

Trichinopoly Central Jail.

25th August 1893.

From

H. E. G. Mills Esq

Asst Supt of Central Jail
Trichinopoly.

A.

To

A. G. Lawrence Esq C.S.

Inspector General of Jails
Cannanore.

Sir, In reply to your Confidential Memo No. 11222
of the 18th inst received by me on the 23rd. I beg
respectfully to state that the discrepancies which
the I. G. of Jails points out between my Journals
and the Gatebooks of Cannanore and Trichinopoly
Jails, are only apparent and not real as the
Gatebook records something entirely different
to the Superintendent's Journal in as much
as the Jail gate book only records the time
the Supt passes in or out of the main Gate
while the Supt's Journal records the whole
amount of time spent at the Jail including
work done both inside and outside the walls
and unless the Supt has only been engaged
inside the walls, and not outside, it is quite
impossible that the entries in the Gate book
and the entries in his Journal should be
the same. work inside the Jail walls
By Supt. Jail

a
portion of the usual daily round as I generally
spend an hour or more, either before entering or
after leaving the Jail, in going about the
Jail Grounds. In Trichinopoly there is a great
deal of outdoor work in the gardens and at
the Goldenrock and I have spent many hours
there which naturally find no record in the
Jail Gate books. In Cannanore I used generally
at some hour in the day, go round the outside &
back of the Jail & down to the pumping well.
and time so spent I always added to time spent
inside the Jail and I did not think I was
doing anything wrong in so doing. As I
spent a very large portion of each day inside
the Jail walls there I certainly were expected
to be reproached for not doing sufficient work
there.

3 I always observe the time of my arrival
and departure myself but used to use the
slip which generally was sent up from the
gate as a kind of general check and whatever
I thought was correct I entered. In Trichy
the extract from the gate book used only to be
brought to me once a fortnight and I then
checked my entries in diary with it and
had any reason to think I had made any
errors I corrected them - Any alterations

Journal were so made and have been seen
before by the Inspector General when the diaries
were sent to him originally. Where the corrections
have been made they are most apparent and
were made with no other object than that of
accuracy. Since I came here last month I
have made the Gatekeeper supply me with a
daily slip like Cannanore and if the Inspector
General permits me I will attach an extract
from the Jail gate book to my half monthly
Journal which will be more satisfactory and
the Inspector General will then be able to compare
the time spent inside the Jail & the time spent
outside the Jail respectively.

4 I write my Journal up daily as a general
rule but have on occasions, for one reason or
another, not done so for some days but three
have not occurred often.

5 I have never spared myself or been afraid
of work when it was to be done and I think
I may confidently point to the condition of any
of the Jails which I have been in, when I left
them in proof of this.

6 As the Inspector General says it would
be indeed unnecessary to draw my attention
to the seriousness of the matters, on which
explanation has been called for, if they were
my hypothesis

real
but I respectfully repeat that the entries in
my Journals are not inconsistent with the entries
in the Gate books and that the entries in my
Journal are correct and I most indignantly
repudiate having ever wittingly made any
false entry in any diary shewing myself to
have spent a longer time inside Jail than
I spent in reality or that I ever subsequently
allowed any entries to conceal such falsification
and I deeply regret that the Inspector General
of Jails should ever have considered it necessary
to call on me for such an explanation

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Yours most obed^t. servant

Enclosure No. 3 to letter No. 71400 H.E.G. Mills
dated 21st August 1893.

Ag. Supt. Central Jail

No.

TRICHINOPOLY CENTRAL JAIL OFFICE,

28th August 1893

FROM

H.E.G. Mills Esq.,

Ag. SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL JAIL,

TRICHINOPOLY.

TO

A.G. Gordon Esq. C.I.

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

OOTACAMUND.

Sir,

In reply to your confidential memo No. 1257 dated
22nd inst I have the honor to state that the entries in
my Journals as Superintendent of the District Jail Palamcottah
are quite correct and I have no doubt but that the entries
in the Gate book of that Jail are substantially correct
also, except in the cases where they have omitted to enter
my having visited the Jail at all which are certainly
incorrect, as, except when confined to bed from illness,
I have never failed to visit my Jail since I entered the
Jail Department. As I have already had the honor
of pointing out, the times in the Gate book do not accurately
represent the time spent at the Jail by the Supt and,
in Palamcottah, I personally supervised all the operations
of transforming an uncultivated wilderness with
bed of bruyals & pumpkins, planted together, into
the show garden of the Station vide remarks of the
official visitor in the official visitors book. As anyone
can tell you I worked there for a considerable time
nearly every day myself in the garden. There were no
diaries missing from my knowledge & I myself over charge
I have the honor to be

Sir,

Yours most obed^t. servant

H.E.G. Mills

Ag. Supt. Jail

Enclosure No. 4 is sent
dated 31st August 1893.

JAIL { 189 } DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT
CENTRAL JAIL,
TRICHINOPOLY.

TO

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF JAILS,
OOTACAMUND.

Dated 28 } August 1893
Recd.

No.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

1893
Judl. Dept.
Enclos. No. 1.
To
C. No 3738

No. C/1400.

Camp Cannanore
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

Dated 31st August 1893.

A

From

Alf. Cardew Esq. M.A. C.S.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

To

THE Honble W. Price. C.S. J.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

SIR,

25 Enclosures

I have the honour to submit the following report for the orders of Government.

2. In a Circular issued by Col. Porteous, when Inspector General of Jails, in January 1889 Superintendents were ordered to record in their Journals the hours ^{at which} they visited their Jail daily, and the duration of their visits. The order thus made by Col. Porteous has been incorporated in Rule 58 of the new Jail Code (clause 1)

3. Shortly after coming to Cannanore, my attention was attracted by various allusions, which I heard, to the very late hour at which Mr. Mills, when acting Superintendent, visited the Jail and to the short and hurried nature of his visits. Thinking it curious that I ^{had} never noticed this circumstance in Mr. Mills's Journals,

2111
I examined them, and, finding that the hours there recorded were not so late as I had been led to expect, I proceeded to compare the entries therein made with those in the Gate-book, which is kept in every Tail in accordance with Rule 243 of the Tail Code. The result of this comparison was to show the existence of grave discrepancies between the hours entered by Mr. Mills in his Journal and those recorded in the Gate-book.

4. Having made this discovery, I telegraphed to Mr. Mills on the 9th August to forward to me the Gate-book of the Trichinopoly Central Tail, and the Journals of the Superintendent of that Tail for the first 4 months of 1893. I did not call for the Journals etc. of 1892 as I considered that a sample would be sufficient. On receiving the papers sent for, I found that similar inconsistencies existed between the Journals kept by Mr. Mills as acting Superintendent of the Central Tail, Trichinopoly and the Gate-book of that Tail, though in some cases these had been ~~partially~~ partially concealed by the entries in the Journal being altered.

5. I then sent Mr. Mills Proceedings N^o C-1222, dated 18th August 1893 of which a copy forms Enclosure N^o I to this Letter.

6. Meantime I had called for Journals kept by Mr. Mills as Superintendent of the District Tail, Palamcottah, and for the Gate-book of

of that Tail for the corresponding period. The acting Superintendent of the Tail reported that most of Mr. Mills' Journals were not forthcoming, but he submitted six and these were sufficient to show that similar discrepancies to those already noticed in the case of the Cannanore and Trichinopoly Central Tails, occurred also between the Palamcottah District Tail Gate-book and Journals. I then sent Mr. Mills Proceedings N^o C-1251, dated 22nd August, of which Enclosure N^o 2 is a copy.

7. Mr. Mills' explanations form Enclosure N^o 3 and 4 and are submitted in original.

8. It will be observed that the explanation which Mr. Mills offers of the discrepancies in question is that the hours entered in his Journal include all time spent in Tail work, whether outside the walls, e.g. in going round the outer garden, or inside the Tail, and he says that at Cannanore he "used generally at some time in the day to go round the outside and back of the Tail, and down to the pumping well" in the lower garden.

9. Out of 76 working days during which Mr. Mills was in charge of the Cannanore Central Tail, his entry of the hour at which he visited the Tail and his record of the time he spent at the Tail differs on 67 occasions from that recorded in the Gate-book. He must, therefore, have visited the outside part of the Tail at least 5 days a week. I have made careful inquiries to ascertain whether there is any ground for believing this to be true.

true, and I find that if Mr. Mills did, as he says, go round the outer premises every day no one in the Tail knew of it or ever heard of it. Moreover there can be no doubt that such daily visits to the outer premises, if made, would be a pure waste of time, for at the Cannanor, Central Tail, most of the garden land is inside the Tail wall and most of what is outside is used only for grazing cattle.

Mr. Tyrell, who gave on an average over 5 hours a day to his work, did not visit the outer premises more than once or twice a month, and if, as Mr. Mills alleges, he went every day, he did so unnecessarily, alone, and unsuspected by any of his subordinates.

10. From the nature of the case, it is impossible to demonstrate positively that Mr. Mills' statement as to his daily visit to the outer garden and lands is untrue, however improbable and indeed absurd such an allegation may be. But there is abundance of evidence to prove that, whether this supposed daily visit occurred or not, this is not the explanation of the discrepancies between his Journal and the Gate-book. In the first place, it will be seen that the entries in the Journals themselves purport to relate to time spent inside the Tail, Vide the entries of the 5th, 7th, 11th, 15th, 18th, 19th etc of April, where the hours there entered are specifically stated to have been "inside the Tail". Yet these hours in every case differ from those in the Gate-book. Secondly, on the rare occasions when Mr. Mills did pay a visit to the outer premises, the fact is carefully recorded in the Journal. I would invite attention

attention, for instance, to the Journal of the 7th April, where it is written: "Inside Tail from 8.5 am to 11.50 am. Went round all outside and lines first." Here it is clear that the visit to the outside premises and lines was made before 8.5 at which hour Mr. Mills represents himself to have entered the inside of the Tail. Yet the Gate-book shows that he entered at 9.5. In the third place it is obvious that had Mr. Mills paid daily visits to the outer garden he would have each day recorded the fact in the Journal. There is no such record, and from what I have heard, I believe that he only paid two or three visits to the lower garden, pump etc during his whole tenure of office in the Tail. Fourthly, there are several occasions on which Mr. Mills' explanation of the discrepancies between the hours of visits which he records and those shown in the Gate-book can be shown to be absolutely impossible. On the 11th May, Mr. Mills so severely injured his ankle that he was confined to bed under Medical Orders and could not visit the Tail at all on the 12th. On the 13th May, Mr. Mills' Journal records: - "Managed to attend office with crutches. . . . Could not walk round the Shops." Nevertheless on this same date there is a discrepancy of two hours between the time at which Mr. Mills says he entered the Tail and that recorded in the Gate-book, and if Mr. Mills' explanation is to be accepted, this is due to his having gone round the outside premises! The 14th May was a Sunday. On the 15th, Mr. Mills records that he was unable to walk round and did office work downstairs, instead of ~~the~~ in the usual upstairs room

room, yet on this day, too, there is a difference between the Gate-book and Journal of two hours. On the 16th, Mr. Mills was "still unable to use his right foot" but the Gate-book differs by one hour from the Journal. The next day, the 17th, in spite of this recent severe sprain, Mr. Mills according to his explanation, spent no less than four hours in walking round the outside parts of the Tail. It is at once apparent that on these dates at least Mr. Mills' explanation wholly breaks down. On the 13th, 15th, 16th & 17th May there must have been some other cause for the differences between the hours entered in the Gate-book and those entered by Mr. Mills in the Journal, and as the Gate-book is, as noticed below very well kept and exceedingly correct and as its accuracy is not in any way challenged, the only conclusion possible is that on these occasions Mr. Mills mis-stated the hours of his visits. It will be noticed further that while the hours entered by Mr. Mills differs, the minutes correspond and it seems impossible to avoid the conclusion that the entries in the Journal were wilfully mis-stated and falsified. I may add here that experiment proves that every part of the outside premises can be carefully inspected in a period of rather less than one hour.

11. But there are other cases in which Mr. Mills' explanation does not even attempt to account for the discrepancies which appear between the Journals and Gate-book. Thus on the 19th May, the entries in the Journal state that Mr. Mills was at the Tail from

7.30

7.30 to 11.10 am, whereas the Gate-book shows him to have entered the Tail at 11.30 and to have left at 1.10. Even supposing that on this occasion 4 hours were devoted to visiting the outside premises, which can, in fact, be thoroughly examined in an hour, the discrepancy as to the hour at which Mr. Mills left the Tail remains unexplained. If he really went out at 11.10, why should the Gate-keeper have shown that he left at 1.10? It will be observed that upto and including the 19th May, the Gate-keeper was Warden No. 41 T. Kannan Nair. On that date he was changed and acting Head Warden R. R. N. took his place. Yet an exactly similar inconsistency occurred on the 20th May, when Mr. Mills says he left at 11.25 while the Gate-book shows that he only came at 11.20 and left at 1.25. On both days, and daily, the Gate-book was examined and initialed by the Tailor; had such glaring errors relating to so marked a person as the Superintendent occurred, it is not likely they would have passed unnoticed. Moreover, Mr. Mills himself, on receiving the slips referred to in para 3 of the explanation would naturally have had the errors corrected.

12. The Gate-books of the Cannanore, Central Tail, which are submitted as Enclosures 5, 6 & 7 to this Letter, are neatly and legibly kept, and are subject to regular checks. In evidence of their correctness, I invite attention to Enclosure No. 25, which shows the hours at which Mr. Tyrrell arrived and left the Tail for a period of 6 weeks before, and 4th period of 2 weeks after, Mr. Mills' acting appointment.

It will be observed that in every case the entries made by Mr. Tyrrell in his Journal exactly correspond with those made in the Gate-book. Thus, both before and after Mr. Mills' tenure of office, the Gate-book is found to be correct and free from discrepancies with the Journal. It may be added that the Journal was treated by Mr. Mills as a confidential document. He himself despatched it, and received it back, and kept it in his custody, and there was, therefore, no chance of any subordinate making a comparison between it and the Gate-book.

13. In view of the overwhelming force of the above evidence, I am unable to arrive at any other conclusion than that Mr. Mills systematically falsified his Journal, with the object of concealing from me his late and brief attendance. There are, moreover, good grounds for the belief that other entries in his Journal besides the hours of attendance are unreliable. Thus if, as the Gate-book shows, Mr. Mills did not enter the Jail till 12.10 P. M. on the 11th May, it is exceedingly unlikely that he could have "examined the cooked food", which would have been issued to the prisoners at 10.45 (Rule 327 of the Jail Code). So likewise on the 20th and 22nd June. In fact there is strong reason to believe that Mr. Mills wrote up his Journal once a week or so, and that it was throughout largely a work of imagination. One piece of evidence on this point is at least irrefragable. On the 30th May he writes: "Executed 1. I conducted the execution of Convict N^o 7805 this morning. He gave no trouble and died easily." In the entries of the hours of visits are made to agree

to agree with this statement. A variety of records, however, - the Gate-book, the Medical Officer's Journal, the Tailor's Report-book and the General Abstract etc., - prove that Convict N^o 7805 was not executed on the 30th May at all, but on the 31st May - a day later.

14. The above remarks relate primarily to the records connected with the Cannanore Central Jail, but the same reasons which I have referred to as disproving the truth of Mr. Mills' explanation as regards that Jail apply also to the discrepancies appearing between the Gate-books and his Journals submitted from Palamcottah and Trichinopoly. In order not to make the records connected with this letter too voluminous, the Gate-books of the last mentioned Jails are not submitted. The discrepancies at Palamcottah show that the system of entering in the Journal a longer period of time as being spent in Jail than was really so spent has been going on at least since October 1891, though at Palamcottah it was practised less boldly and continuously than at Cannanore. Referring to Mr. Mills' explanation dated 28th August 1893, which specially relates to Palamcottah, it may be pointed out -

(1) that when the garden was visited, the fact is distinctly recorded in the Journal e.g. 10th October, 2nd, 6th, 7th November

(2) that it is absurd to suppose that Mr. Mills selected the middle and hottest part of the day to visit the garden e.g. from 10.15 to 11.15 on 10th October

from 10.5 to 11.5 on 12th October, from 10.45 to 11.45
on 13th October and so on.

(3) that the Tail lands at Palamcottah are
not extensive and can easily be examined
in an hour.

(4) that his explanation does not account
at all for such discrepancies as that of the
11th November 1891, when his Journal represents
that he came to the Tail at 7.46 am,
stayed $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours and left at 11.13. Whereas
the Gate-book shows that he did not
enter the Tail till 10.46, stayed $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour,
and left at 12.13. In order to make
Mr. Mills' explanation meet these facts
we must suppose first that he spent
3 hours in the garden, and secondly that
the Gate-book then makes an error of
exactly one hour as to the time at which
he left.

15. It does not appear necessary to examine
at further length the discrepancies which appear
in the Records of the Palamcottah and Trichinopoly
Tails. At Trichinopoly it will be observed that the
only week in which the Gate-book and Journal
agree is that of my inspection, 27th January to
1st February, when Mr. Mills did not venture
and did not need to increase the number of
hours spent in Tail. On Monday the 30th January
I find by my private Journal as well as from
the entry in Mr. Mills' Journal that I went round
Garden and grounds. I started between 7.48
and

and the Gate-book shows that I entered the
Tail with Mr. Mills at 8.40. If the explanation
now offered by Mr. Mills were the true one, he would
have entered the time thus spent in going round
the Garden as time spent in Tail but he did
not. Nothing could show more clearly that the
hours entered in the Journal were intended
to be taken as hours spent inside the Tail. I
have compared the hours at which Mr. Gadsden
shows himself in his Journal to have entered
the Tail, and I find that they agree accurately
with the Gate-book. It is only in the case of
Mr. Mills, therefore, that these unaccountable dif-
ferences exist.

16. It is ~~un~~^{ne}cessary, however, to refer at
more length to the undoubted alterations in
many of the hours entered in the Journals
which appear in those submitted by Mr. Mills
from Trichinopoly. In para 4 of his explanation,
he refers to these alterations and ^{states} that
they are openly made and were ^{made} to ^{them}
when I originally received them. My remarks
to speak with absolute certainty of hand-
of papers which passed through
some months ago, but I have
in stating that the corrections
exist. Mr. Mills' Journals were
able for the uniform character of
writing and ink.

postures than
recollections

17. A point of more in

recollection is the character of the alterations in themselves. In every case it will be found that they have been made in order to produce agreement or to reduce the amount of disagreement between the Journal and the Gate-book. In every case, moreover, it will be seen that their effect is to reduce the number of hours which were originally shown by the Journal to have been spent in Jail. The most frequent alteration is of the figure "seven" into ten, eg. on the 2nd 3rd & 4th January, but in various cases the figure "seven" has been skilfully altered into a "nine", eg. on the 6th January, 7th & 10th February etc. A comparison with the other Journals submitted, especially those of Cannanor, will show that in these cases the figure 9 does not resemble Mr. Mills' usual nines, being much above the level of the other figures instead of, as elsewhere, on the same level. These alterations - certainly cannot be said to be "most apparent" or to have been openly made. It will also be noticed that in many places all the figures of the Journal have been re-inked over, so as to conceal the fact that one had been tampered with. This plan has been extensively resorted to in Mr. Mills' Journals for July and August, which have been received since my telegram of the 9th August informed him he was discovered. I invite and did to the Journal for the period ending 15th hours spent touching up of figures there apparent I find by me been resorted to in order to conceal the entry in the processes to which some other Journals in garden and 1892 which are still in his custody to. The Journals also from P. Lameethah.

and Cannanor show no such marks of having been tampered with.

It is with much regret that I have to lay before Government charges so gravely affecting an officer of this Department. I have never supposed Mr. Mills to be ^{an} extremely energetic Servant of the State, but I had certainly full belief in his reliability. So far as I know, he has done his work fairly well, though it is, of course, difficult now to say what part of his statements as to his exertions has been correct and what incorrect. As the discovery of this matter has been made by myself, it seems undesirable that I should venture any suggestion as to its disposal and I accordingly now leave it in the hands of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your Most obed^t Serv^t

A. G. Gardner
Insp^r Genl. of Jails.

From

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to
Government,
Madras

Dated *31st* }
Despatched } *August* 1893.
Received }

No. *C/1400*.

ENCLOSURES. 25

Inclos. 16-4 Sent with this letter.
" 5 to 25 - Sent Separately + a list of the

Subject.

Submits for the orders of
Government a Report regarding
certain entries in his Journals
submitted by Mr Mills when
acting Superintendent of the Can-
manor, Trichinopoly & Palamcottah
Tails.

General Pennington

18.10.20
Y. H. S. S. S.

$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$
 $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{16}$

Post Dept
To
Mr. [illegible]

Linnæus

Confidential.

No. 91426.

From

Alf. Cardew Esqr. M.A. C.S.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

SIR,

4. Enclosures.

In my Confidential Letter No. C/1400 dated 31st August 1893, I have omitted to explain the system under which the hour of his visit entered in the Gate-book was communicated to Mr. Mills. This was done by a daily slip, copied from the Gate-book by the Gate-keeper, and sent up to the office. I enclose some of these slips as lately sent up to me for the same purpose, viz: of entering the hour of visit in the Journal. None of the slips sent to Mr. Mills can be found.

2. By these slips, which Mr. Mills refers to in para 3 of his explanation dated 25th August, Mr. Mills was made acquainted with the true hour at which he entered the Jail. He admits that he altered the hour so reported and ^{he} explains that the alteration was made in order to cover time spent in going round the outside premises. The question, therefore, is whether the alteration was really made for this reason, or for other reasons.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

N.H.—

A = Print in run.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

JUDICIAL.

JAIL (1893) DEPARTMENT.

CONFIDENTIAL. No. 249

From

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

OFFICE OF INSPECTION
CANNANORE.

My dear Mr. Price.

In connection with the acc-
report on certain entries in Mr.
nal. I beg to state that I have re-
statements from the Tailor & Deputy Tail
Cannanore Central Jail as to the number
times Mr. Mills visited the outer garden w
he was here, but I have thought it unnec-
& undesirable to introduce them into the report.
They are, however, available, if needed.

On 21st June, the Gatebook shows that Mr. Mills
entered the Jail with the Joint Magt at 9.50, where-
as the Journal - which does not mention the J. Magt, shows
Mr. Mills to have entered at 7.50. I have ascer-
tained from Mr. Batten that on this occasion he
drove up to Mr. Mills' house about nine, found
him in bed or dressing, & after waiting till he was
ready, accompanied him to the Jail. Here again
however it seems neither necessary nor desirable to
introduce Mr. Batten's name.

I have also said nothing as to the fact, notorious
in Cannanore, that Mr. Mills was in the Cantonment from
early each afternoon until the small hours of the
next morning. Yours sincerely R. G. Cardew

Dated 2nd

Despatched

Received 5

September 1893.

No.

9/1426

ENCLOSURES 4

Subject.

Submits additional information regarding
certain entries in Mr. Mills' Journal.

CS. 15th Sept. 1893 No. 1884

The J. G's letters will be com-
to Mr. Mills & he will be requested
to submit such explanation
as he may have to offer
within a fortnight of the date
of receipt of this order.

(From orders)

Letter of J. G. of Tails

(Extra papers returned)

(10. 27384 2745 with 25 sheets
to former & 14 to latter)

This is a very bad case indeed. I think
the L. G. shows clearly that there have been
systematic false statements in Mr. Mills'
journals as to the time spent by him in the
Jail & that he has been in charge; that in the
case of Cannanore at any rate, there have
been wilfully made, the true hours of entry &
leaving having been furnished daily to him by
means of slips; that the journals have not in
all cases been written up daily, & are in some
places works of imagination, as Mr. Cardew
puts it; that there is strong ground to suspect
that the figures in the Trichinopoly journals
have been tampered with by Mr. Mills himself.

A worse charge could hardly be made. If it is
likely Mr. Mills is shown to be utterly unfit
for a position of trust & cannot in my opinion
be permitted to remain in the service. His
is a considerable penalty for offences of this
nature. No pecuniary loss is entailed
on Mr. Mills & finally
he is allowed an
order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

N.B.-

A = Print in full.

B = Not to be printed.

P = Print abstract only.

Q = Print heading only.

R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Jud. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3738 & 31. 8. 93

3745 & 2. 9. 93.

Dated

FOR ORDERS.

The case is set out by
the J. G. There seems to be no doubt as to what
the papers about Mr. Mills' ap-
pointment to the Tail Dept. have
been sent for to be read.

6/9/93.

It appears to me somewhat premature to dispose
of Mr. Mills - till we see what he has to say
to the J. G's letter. It certainly looks very
black for him, and I hardly think he will
be able to clear himself. If so, the facts will
be so apparent, & the case so clear, that
it will hardly be necessary to appoint
any Commission for Enquiry. However
we must await his explanation.

13 Sep

The question is, whether his
conduct is not from the subject of an
inquiry under Act 37 of 1850. As a
public servant he is removable without the
sanction of Govt. When that point is
decided, after receipt of his explanation,
if it is decided to hold him liable under
Act 37, it will be for him

FOR ORDERS.

Case of Mr. Mills

MAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, No.

Com 169

OF 189.

22 2365793

ENCLOSURES.

Spare copies.

Struck

Issued

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DFTO.

Total ..

In Stock ..

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged.)

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

{ Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume

*not
sent from
ours of the
y. Cardew*

ichine

Confidential No. 141
1893.

<i>Judd</i> DEPARTMENT. G.O., DATED <u>15-9-93</u> No. <u>1884</u> Series. <u>mis</u>
--

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. Mills' Case