Commissioner's Office
Madras City Police.
May 1891.

From, Colonel J. Weldon.
Commissioner of Police,
Madras.

To. Mir Ghulam Husain
Mahomed Abu Baqr Sahib.

Sir,

I am desirous to see you on a matter of importance and therefore write to enquire if you will be good enough to call here on Saturday next the 23rd instant at, say, 12 noon.

Yours faithfully.
(Signed). J. Weldon.
Commissioner of Poli

To
Colonel J. Weldon,
Commissioner of Police

Sir,

With reference to your Memo. (bearing no date) and received on the

20th instant I have the honor to inform you that as you have omitted to describe me as Chief Cazee of Madras, and as on a previous occasion I was described as "styling" myself as such, I cannot with dignity to my position accede to your request of calling upon you this day noon unless I am addressed by my full title.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Obedient Servant

(Signed) Meer Goolam Hoossain
Mohomed Abubaker
Cazi-ool-Kuzzatt.

adras 23rd
ay 1891.

Commissioner's Office
Madras City Police
23rd May 1891.

To
Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain
Mahomed Abu Bagr Sahib.

Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter of this date.

As none of the authorities referred to by you supported your claim to the title of "Kazi-ul-Kuzat" I did not so describe you though no disrespect was intended by the omission.

As the matter in which an interview was sought was of importance to yourself personally I regret you should on the mere ground of informality have declined my invitation.

Should you desire to reconsider your objection I will be glad to see you at noon on Tuesday the 26th instant.

Yours faithfully.

(Signed). J. Weldon.
Commissioner of Police.

(True Copies)
Weldon
Commr. of Police.

Compt. 238/1/91

Confidential Current No. 238

CHIEF SECRETARY
3 JUN 91
MADRAS

CONFIDENTIAL.

D.O.

From Commissioner of Police
Madras

To the Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.
Chief Secy.

Dated 1. June }
Recd. } 1891

Enclosure One.

Reporting failure to obtain interview with Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain to make the communication referred to in G.O. 11 May 1891 No. 907 (Judl) and requesting instructions.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1036.

& Confl. No. 238

From Kazi of Madras
& Commr. of Police

Dated 2nd March ~~April~~ & 1. June 1891.

FOR ORDERS.

C.S.
4/6

for
5 June &
5 June.

I would do nothing but let the papers lie over.

J.H.S.
5 June

~~J.H.S.~~
14/6/91

NOTE FOR ~~THE~~ Mr. Schmidt.

Please see what the Hd. references of this Dept says.
*| If you return the papers already furnished, we shall be able to say what particular paper is referred to by the Chief Secy.

C.A.
13/4

* The papers are returned as requested (they have been in circn. till now) but please do not break up the file as the matter for which they were required is not yet disposed of. Please return the paper

C.S.
13/5

NOTE FOR THE Head Clerk

Your note to the Registrar about the papers required by the Chief Secy. I believe I have sent already all the papers relating to the claim advanced in regard to the Coromandel village, and neither Sundararaja Iyengar nor I can find any more papers on the subject. Please give us some more particulars as regards the exact paper wanted, or at least the no. & dates of the papers put up for the currents disposed of in G.O. $\frac{2237}{2\text{-}4\text{-}90}$, if these are not all at Ooty.

I

NOTE FOR THE Registrar

Please see ~~the~~ Chief Secy's note below. As all the papers up to 1889 are at Madras, I send down the note with the request that the papers referred to may be sent up. Mr. Mascarenhas has sent up some papers; but there are others. I remember in 1888 Ramachandra Iyer or Ganapati Iyer wrote a note about the Kazi of Madras & Hon. Mr. Price ~~[illegible]~~ too added

---

What is the Kazi's petition to Govt & Col. [illegible] report about him

1/4

For the Chief Secretary

Please see the papers submitted with this. The Under Secy. directed that the papers in the Rev. Deft. regardi

---

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

Hd Clerk Revenue

Please see Chief Secy's note. Will you let me know as soon as you can about the papers

CS
7.4

Will you please return the papers already sent.

CR
7/4

They have gone in circn. Meanwhile could you not ~~[illegible]~~ have the ones the CS refers to looked up. CS 7/4

To C.S. along with the file.

$\frac{ls}{27/3}$

Papers recd. from the Rev. Deft. and submitted. The Kazi is the same man referred to by the Chief Secy.

Rur
4/4

C. 6 VI
R15 VI

I remember A. Krishnamachari of Madurantakam being also described as a thorn in the side of every Collr. - vide G.O. 31-3-90 No 2154 (intact bundle).

9/4

Hd Repr

Please see G.O. 13-4-88 No 264-5. There ~~are~~ are a lot of papers on this subject, but I cannot trace the remark quoted by the C.S. There is no note under G.O. 264/88.

C. 6 VI
R 15 VI

Sent to Eng Coll. of Chingleput for years back.

25/3

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

The Chief Secy. has not initialled this paper. Should it be sent back to him?

Rur

25/3

I think C.S. wants a reply to his blue-pencil note: ask Rev. Dept. about it & if the papers referred to by C.S. are forthcoming submit them to him with this file. If not, send note to that effect

---

a note I think. Please ask the references to find it & and send up soon.

2/4.

Please see Mascarenhas's note below. He has made a good search here

RS
10/4

---

regarding the Kazi should be obtained and submitted to the Chief Secy.

Rur

4/4

The papers sent up are not those wanted. There is a whole heap more. I recollect when R.S. wanted a note on this man. It was with reference to a petition to the Govt. Ask them to have another look. Where is the letter in which I described him as "a thorn in the side of Eng. Coll. of Chingleput". If the Rev. Reference Dept. cannot find what I want I shall write to Mr. Fulton about it. I can easily trace most of the papers which are not forthcoming by writing to the Sheristadar of Chingleput

5/4

CONFIDENTIAL

Commissi
Madras Ci

CHIEF SECRETARY
11 JUN 91
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

9. 6. 9
4 P.M.

My dear Pole

The Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain came here yesterday evening. I explained the facts. He agreed that the entry in his Deputy's register was apparently false. And said he would dismiss him. I told him he had better write to me & say so and I would communicate with Govt. I have just received a registered letter from him which instead of doing as he said he impudently demands a copy of my instructions. I'll send you off. report tomorrow

Yrs truly
Weldon

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

Hd Clerk Revenue

Please see Chief Secy's note. Will you let me know as soon as you can about the papers

CS
7.4

Will you please return the papers already sent.

CR.
7/4

They have gone in circn. Meanwhile could you not ~~both~~ have the ones the CS refers to looked up. CS 7/4

2 5/4

CONFIDENTIAL No. 247

[9] Judl. DEPARTMENT.

D.O.

From

Commr: of Police

No

Dated 9
Received 11 } June 1891
Enclosures —

SUBJECT.

Interview with Kazi of Madras.

x 574

To.

His Excellency the Governor in
Council of Fort St. George.

The humble Memorial of
Mir Ghulam Hoosain
Muhammad Aliahaher.
the Chief Cazee of Madras:
residing at Triplicane
of Madras aforesaid.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
11 JUN 91
GOVERNMENT

Sheweth.

That on the 8th Instant, the Commissioner of Police for the city of Madras sent for Memorialist: that Memorialist saw him the same day, when the said Commissioner informed him that he had received an Order from Government to be communicated to Memorialist, which desired Memorialist to dismiss Saiyed Ghouse Patcha Saheb from the post of Naib cazee, on account of his alleged misconduct in the matter of the marriage of Khadir Bee, an enquiry with regard to which had been held Colonel T. O. Underwood, the Commissioner of Police, & also by Memorialist, and reports submitted to Government.

That the said Commissioner also informed Memorialist to pass the Order of dismissal then and there, and reduce the same to writing, so that the Commissioner might be enabled to forward a copy of the same to Government without delay: and that in default of his doing so, Memorialists' Jaghirs would be in danger.

That Memorialist then informed Commissioner that he wanted time to consider over the matter: and that he could not understand how the alleged misconduct of a subordinate even when sufficiently established, prove disastrous to Memorialists' Jaghirs.

That the Commissioner then informed Memorialist that the dismissal Order of the said *Naib* Cazee should reach his hands not later than 10 a.m. the following day.

That after Memorialist left the Commissioner's room, the Manager of the said Commissioner's Office and also one of the clerks, came up to Memorialist and said that the only course left to Memorialist was to dismiss the *Naib* Cazee, otherwise Memorialist would involve himself in danger.

That on the 9th Instant, Memorialist sent a letter to the Commissioner asking him to furnish Memorialist with a copy of the G. O. mentioned by him as an authority for Memorialist to act upon. (Copy enclosed).

not received
Cl
11.6.

Now Memorialist respectfully asks Government whether what the Commissioner has done, and asks Memorialist to do, with regard to his *Naib*, is and has been, with its sanction and approval, and if so, to furnish Memorialist with a copy of its Order.

Memorialist shall ever pray.

Dated this 9th day of June 1891.

میر غلام حسین محمد ابابکر قاضی القضاۃ

محمد ابابکر قاضی القضاۃ

CONFIDENTIAL No. 248

[9] Judicial DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 2681

From

Mir Ghulam Husain
Kazi of Madras.

No

Dated 9 }
Received 11 } June 1891

Enclosures —

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be furnished with copy of Order under which the Commr. of Police advised him to dismiss his Naib.

11.

My dear Price

This wily gen

trying to make ev

near future possible

If he could have got me to
address him as Chief Kazi &c
he would ~~have~~ quoted the Commr.
of Police with other authorities
who recognized his claim &c

His latest move is only what
every native tries for - viz: get as
much as you can in writing -
He consults a vakil Nizamudin

A

Triplicane,
9th June 1891.

From

Mir Ghulam Hussain Md. Abubeker
Chief Cazi of Madras.

To

Colonel J. Weldon, C.I.E
Commissioner of Police
Egmore.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you to kindly furnish me with a Copy of the Order of Government which you mentioned to me yesterday at the interview, and which as you said, desires me to dismiss Saiyed Ghouse Patcha Sahib from the post of Naib Cazi for in the absence of any such written authority I will be unable to take any action in the matter.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Obedient Servant.

Sd/ Mir Ghulam Hoossain Mahomed
Abubeker. Kazi-ul-Kuzat, Madras.

Seal

(True Copy)
J. Weldon
Commr. Police

writes impudent

Yrs truly

Weldon

No rain here and scorching
hot winds.

B

Commissioner's Office
Madras City Police
10th June 1891.

To

Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain
Mahomed Abu Bagr Sahib.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 9th instant and to inform you that it will be forwarded to Government.

2 __ Certain facts were brought to your notice and the responsibility of acting or refusing to act on those facts rests with you. I am unable therefore to see what written authority you require to enable you to exercise powers which you claim for yourself and in the direction in which you admitted justice required.

Yours faithfully

Sd/ J. Weldon.

Commissioner of Police

Mas

(True Copy)

Weldon

Commr Police

Jud: 2685/6 | 91

C

Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain Mahomed Abu Bagr States:-

When I took my judgment to Colonel Underwood he told me that Kadir Bi had complained to him of being illtreated by the Police and that he had reported the matter to Government. I said she mentioned the same to me but I said that had nothing to do with the matter I was enquiring into.

I did not say that there was great indignation in the Mussulman Community because Kadir Bi a Gosha woman was examined by Inspector Jay Sing - nor did I say I was making any representation to Government on this account.

T

What I said was that in order to avoid trouble to the Community in future it would be well if the Paymaster would open a register at once and record the names of heirs &c.

The Paymaster told me to make a representation to him on this Subject. I have written a letter to the Paymaster. There was no discussion on any other matter than what is referred to in that letter.

I made no Complaint to Colonel Underwood that there was general grief of the Community at Kadir Bi being examined by Inspector Jay Sing.

Stated this 8th day of June 1891 by Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain Mahomed Abu Baqr.

Before me
Sd/ J Weldon.
Commissioner of Police

(True Copy)

Weldon Col
Comr of Police

CONFIDENTIAL

CURRENT No. 26

City Police Office,

No. 38.

Madras, 11th June 1891

From Colonel J. Weldon, C. I. E.
~~THE~~ COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
MADRAS.

To The Chief Secretary to Government,
With the Governor,
Stonehouse Hill.

Sir,

In Continuation of Demi-Official letters of 1st and 9th June 1891 I have the honour to report that on the afternoon of 8th instant Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain Came to See me.

2 —— As directed I explained the facts which tend to show that the Entry made by his Naib or Deputy, Syad Ghouse Badsha, in his Register and having reference to one Kadir Bi was fraudulent. The Kazi inspected the document and expressed himself

(1)

satisfied. I told him that Government considered that a man capable of such misconduct was not fit to exercise the Office of Deputy Kazi. I then asked Mir Ghulam Hussain what he proposed to do. He replied "What can be done" "except dismiss him?" the Naib. I said, "Very well, if you have so decided" "and so intend you had better write to" "me to that effect and I will inform" "Government." He said he would do so the next day (9th) and I advised him not to delay as I had already been obliged to inform Government that he had failed to comply with my request for an interview and it was possible that in the absence of any further information Government might pass Orders on the facts as known to them. Mir Ghulam Hussain promised to see to it without delay. He further excused his previous judgment by saying that he had not seen the original entry in the Register and although his Munshi had attended to inspect the book a Copy only had been submitted to him (Kazi).

3 ——— On 9th June (Tuesday) instead of the letter promised and expected I received a letter from Mir Ghulam Hussain requesting to be furnished with a Copy of the Order of Government under which I acted and stating that without this written Authority he could take no action in the matter (Copy attached and marked **A**.)

4 ——— To this I sent a reply. (Copy attached marked **B**.).

5 ——— Certainly if there be an Endowment at the disposal of Government for the benefit of a Kazi it would seem more proper that it should be Conferred on the

Government nominee having a legal status than on a man like Mir Ghulam Husain who not only seems to encourage malpractices but treats Government and its representatives with disrespect and Contempt.

6 —— As the Kazi was here I took the opportunity to ask him what foundation there was for the Complaint he was alleged to have made to Colonel Underwood and to Government as to the grief of the Mussulman Community owing to the woman Kadir Bi - alleged by Colonel Underwood to be Gosha - having been examined by Inspector Jay Sing as stated in Colonel Underwood's letter to Government dated 25th March 1891.

7 —— Mir Ghulam Husain denied that he had ever made any such Complaint or knew of any such

feeling on this account - As he persisted in this denial I recorded then and there his statement - (Copy attached marked C) He declared that he made no representation beyond what is contained in a letter written by him to Colonel Underwood. As Colonel Underwood is not likely to be mistaken or to misrepresent what occurred this incident shows the kind of person Mir Ghulam Husain is.

8 —— As regards Kadir Bi I presume Colonel Underwood gave Credence to the representation he says was made to him or he would not have deemed it necessary to refer to it in his letter to Government and Counsel the Kazi as to the step he should take - Kadir Bi is a very ordinary female of mature age who, according to her own relatives, has made no pretence of

keeping gosha for the past 15 or 16 years – She moves freely and uncovered through the town and has several times attended at the Langar-Khana to receive the Charitable dole granted to her brother. She attended openly at this Office to record her Affidavit as to her alleged Nicka marriage. What the Kazi and his friends do object to is the interference of the Police in their little schemes and this feeling is no doubt applicable to either males or females.

Colonel Underwood appears to be too easily disposed to accept uncorroborated statements.

9 — I return all the papers received with the Order of Government and as

1. Copy. G.O. 1113 Rev- 20-5-79
2. Col. Underwood's letter dated 25th March 1895
3. Original decision of the Kazi. No. 44 of 1308 Hijri
4. Translation of above.

specified in the margin.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Wheldon Colonel.
Commissioner of Police.

Judicial (1891) Department

CURRENT No. 2685

'91 No 249

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
12 JUN 91
GOVERNMENT, C

(D.O. Weldon)

From
The Commissioner of Police
Madras.

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
with the Governor
Stonehouse Hill.

Dated 11th } June 1891.
Received

No. 38.

Enclosures. 7. → 3 + 1 Revenue G.O. put back in file

Kazi Mir Ghulam Hussain

Submits further report in the Case of —

Jud. DEPARTMENT.

C No.s 247 (Conf.l) / 9. 6. 91, 2681 (248 Confid.) / 9. 6. 91. & 2685 (249 Confid.l) / 11. 6. 91.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

Three more currents in connection with the so-called Kazi-ul-Kazaat of Madras are submitted.

C. No. 249 is an amplification of C. No. 247 & in it Col. Weldon brings to notice the refusal of Mir Ghulam Hussain to dismiss his Naib until he sees the authority upon which Col. Weldon is acting.

In C. No. 248 Mir Ghulam Hussain asks whether Col. W. is acting under the orders of Govt. & requests that if this be the case he may be given a copy of the G. O. on the subject (i.e. of G.O. 11 May 1891 No. 907 Mis. Jud.).

One point calls for notice:— In para 5 of C. No. 249 Col. Weldon says "if there be an "Endowment at the disposal of "Govt." From this remark it would seem ~~that~~ Col. W. has not enquired in the first instance of the Collr. of Chingleput whether the proceeds of the village of Coromandel are being paid over to Mir Ghulam Hussain. He was directed to make this preliminary

The Endowment is at the disposal of Govt. for Kazi service, and a man who maintains a Naib who has been shown to have made false entries in his registers certainly commits a breach of duty as Kazi which would warrant Govt. in refusing to recognize him any longer and in directing the Endowment to the Kazis appointed under the Act.

I agree
JHS
25/6

I would enquire through the Rev. Dep. from the Collr. whether payment has been and is still, paid to Mr. Ghulam Husain. From the allusion to his jagir, I take it that the case is so.
HEO 20 June

JHS

Enquiry in G.O. of 11 May 1891 No. 907, Mis. Judl.

If, after learning that Col. W. is acting under the orders of Govt., Mir Ghulam Hussain still refuses to dismiss his suit an enquiry will apparently have to be made as to the power of Govt. to discontinue the payment to him of the proceeds of Coromandel.

It is presumed that ~~the~~ copy of G.O. of 11 May 1891 No. 907 Judl. is not to be given to Mir Ghulam Hussain.

Sd 17 June.

18 June

Case of Mir Ghulam Hussain

Certainly not.
I would endorse the petition to this effect & say that Col. Weldon in communicating with him was acting under instructions from Govt.

20 June

25/6

(For orders)

C. 19/VI
R. 26/VI

Judl. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 11 May 1891, No. 907 Mis. Judl.

Again G.O., dated 18, No.

Conf. C. No. 238 ——— 1 June — Col. Weldon.

Current No. 247 (Conf.) of 1891, dated 9 June from Col. Weldon.

——— 2651 (= Conf. C. No. 248) ——— 9 June — Mir Ghulam Hussain

Current No. 2655 (= Conf. C. No. 249) of 1891, dated 11 June from Col. Weldon.

Order 3rd July 91 No. 1311

The Collector of Chingleput will be requested in the Rev: Dept. to report whether any payment has been made (& is still being made) of the proceeds of the village of Coromandel to Mir Ghulam Hussain.

2. The petitioner will be informed that Col. Weldon in communicating with him was acting entirely under the instructions of Govt. & that no copy of these instructions will be furnished to him.

(For orders)

Sd. 27 June.

30 June

Despd.
4. VII. 91

To Rev. Dept. (para 1)
" Petr. (para. 2 only). 2681=P
" ~~Col. Weldon.~~ Comr. of Police, Madras
[C. of 238, 247 & 249 & P. of 248 & Order.]

Kazi Mir Shuhadin
Husain

Confidential

G

(Narrow orders)

Back Paper, No. 78 Confdl. of 1891

Further Paper, No. Judl 1655 of 1891

Confdl. 143

Read again Order of Government, dated
18 , No.

Enclosures

Spare copies.

Struck .. .. ..

Issued .. .. ..

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Total ..

In Stock ..

Order, &c., of Government—A.

Papers kept out of File for despatch in original
(not paged).

Number of copies required. Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

[7]

Confidential No. 109
1891.

Judicial Department.

G.O., dated 3. VII. 91.

No. 1321.

Series. Mis

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

Despatched 4. VII. 91

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mir Ghulam Husain asking Colr. of Chingleput thro' Revenue Dept. whether proceeds of the village of Coromandel are paid to —— and informing the latter that Cr. Weldon acted under the orders of Govt.