot and the character of the wire-pullers.

F W Hamnett

worthless fellow of about 22 or 23 who lives a debauched life in the town. Some months ago (I am told; though I only have a faint recollection of the occurrence) I used some strong language to this creature because he ran his carriage into mine while driving in the Bazaar Street. This offended his dignity very much and he has been on the look out to be revenged.

Later on about the time of the Dasara he tried to get the Hindus to go about in disguises in imitation of the disguises assumed at the Moharum. I heard of this and at once put a stop to it as the Mohomedans represented to me there would be a riot if it was allowed. Shortly after this, the proprietor made his first attempts at having his revenge by getting a petition sent to Mr Happell that I was interfering with the religious practices of the Hindus in burying their follows: The agitation is the result of a plot on the part of one Y. Lakshminarasimham B.A. (a former Chairman of the Council and now Head Master of the Local High School) and a petty proprietor who styles himself the Zamindar of Dharmavaram and their adherents. Sangapu Sangeala Ayyavarlu (the self styled priest) is really a native physician in the employ of the Dharmavaram proprietor.

2. The motives which Y. L. Narasimham and the proprietor have in getting up this agitation as as follow (a)

(a) Y. L. Narasimham has filed a civil suit and brought 2 criminal charges of defamation against one K. Veeresalingam of this town. The two criminal charges were some months ago disposed of by me and were "causes célèbres among the native public in the town. My judgments went to show that

the defendant's allegations that Narasimham was a bad and immoral character were substantially true and were made in good faith. The 'Hindu' newspaper had a leading article on my judgments and considered that they conclusively showed up the true character of Narasimham. Narasimham knows that as long as I am here he will not have much scope for wrongdoing outside of or within the Municipal council of which he is still a member and for which he put up as Chairman at the time when I was elected. Narasimham's object is clearly therefore to get me out of the place and if possible to have his revenge on me for ~~expressing~~ exposing Hw his character in an authoritative judicial ruling. The enclosed copy M(1)× of one my judgments (viz that in C.C. No of 1891) and M(2)× a confidential report written in 1889 by Mr. Moberly, a late Sub. Collector, and forwarded to Government with Mr. Hoppell's remarks give an idea of Narasimham's character.

× Will follow separately. Hw

(b) The proprietor of Dharmavaram is a

M

Memo of information

supplied to me confidentially.

I had the Bhajana pandal removed on the 13th January 1892. The telegram to Government was not sent till 7 days afterwards, viz the 20th January. When sent it was not sent by any of the persons who originally applied for the erection of the shed to the Council or by Yamana Soorcalu, ~~[illegible]~~ who usually officiated at the ceremonies at the shed. It was sent by one Sangapu Sangaialu Appavarlu, who styles himself priest of the Devangulu

As a matter of fact Sangapu Sangaialu Appavarlu is only a self styled priest of the Devangulu and his real connection with the telegram as well as the late date of its despatch are to be explained as

time until the 15th January 92. Notices were signed with seal by your order and issued by me on the very day.

On two occasions previous to the issue of notices when you asked the people to remove the above shed they used to promise that they would remove soon.

I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

8th February 92

J. [illegible]

Sanitary Inspector

Written statement of Sanitary Inspector Mutchavayya.

The Chairman
municipal council
Rajahmundry.

I humbly beg to state as follows regarding what took place in connection with giving notices to Jami peta people for the removal of Bhajana shed.

Just before Christmas 91, you were pleased to direct me to give notices to the people for the removal of the Bhajana shed by the 12th January 92, but owing to the Christmas intervening and some other urgent work, I could not prepare notices all at once, but I prepared on the 7th January 92, fixing the 15th January as the day before which the shed should be removed thinking that they should have at least a week time from the day of notices and also considering that 12th, 13th & 14th of January 92 being festival days, which I then learnt by referring to the Telugu calender, it might be more convenient to allow

---

part with a good deal of valuable land which they have fraudulently appropriated. Any act of mine which could by any possibility be distorted into an improper or unlawful one was therefore readily seized by them as a means for getting up an agitation for my removal from the town. One of them has, I am told, been openly heard to say that they would get me out of the place some way or another. This, in my opinion, is sufficient to explain the false telegram (subsequently followed I hear by a similarly false petition) and I might end my report here. Certain other circumstances have, however, been voluntarily brought to my notice by several of my native friends at Rajahmundry which, though perhaps superfluous for the purpose of this report, have an important bearing on it and I think should be brought to the notice of Government. I embody these facts in a separate Memorandum marked M which forms an enclosure to this report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H Mannett

Joint Magistrate

and

Sub. Collector

officiating as District Magistrate.

not been removed as they had promised and I had distinctly ordered. I got the usual answer that they would remove it soon. I insisted, however, on its being removed at once, being determined to stand no more trifling, and as I happened to be riding a young horse (a new purchase) which was rather restive in a crowd, I got off the horse and called the Devangis together and directed them to take down the shed at once. At the same time I went under the pendal and pulled down one of the mats in the pendal. The Devangis then began to take down the shed and pendal themselves and I pushed one or two of them forward and told them to set about the work sharp. I had a small broken ladies whip handle (about 18 inches long and 1/4 inch thick) with me at the time and this whip may have touched some of the men I pushed forward, but I never horsewhipped any one. (The horse I was riding required no whip and I happened to have taken this broken ladies whip with me merely to have something in my hand). While the Devangis were taking down the shed I stood outside the pendal and while standing there one of them brought a common tin wall lamp and put it on a post just near my face. I told him to take it away and ~~kicked~~ knocked it down with my hand and then pushed it away with my foot. The lamp was not broken as far as I could see. It had no globe or glass about it and its value was, I should say, about 8 annas. After this I got on my horse and rode off before the shed was actually removed. In riding away I also made the Sanitary Inspector remove another new tattie encroachment a few yards off. While near the bhajana shed I stood only under the pendal. I could not see what was inside. The mat I pulled down partly was only

displaced by me and not removed by doing this I did not disclose to view anything inside the shed. I never entered the shed and have no personal knowledge of what it contained. From subsequent enquiries I learn that these sheds are not consecrated places, in as much as the ceremony of Prathishta is not performed with respect to them, and that all castes gather under the pendal and that no regular idols are kept in them but only some balls of ashes and some pictures. My going under the pendal and displacing one of the mats was clearly therefore not an act involving any outrage to the Hindu religion. All I did was done with a view to removing encroachments from the public street and was not directed against the bhajana shed alone, but against at least 2 other encroachments about which there has been no complaint.

The Devangis never urged as a reason

for not pulling the shed down at once that the Council's Sanction permitted them to keep it up till the 31st January 1892 nor did they inform me that the notice I had served on them fixed the date as the 15th January. This I only came to know of subsequently.

3. It only remains to explain the motive which has induced the petitioner to misrepresent these simple facts in the way he has done. There is one very obvious motive. I have recently had a survey made by a Revenue Inspector and a Sanitary Inspector of the wholesale encroachments which have been allowed to spring up in the neighbourhood of Jami petah during the last few years and steps are being taken by the Council to have them removed under the Act after due notice, there being no clear proof of the date when they were made. Whole streets of the pettah, as originally laid out, have been blocked up entirely by these encroachments and the Devangis were well aware that my action in this matter would make them

---

Confidential A.

Godavari District
Magistrate's Office
Cocanada
Dated 11 February 1892

CURRENT NO. 711

From
F. H. Hammett Esquire
Joint Magistrate in charge, Godavari.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government, Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement No 184 dated 1.2.1892 on Current No 288 of 1892, I have the honor to report that the acts described in the telegram sent to His Excellency the Governor in Council by Sangapu Sangiah Ayyavarlu bear no resemblance whatever to anything ever done by me. I never entered any temple, never kicked an idol or any other sacred object, never horsewhipped Yamana or Soriah ~~[illegible]~~ ten or any one else, never broke either globes, pictures or lampstands or committed any outrage to the petitioners' religion.

2. The facts which the petitioner has distorted in this wholesale fashion are as follow. On the 1st September 1891, during an outbreak of Cholera in the town, five persons of the Devangi Sect residing in Jamipeta viz Boudapally Dalayya, Yamana Sooriah, Bobbi Sanyasi, Pilagani Somanna and Viranna applied for permission to erect a shed and pendal for the use of Sree Basaveswara Swamy. No date was mentioned in the petition as to how long the shed and pendal were required, but the Ward Councillor, to whom in the usual course it was referred, recommended that it should be granted till the 31st January 92. The Council in the usual course sanctioned the application with others of a similar nature, these sheds being frequently erected for short periods during outbreaks of cholera to offer propitiatory offerings to patron deities with a view to abate the epidemic. I had

no personal knowledge of this sanction having been given as such routine matters are disposed of by the council and the Chairman delegate without consulting me. Some time however, after the shed was erected, Dr Carruthers, in his capacity of District Sanitary officer, called my attention to it as being a glaring encroachment on the side of one of the chief main roads in the town. The Devangi people, whom I then spoke to about the encroachment, said they would soon remove it and with this I let the matter drop. On several subsequent occasions, however, I again called the attention of these people to the fact that the shed had not been removed, and on every occasion their reply was that it was soon going to be taken down. Shortly before the Christmas holidays they promised me that they would remove it in the ten (10) days and I then ordered the Sanitary Inspector Madhavayya, whose written statement or

I enclose, to prepare a formal notice to them fixing the 12th January 1892 as the last day on which they should keep up the shed and pendal. Madhavayya put up a notice to this effect with several others and they were stamped with my signature stamp on the 7th January 1892 (I believe). I was under the impression that the date fixed by Madhavayya was the 12th January, as I had ordered, though (as stated in his explanation) Madhavayya fixed it as the 15th January without my knowledge. On the 13th January I was riding past the place where the shed stood and I noticed two or three new encroachments in the locality. One of these I had had removed personally myself a few days ago and I got Assistant Sanitary Inspector Kerr, who was there with me, to remove it again. After this I crossed over the road on my horse to the place where the 'bhajana' shed stood and asked why it had

Jr Judl 740/1892

(Signed) Mindy Seetappa

" Ganta Beetchappa

(True Copy)

Current No. 4179. Endorsement.

Transferred to the District Magistrate of Godavari for disposal

(By order)

(Sd) A Butterworth

Offg. Under Secretary

Ootacamund
21st Sept 1871

True Copy.

H. Hammett
Offg. Dist. Magte.

G.A.

R/
122

Consecrated place - Unless we burn our dead bodies on the Sides of the river Godavari, there is no religion in Hindus and no salvation to the dead.

This Custom is going on from the time of our Ancestors - therefore your honor will be good Enough to take proper steps at once about this and give orders to burn the dead in the usual Cemetery and to Cancel the Collector's order.

We beg to remain, Sir,
Your most obedient Servants.

(Signed) Yedavilli Bhairaya Avudhanulu (In Telugu characte
" Nalam Ramarajee do
" Vogeti Ramakrishnayya do
" Bayalanedi Kontanna do
" Gopisetti Kondalrayadu do
" Kanchumarti Venkata Seetaramaya do
Chendra Reis.
" Bondada Rangayya do

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council of Madras.

We, the people of Rajahmundry, humbly and submissively beg of your honor to bring the few lines into your kind consideration. A rebellion is going to break out here against the Government. Because the Sub Collector of Rajahmundry illegally issued orders that the dead should not be burnt — They must be burned far away from the town. This is a religious Matter — It was proclaimed in the Indian Magna Charta that the British Government pledged itself not to interfere in any way with the religion or Caste of the Hindus. It is our Custom to burn our dead bodies on the side of the river Godavari as we think it is a

---

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ది 13 జనవరి 1892 సం|| [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

౧ [illegible]

౨ [illegible]

౩ [illegible] వీరస్వామి లు

౪ [illegible] లు

౫ [illegible]

౬ [illegible]

౭ [illegible]

To

The Government of
Madras.

On the 13th January 1892. Mr. Hammett, the Sub-
Collector of Godavari came to the Basaveswara Bha-
jana temple errected by the undersigned in
Jannipeta and inspite of the original permission
of the Municipal council and his subsequent
Notice to keep the building till the 15th January
ordered the son of late Mr. Kerr to pulldown the
thatched temple and on his keeping qui~~ete~~ Mr. Hammett
~~tol~~d him to hold his horse, entered the temple kick-
the idol, broke the pictures and glasses, whipped
three persons that interposed, and pulldown the ~~pandal~~ shed,
thus commiting a great mischief. We have therefore
sustained a great damage. We have now to perform
purification, pranapredista, and Udhvapana cere-
monies. This being greatly against our religion,
we have been greatly insulted. We therefore beg that
will be kind enough to take this into your benign
consideration and do us justice.

Signatures X X X X X
X X X X X
X X X X X

(True translation
of the Mahajan complaint.)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A.

నెం 1088 ఎండార్సుమెంటు.

దొండపల్లి నాగయ్య వగైరాలు

జామిపేట అర్జీదారులు.

తా 31 జనవరు 1892 సంవత్సరం వరకు శ్రీ బసవేశ్వర [illegible] పూజ ఉత్సవములు చేయుటకు తాటాకు పాక పందిరి వేసుకొనుటకు ఉత్తరువైనది.

ఎండార్సుమెంటు తేదిలగాయతు ఆరుమాసములలోపల పని చేయవలసినది. లేనియెడల తిరిగి కౌన్సిలరు గారి సెలవు పొందవలసి యుండును.

మునిసిపలు ఆఫీసు,
రాజమండ్రి.
తా 30/10/1891.

(Signed)
G. Narayanaswamy
For Chairman

(True copy)
[illegible]

No 1088 Endorsement.

Dondupilli Nalayya and others,

Petitioners, Jamipeta.

Permission is granted to erect a palmyra shed and pandal for the purpose of celebrating Puja and festival of God Sri Basiveswara till the 31st January 1892.

Work must be done within six months from the date of the Endorsement. If not permission of the Councilors will have to be obtained again.

Municipal Office
Rajahmundry
30/10/1891

Signed
G. Narayanaswamy
For Chairman

(True translation)
[illegible]

C. No 438

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of the Madras Presidency,
Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

The humble petition of Sangapu Sangiah Ayyavarlu,
Priest of Devangies, on behalf of the Devangies of
Jamipetta, Rajahmundry,

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

1 That the Devangies of Jamipetta, after having obtained the permission of the Municipal Council, built in the month of , a small thatched house and dedicated it to their God Basaveswera and fixed the Lingam in it, with all due ceremony, and have been celebrating the poojah and the attendant festivals ever since.

2 That this Poojah was to have lasted till about the end of January 1892, when the final ceremony of " Ampakam " would take place.

3 That the enclosure (a) is a true copy of the Municipal endorsement, permitting the retention of the thatched Bhajana temple, till the end of January 1892.

4 That Mr. Hamnett, the Sub Collector and Municipal Chairman verbally ordered about the beginning of January the removal of the thatched house, but they showed to him the Municipal Council's Permission and represented that it was a religious building and could not be taken away before the celebration of the ceremony of " Ampakam, " which would take place about the end of January.

5 That Mr. Hamnett then made the Municipal Sanitary Inspector serve a notice × (copy (b) enclosed) on them to the effect that this Bhajana temple should be removed by the 15th Instant.

× Signed by Mr. Hamnett himself

6 That without waiting even till that date, Mr. Hamnett came to the spot on the morning of the 13th Instant (the Pongal Feast day) and angrily ordered the instant removal of the Bhajana temple, and on their representing to him that they could not do so, and that especially that day was the great Pongal Feast day and that they had permission to keep it till the end of the month, he ordered the acting Sanitary Inspector Kerr to pull down the building and on his hesitating to do so, Mr Hamnett dismounted his horse and asking Mr. Kerr to hold the horse, dashed off the tatty door of the temple with a kick and entered it. Yamana Sooriah, Dorapu Bhaviredi and Seela Muthialu begged him not to desecrate the temple, in reply to which he beat them with his whip, Muthialu having received the greatest number of lashes. With another kick, the Lingam fell off as well as the pictures &lamps placed on both the sides. He then pulled down the roof & with the roof fell the globes, the glasslamps and the lampstands being also broken. The municipal lantern standing closeby was also broken. A large crowd gathered and people began to pour from different parts of Jamipett, when Mr. Hamnett ordered a pariah constable to see the posts and tattywalls removed and rode away.

7 It is almost needless to submit that these arbitrary and illegal Proceedings on the part of Mr. Hamnett were a great outrage on the religion of your petitioners, and were calculated to lead to the breach of Public Peace, for they would have driven the people to acts of violence, had not better counsel prevailed among them and made them endure such open and outrageous insult to their religious feelings with patience and with full assurance that they would obtain justice from their impartial Government

8 That the Mahazar complaint (c) of the Inhabitants of Jamipett is herein enclosed.

9 That they could not primarily lodge their complaint before the District Magistrate, for immediately after these Proceedings, Mr Hamnett himself has gone to act as District Magistrate.

10 Under these circumstances, your petitioners most earnestly pray that the Government would be gracious enough to take prompt action in the matter and grant us redress and thereby assure the Public that the Law of the land, which is no respecter of persons and the blessed Queen's Proclamation of 1859 ought to be respected by all Government officials and that the personal and religious liberty of its loyal subjects cannot be violated with impunity, even though the offender is a high Government official.

Cur. no. 288 & 438

CURRENT No. 509

[9] Judicial DE[illegible]NT.

Telegram
From
The District Magistrate,
Godavari

No.

Dated } 5th Febry. 1892
Received } Regd 6th
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

States that the telegram (Cur. no. 288+) is a tissue of falsehoods &c.

May this await the receipt of report. please
Ar.
6/2.

+ Put up for C No 438 (Petn against Mr Hammett)
2-2-92

Allr GO 15th Febry/92 Rno 158
~~15th Febry~~ 11th Feb
To lie over pending receipt of report.

In
6 Feb.
&
8 Feb

C. 9/2
R. 12/2

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGR S.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addr is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	A group of 3, less figures, reckoned. as one word.
Ordinary „	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	
Urgent „	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

RRENT NO. 288

Judicial (189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

o

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 JAN 92
GOVERNMENT

Dated 21st } January 1892.
Received }
Regd. 23rd

ENCLOSURE.

1

Subject.

TRANSFERS a ~~petition~~ telegram from Sangapu Sangiah Ayyavaru & 200 others, re Mr. Hammett, Dist Collector, committing outrage on their religion ——

R 23/1

In my This await the receipt of petn. advised to have been [illegible] Report [illegible]
23/1

C.S.
~~order~~ 37/1st Feb/1892.

Referred to the Dt. Mag. of Godávari for early report.
(From orders)
[illegible]

DESPATCHED 28 Jan

1 FEB 92

IN M.S

To D.M. Godávari

29 Jan

Circumstances [illegible] — will be enquired into
[illegible]

Ack.

Subm.d for orders. The mahajar referred to in the Telegram may perhaps be awaited.

[illegible]
24 Jan.

This is no doubt a highly exaggerated if not utterly false story. Submitted that the telegram lie over until the petition has been received. If Mr. Hammett has done as alleged the petrs. have ample redress in the Criminal & Civil Courts

28 Jan

I am persuaded the story is utterly false but we had better ascertain what the truth is & for that purpose call for report. We shall doubtless have to do so on the mahajar & may as well do it at once. The mahajar can follow in continuation

27/1

C. 26/1
R. 28/1

509

F C 58 | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Local No. 7V

Class

From (Station) Cocanada

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
22/3	5	16	6	

To (Person) Chief Secretary Madras

From (Person) The District Magistrate

CHIEF SECRETARY 5 FEB 92 GOVERNMENT OF

The telegram current 298 is a tissue of falsehoods full report follows

Recd. from | L. N. | Sent to | L. N.

At | Hrs. | Mts. By | At | Hrs. | Mts. By

Date MADRAS FORT 5-2-92 Telegraph Master.

Tekepan

~~Petition~~ from Sangapu Sangi
Ayyavarlu
& 200 others

DATED 20 · 1 · 1892.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

C. Macfarlane

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
21 · 1 · 1892.

---

cy of 20

~~S~~tatement shewing names of all Probationers with a general report on the con

Names	Date of appoint-ment to Police as ordinary Inspector	As a Probationer	District to which should posted	District in which Supg Service	Examinations passed: Law	Examinations passed: Depart-mental	Examinations passed: Language	Date of completing Test	Date and district in has been or is acting as aut.
Mr R. Hood	"	14th Jany '88	Malabar	Kurnool	Law	Dept	Malayalam	16th July '88	Malabar — 8-4-86 to Tinnevelly — 10-4-87 to Kurnool — 14-3-88 to Cuddapah — 27-2-91 to Kurnool — 2-10-91 to
" F. A. Dene	9th Octr '88	1st Feby '89	Chingleput	Malabar (Malapuram)	do	do	Tamil	15 Jany '90	North Arcot — 25-5-90 to Malabar — 29-7-90 to
" W. E. Lonsdale	29th Augt '88	31st Augt '88	Godavari	Godavari	do	do	Telugu	21st Jany '91	Godavari — 28-3-89 to Madura — 2-4-91 to Godavari — 30-6-91 to
" R. M. Powell	3d April '89	5th Augt '89	South Arcot	South Arcot	do	do	Tamil	do	Salem — 2-4-91 to " — 9-8-91 to Madura — 21-11-91 to Nellore — 25-1-9
able L. Bidie	"	22d Novr '89	N. Sel[illegible]	Nor. She[illegible]	[illegible]	do	Telugu	do	N. Arcot — 30

-eavour to fill in the ...

links in the chain of evidence. I do not th

…ct and efficiency of each as required by para 5 of G. O. No 2388 (Judicial) of 22d October 1887.

which assit.	Remarks.
-4.87 -3.88 -2.89 -10-91 date	Mr Hood has been allowed by Government another six (6) months as acting assistant Superintendent; at the end of which a special report on his conduct and work is required from the Inspector General. This will be duly submitted in August 1892.
19-7-90 date	A very promising officer - continues to do well.
-1-90 3-6-91 date -4-91 -11-91 4-1-92 9-2-92	Both these officers are trying to do better - but I doubt if either has much natural ability for Police work.
date	Writes long Weekly Reports copied to some extent from Inspectors' and Sta… …ouse Reports. Means well but does not appear to… able to grasp the points of a Police case and to endeavour to fill in the … links in the chain of evidence. I do not th…

5	" W. A. Bradly —	"	17 Feby: 90	Vizagapatam	Ganjam (Kimedy)	—do—	—do—	—do—	—do.—	Ganjam —26-4-91 to 2
7	" F. Armitage —	"	27 Augt: 90	Anantapur	Malabar (Tellicherry)	—do—	—do—	Tamil	—do.—	Coimbatore - 9-4-91 to 10- Malabar - 22-7-91 to — " — 3-92 to 8
8	" P. Harrington	"	31 Jany '91	Tinnevelly	Tinnevelly	—	Dept			
9	" G. W. Lane —	"	3 July '91	Ganjam	North Arcot	Law	—do—			
10	" R. J. M. Mayne	16 Octr: 89	3 July '91	Madura	Tanjore	—do—	—do—	Tamil	20 Jany '92	Tinnevelly - 2-4-91 to 31- Tanjore - 8-8-91 to 8
11	" C. B. N. Pelly. B.A.	"	15 Sept 91	Tanjore	Salem	—do—	—do—			
12	" F. B. M. Cardozo	"	1 Feby '92	Coimbatore	Tinnevelly	—				

Chief Office }
rch 1892

he will ever make a good Police officers.

–3-92 A painstaking officer - has done well in Ganjam. His appointment to act as Assistant Superintendent at Ootacamund has just been sanctioned.

7-91 A promising officer in every way.

2-92
ale.

Passed Criminal Procedure Code, Medical Jurisprudence, Police and Departmental paper
Failed in Penal Code, Law of Evidence and in the Language.
The general reports on this young officer shew that he is a pleasant, well-mannered young fellow but they point also to his wanting in the sharpness and intelligence to make a good Police officer. He is young and may improve.

Passed all the tests except the Vernacular. This is very creditable to him as when he went up for his examination in January he had only been out (6½) six and half months. His answers in his departmental paper were very good shewing application and study. Promises decidedly well.

5-91
ale. An able and useful Police officer - has completed the tests laid down for assistants, passing his examination in Criminal Procedure Code this time without books although he failed twice before with books. Having completed the tests of his grade, his proper place now on the list of Probationers is No 8, above Messrs Harrington and Lane who have not yet completed their tests.

{ Passed all the tests except the vernacular for which he did not come up.
{ another young officer of intelligence and ability, who promises well.

Chas. A. Porteous Colonel.
Inspr: Genl of Police

Jud. 1223/1/92

CHIEF SECRETARY
16 MAR 92
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Confidential

No. 1455

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF P

Madras, 15th March 1892.

1223

FROM

Colonel C. A. Porteous.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George

CHIEF SECRETARY
16 MAR 92
GOVT. OF MADRAS

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to submit the repo on Police Probationers required by G. O. No 2388 dated 22d October 1887, Judicial.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed't Servant
Chas. A. Porteous Col.
Inspector General of Police

Confidential

Judl (1892) DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 1223

FROM

Col: C. A. Porteous.

INSPECTOR GENERAL

OF POLICE.

CONFIDENTIAL No. 95

No. 1455

Dated 15th } March 1892.
Received

No.

SUBJECT.

Submits report on Police Probationers.

For Orders

Note
The ruling quoted is reproduced in § 166/8 Police Order Book
C/ 5/7/3

H M olm

out of date
Us For Orders.

G.O. of 15. Decr 1870 No. 1641 lays down that "all officers will be required to pass at the first annual examination after they have completed a year's service in the Police department" – Amongst the unpassed probationers Mr. P. Harrington, who is the oldest, completed a year's service on 31st Jany last. He will therefore not come under the operation of the G.O. quoted above till next June.

Mr. Hood has been given six months further trial in G.O. of 20. 2. 92. No. 324. & Messrs Lonsdale & Powell were in the same G.O. warned of the consequences of further unfavourable reports.

C.S.
17. 3. 92

W
17 Mar.

&

Order of 31. 3. 92. No. 625. 29 March

Recorded

W
29 Mar.

Us
10 Mar

&

C.A.S.
29/3/92 (from Order)

30 March

CP
30/3

b
28/7

BACK PAPER, No. [illegible] Confdl OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 , No.

FURTHER PAPER, No. 152 Confdl OF 189

ENCLOSURES

Spare copies.

Struck .. .. ..

Issued .. .. ..

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

Total ..

In Stock ..

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged)

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Confidential No. 53/1892.

5032/90

Judl.
~~Public~~ DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 31. 3. 92

No. 625

Series. Mis

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Police Probationers — Report on