

From

Col Weldon

No.

Dated 4th Dec 91
Received 5
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Salt-Cotinus case.

There is not enough to go on. If we had any specific statement officially put forward we should without doubt be justified in taking action as suggested by the hon. Mr. Martin. But as matters stand, I think it had better be dropped. But we should have definite information as to the plans involved, and take good care that they are not prejudicial in their future career.

W
29 Dec.

C. L. Van Catten might be

Can? to Col Cox by C. &
he might keep himself informed as to
the news. Wm F. Shaw

H. M.

As Col. Weldon does not ^{apparently} wish
any further action to be taken in
connection with his charges of ob-
-struction on the part of the
Salt Dept. in the Salt Co. case
Murder case, it is submitted
that this paper may be recorded

Please see DO of 9 July 1971 at
111 Ind.

4
12 Dec.

Record.

Sub
16 bus

Ran
12/12

I regret that I cannot consult
 the three papers Mr. G. read.
 The action, or rather inaction
 of the Salt Commission, especially
 of Mr. W. Croft, Foster &
 Boyce was, as my Hon. Colleague
 in his note of the 27th of June
~~has made abundantly plain~~
 & signifies that of them
 Croft & Boyce, most reprehens-
 -ible. It was clearly their
 duty to have done everything they
 could to bring the offenders
 to justice & to have secured
 the R.R. in their hands in
 any way. Instead of this
 they do nothing - & if W. Croft
 really (as Mr. Webster says he
 did) issued personal
 orders that the Salt Officers
 appear here to have nothing
 whatever to do with the
 matter, we have an ignorant

R4/1



CHIEF SECRETARY
14 SEP 91
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Only
14 Sep 91

My dear Prie

With reference to Remondet's
memorial I enclose for circulation
with the papers letters received from
Armandet.

Yours sincerely
L. E. Stokes

R. 14/11

Yes, supposing it is clearly understood by Col. Cox that he is to keep Genl. as well as "himself" informed.

Yes - see that 22/11/92
the one not printed is 13 Jan

The Honorable W. Garstin
C.S.D.

Order of 23.1.92 No 165

Recorded

(From Order)

CS.
24/1/92

W. Garstin
15 Jan.

Note It is understood that Col. will personally communicate Col. Welton's letter to Col. Cox & will inform him that he must keep himself & Genl. informed of the fate of the persons.

W.

My dear Cox 23.1.92

Referring to our conversation the other day, I send you Welton's letter for perusal & return.

Yours sincerely
(Sd) J.F. Puer

You can of course, if you think it necessary, take a copy of it

Col. H. Cox

(with D.D. in original to be returned)

W. M.S.

Re: - to H.E. the Genl.

The Hon. Mr. Stokes proposes, as regards the persons, that the Chief Secretary should communicate Col. Welton's letter to Col. Cox with instructions to keep himself informed as to the persons. If H.E. considers that this will be enough the "order" recorded will be sufficient formal disposal.

R
8/1/92

W. Garstin
9 Jan.

(1) Subm. to the Hon. Mr. Stokes with reference to H.E.'s remarks.

W.
4 Jan.

W. Garstin C.S.D.

Order

Recorded

(From order)

W.
9 Jan.

REPRINTING ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT- A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.-

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

BACK PAPER, No.

124 Conf.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

Spare copies.

Struck

Issued

Total ..

In Stock ..

W. O.

Confidential No. 20
1892.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 23. 1. 92
No. 165
Series. Mis

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Salt-Cotons Murder Case

Receiving letter from Court.
Office as result of —