

To the Hon. Secy. of State
Washington

21st November 1890.

Judicial C. No. 4719.

No. 2661.

From

H. W. Foster Esquire,
 Officiating Registrar of the
 High Court of Judicature,
 Appellate Side, Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price,
 Chief Secretary to Government,
 Fort Saint George.

Sir,

In his letters dated the 15th Instant, Nos. 1310 and 1311, the District Judge of Godavari has reported to the High Court the result of an inquiry he has made into a serious charge of bribery brought by one Pydah Venkatachalapathi against an A. Mag. S. Manavalalinga Garu, Subordinate Judge of Cocanada. The enclosures of these letters, which constitute the evidence that the District Judge had before him, appear to the High Court to disclose a strong prima facie case against the Sub. Judge, who, moreover, in reply to questions

* From the Offg. Registrar of the
 High Ct. Appellate Side No.
 2661 of 21st November 1890

Read. C. No. 4719. Q.

Order. 16th Jan'y 191 No 88

Under the provisions of Section
 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1881
 H.E. the Governor in Council Sanctioned
 the prosecution of M. R. Ry. S. Manavalalinga
 Vallayya Awargal, 3rd grade Subordinate
 Judge of Cocanada under suspension
 for an offence under Chapter IV of the
 Indian Penal Code in connection with
 the payment of an illegal gratification
 by Pydah Venkannal, plaintiff in
 original suit 43 of 1887 on the file
 of the Sub. Court of Cocanada.

2. Mr. E. B. Porrett is appointed
 to be Prosecutor for the conduct of the
 charges against the Sub. Judge. The
 charges will be laid before Mr. E. B. Porrett
 District Magistrate of Godavari
 and, in the event of the case being
 committed, the trial of it will take
 place before Mr. E. B. Porrett appointed
 District and Session Judge

of the same District

The Honble the Judges of the High Ct.
 To. The Dist. Sessions Judge, Godavari
 D. No. 1, Godavari
 15 JAN 1911

(From order)
 H. W. Foster

put to him by the District Judge,
has absolutely refused to give any
explanation on certain material points
which he could have answered at once.

2. In these circumstances,
the Honorable the Judges consider the
case to be one for the exercise of the
powers vested in the High Court by
Section 19 of the Madras Civil Courts
Act, and they have accordingly, on this
date, issued an order suspending
M.Ry. S. Manavalanjan from
his office of Subordinate Judge.
I am directed now to report this action,
and to forward the District Judge's
letters and their enclosures, for the
consideration and orders of His Ex-
cellency the Governor in Council, with
the remark that the Government
will probably see fit to take the advice
of its Law Officers on the suggestion

made in paragraph 9 of Mr. Hammett's
first letter and repeated in his second
letter.

3. I am further to say that
having regard to the importance of the
Sub: Court at Cocanada the Honorable
the Judges consider it undesirable that
it should be left vacant for a long-
themed term, and I am therefore to
express the hope that His Excellency in
Council may be pleased to appoint
an Acting Subordinate Judge pending
final orders on this matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signature)

Offg. Registrar.

[Faint, illegible handwriting on the left page]

ad 67019
17/2/91

[Faint, illegible handwriting on the right page]

Confid 4094
3/90

ad 67019
17/2/91

Translation of document (slip of paper)
Traced to possession of Rangasayee, son-in-law
of P. Venkannah.

" We heard nothing since you went there (Madras) "
" What have you done there about the accounts? "
" Consult well with a Barrister about the bribe m "
" gave and see that we don't get into trouble. do not "
" mention this to anyone. Tear this up when seen - "
" Understand well. "

(No date) (Initialed) "B. Sh"

As to above Rangasayee states that it was given
to him by Paida Venkatachellapatti on 2nd of Decr 1890
here (Madras) in Rangasayee's house. Cannot say whose
handwriting it is in or whose signature (initials) it bears
letter looks like Paida Venkannah's.

Venkatachellapatti's story is that Rangasayee produced
this memo or letter himself.

Three other letters purporting to be from Paida
Venkannah, dated 7th, 22nd & 25th Aug/90, found with
above above letter Rangasayee and bearing the same
initials Rangasayee admits to be signed or initialed
by his father-in-law P. Venkannah. They are of no importance.

This man Venkannah is not telling the whole
truth. I suspect they were bargaining with him for
this and any other documents to fix P. Venkannah.

6.12.90.

Paidon
Com. P. P. P.

Copyd 409/90

Subd 172/91

Madras 3. December 1890

Saida Venkatachellapatti

Admits receipt of letter (A) from
Butchi Attchiah Nayudu. The
 documents referred to therein
 are filed in The District Judge's
 Court, Coconada, in this matter
 (Subj. case)

Explains that he wrote letter A
 which (A) is a reply to ascertain if
 Manavallya has been paying
 off his debt. Is making similar
 enquiries in other directions.

Approved
 Commr Police
 3. 12. 90

Copy 404/120

Recd 17/12/90

48

Taka Commission Agent carrying on
business on Popham's Broadway, Madras.

[The page contains approximately 20 lines of handwritten text in cursive script, which is mirrored across the entire document.]

Quilso

How much more?

Three

At the City of New York, 8th June 1871.

Chandrapa Pithich the Mahant's Pethkan
is also said to have got Rs. 5000.

The letter (A) written by Butchi Acharya
was a device of P. Venkatachella Patti who wrote
to his creditors to find out if they had been
paid and when. In fact they are all Sharps.
both sides, and Venkatachella Patti is investing
freely in securing evidence. Among others he
got hold of P. Venkannah's son in law one
Rangasayer who is down here on Venkannah's
business and was negotiating with him to get
documentary evidence to fix P. Venkannah.
Among other arrangements Rangasayer was to
file an affidavit and for this and other services
was to get Rs. 2000 from Venkatachella Patti. They
went away before yesterday (3rd) and got this money
but pending the completion of the affidavit, this
money was secured in Rangasayer's house while
Rangasayer and Venkatachella Patti took to the
High Court to secure the affidavit. On the way
Rangasayer said he wanted to speak to a friend
in the Mail Office and went inside. After waiting
a considerable time they went also inside but found
that Rangasayer was not there. They took his house, he
was not there either. Then complaint was made to the
Police who that night arrested Rangasayer. The
complaint made to the Police was that the money had
been taken from Venkatachella Patti's house. This was not
true. Rangasayer denied having received or taken the
money. Police discovered that Rangasayer returned
this house (in Black Town) after going into the Mail
Office. Then he went to the Mount Road Post Office
and sent off Rs. 1700 by telegraphic money orders
to his brother at Coimbatore. He telegraphed and

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Chandrapa Pithich

Confidential

City Police Office.

Madras.

5th December 1890

My dear Sir

In the matter of the charges preferred
against P. Kavarally Sub Judge of
Cocanada.

Thamseem Thunneugadathan Thayudu and
enclose his statement. The two companions of
P. Ramasami he refers to were Joe Thim Kosam
Sarsimhaya brother in law of Manavallya
and Puroshottam a friend of his both of
Madras.

Pada Teukatchellapatti has been down
here squaring all his witnesses and working
up the case against St. He returned to Cocanada
by steamer on Wednesday. He carries P. Ramasami
about with him and never lets him out of his
sight.

He says Pada Teukannak will tell the
whole story if he only be guaranteed immunity.
It is said that St. got no less than Rs 2,000 for his
this case. Every adjournment was paid for.

The distribution of the 10,000 Rs. currency note
is being traced by P. Teukatchella Gutti who has
sent emissaries to Salem, Tripali and elsewhere.

The payment into High Court in satisfaction of
Angur Chandra decree is of course easily proved
but all together Angur Chandra has been paid Rs
5,000 on his account and Rs 5,000 are still due to him.
It is said to have also paid one Nagela Chandra Siramaiah
about Rs 2,000. Argi Shenmagapa Chetty about Rs 1,000.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No.

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT-A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

BACK PAPER, No. 119A

OF 1890

80. 1146 R. Twp.
3. 11. 90

FURTHER PAPER, No. 98

OF 1891

Spare copies.

Struck
Issued

Total ..

In Stock ..

This debt 12,000 was
contracted when St.
was employed in the
High Court.

Confidential No. **24**
1891.

Judl. DEPARTMENT. G.O., DATED <i>9th Feby 1891</i> No. 265 Series. <i>Mis</i>

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

Despatched *9/2/91*

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. R. L. Shubrick - Comg.
to the Asst. Genl. and to
the Supr. Genl. of Jails des-
patch from the Secy. of
State confirming the temporary
app't. of — as Supt. of the
Palamotta District Jail.