

G10 No: 1196 Judicial (conf)
df. 18.6.1891

9. Shale

No. 417

VIZAGAPATAM GOVERNOR'S AGENT'S OFFICE

Vizagapatam Dated 5th June

From

E. S. Laffan

W. A. WILLOCK Esq^r

Ag. Agent to the Governor

Vizagapatam District.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price,

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MADRAS.

Confidential

Sir,

I have the honor to report in confirmation my telegram of this date that I have thought it necessary to commit Kincha Ramanna Dora, Muttadar of Chittampadu and Bandavalasa, two villages at the foot of the hills near Golconda by warrant under Regulation II of 1819 and have sent him to Vizagapatam to be detained there for the present under police surveillance.

2. The ground on which I have committed K. Ramanna Dora is that he is strongly suspected of furnishing and spreading false information as to the movements of the future gang now moving about in this taluk. The facts giving rise to this suspicion are as follows:

3. On Tuesday the 26th Ultimo three days after the attack on Krishnadevipeta the Tahsildar of Golconda sent for several neighbouring mookharsa

செப்பனுவதற்காக எடுத்த
கொள்வப்பட்ட க. ரமணன்
மாதம் 1991 வருடம்
செப்பனட்டு முடித்த க. ரமணன்
மாதம் 1991 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளன்
இதயொப்பம்

s including Ramanna Dora to
tam and desired them to exert themselves
to obtain information as to the
to of the raiding gang and to report
mation to him without delay. No
tion whatever was furnished by Ramanna
But on the evening of the 3rd Instant a
peon who had been sent out to gather
nation reported that he had ascertained
an inhabitant of Chittampadu that the
ttadar Ramanna Dora had gone that
orning to Gologonda saying that as the gang
re in a valley of the Dara mountain near
Chittampadu he was going in search of police
istance and that the speaker and others might
ay so to any one who inquired after him. a
Gologonda is not a police station and there was
no police force halting there at the time. The
Muttadar's action in going there instead of
oming to Narsipatam was therefore suspicious
in itself. It was known however that the gang
had visited Jogampet near Gologonda, the
previous night, and there was nothing improbable
fore in their having taken refuge in one of
neighbouring valleys to the north. Information
the descent on Jogampeta had been sent at
once

2
at once to Inspectors Shiek Ibrahim and
Swami Saideu who were patrolling with
parties in the directions of Kattavaram &
Godavari border. But it was not expected
would be able to reach Gologonda immediately
and meanwhile the raiders might escape. It
was resolved therefore that as the Parvati
reserve had just arrived and as Chittampadu
is only ten miles from Narsipatam a party
30 reserve constables under Mr Smith the
Superintendent and Inspector Ryan should
make a night march and, if possible, surprise
the gang in the morning. At the same time I
ordered Ramanna Dora to be sent for at once
and brought in. He arrived about midnight and
admitted the fact that the gang had passed
through the jungles of his mutta early that
morning, but denied that they were now in the
Dara valleys and said that one of his rajots
had heard them say they were going to
Robbasingi a ^{see} village some 12 miles from here
beyond Marripalem. He had not seen them
himself, but was quite positive as to the accuracy
of his information. Asked as to why he had
gone to Gologonda instead of coming here
he

le to offer any explanation, but
it was his "fault". It was a clear
the conditions of his sanad, to say
of his personal promise of the 26th to
asildar. Almost immediately after
an express came in from Inspector
Rayanastwami Naidu announcing that he
and Sheik Ibrahim with their parties
reached Golconda at 7 P.M. and
there been informed that the raiders

300 hundred strong were in a valley
the Dara hill and would probably
attack Golconda that night. He proposed
therefore to await their attack, and if not
attacked, would move after them in the
morning. I again severely cross-examined
Ramanna Dora as to the truth of his in-
formation, but he still insisted that the
gang had gone to Robbasinghi and that the
rumour current in Golconda was incorrect. I
have no doubt now, though I am not in a
position to prove it, that Ramanna Dora
was himself the author of the alarmist
rumour in question and had himself
carried it to Golconda. It now became
necessary to decide what should be done with
the

3
the party of 30 reserve men who were at
under arms at that hour of the night
Golconda. There were already two parties
together about 50 police under Narayan
Naidu and it seemed highly probable that
should be attacked, while it was certain
that if he were, his assailants would be consi-
derably worsted. On the other hand it was by
means unlikely that the gang would
their slow movement to the north in
accordance with their reputed intention of
reaching the Halayana pu valley north of Kotta-
kota, and the Robbasinghi valley was a
notoriously favourite and secure haunt for
fitehidars. It was decided, therefore, that a night
march should be made upon Robbasinghi
and it was made accordingly, Mr. Rayan
commanding the party and Mr. Smith and
myself accompanying it. We had our march
for nothing I repeat to say, for neither at
Robbasinghi which we reached about 5 A.M.
nor on the way could we obtain any information
of the gang. The headman at Robbasinghi did,
indeed, make a statement after some time to the
effect that the gang had cooked their food in the
jungle.

ice and had their skirts
near the village, going
near on the Konda Santa side
but the story was improbable, for the
aims only a few huts which no one would
trouble to avoid, and the headman
unable to point out the place where the
gang had camped as he alleged. More-
his son, who was separately examined
"important admission that his es-
given his statement under instanc-

man Dora, who had told
him what to say. I at once taxed Ramanna
Dora with this, but he of course denied it.
I believe it to be true, for the few villagers of
Robbasinghi are simple slow-witted savages, in-
capable, in my judgment, of inventing such
facts and they had also no reason to suppose
that Ramanna Dora, who was only one of
several guides accompanying our party
was in any special degree the source of
our information.

4. On our return to Sarsipatam in the
morning it happened that another despatch
came in from Narayanankasani Saidu
mentioning, among other matters, that one
Kincha

4.
Kincha Ramanna Dora, a mookhas
said to have sent several of his men
the gang, and some hours later a
arrived from Inspector Bagayya Rao
at Konda Santa in which he spoke of a
of 20 armed men having passed through
a village called Kottur saying that
were going to Chittampadu. My susp-
had already been aroused by the dem-
of Ramanna Dora on the march to
Robbasinghi and back and on carefully
weighing all the circumstances of his ^{case} I
came to the conclusion that he was
a dangerous and mischievous character
who ought not to remain at large and
accordingly decided to arrest him. I may
add that the Tahsildar, who professes to
know him thoroughly, entertains the same
opinion of him as I do. He was accord-
-ingly arrested this morning and is now
on his way to Veggapattam to be kept there
under police surveillance.

5. In anticipation of the approval
of the Government I have ordered
his mulla to be attached and placed
under

Government management and
assigned him an allowance of
from its revenues for his main-
tenance. A full report on the revenues
of the will be submitted in due
time.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ag. Agent to the Governor.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

From

THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNOR
VIZAGAPATAM.

To

CHIEF SECRETARY
THE GOVERNMENT
MADRAS.

Dated

Despatched

Received

5th June
80

1891

No. 41 F.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Reporting on the arrest
of Krishna Kumaraswami
Muthadar of Chittampudi
and Bandewala on an
aggravated assault and his
removal to Vizagapatam.

Am. fire.

For order.

The telegram stated to inform
1 of the letter is understood
to be in circulation.

13 June
1891

Mr. Laffan's narrative is very thing
clear, dates being clearly given. He
is probably correct in his estimate
of Ramanama Dorai's conduct
the arrest of that individual was
a salutary warning to others.
R.D. need not remain in danger
now that the matter has been
settled, long, unless further
comes out against him. It
may be told to him as
it can safely be done.

W

15 June

16/6/91

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Again G.O., dated 18 , No.

Current No. of 18 , dated from

Current No. 2657 of 1871, dated 5 June from Agent to the Govt. Viceroy
 Conf. C. No. 250

order 18th June 91 No. 1196
 The Agents' action is approved
 but unless further evidence
 complicity comes out against
 K. Kaimama Dora should be
 released & his estate handed
 back to him whenever it can
 safely be done

it will not be necessary to
 keep K. Kaimama Dora in confine-
 ment or his estate in attachment
 for long unless further evidence of
 complicity comes out against
 him. In case no such evidence
 forthcoming Kaimama Dora
 should be released as soon as
 it can safely be done & the
 fact reported to Govt.

(For order)

L

16 June

To Agent to Govt. Viceroy
 17 June 91

19. VI. 91

Some from here
 18/6

Imprisonment
in the

CONFIDENTIAL

CS

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 18

7

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
 18 No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

CM 1767
1892

OF 18

ENCLOSURES

Spare copies.

Struck	..	..	..
Issued	..	..	..

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

Total ..

In Stock ..

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DELETION IN ORIGINAL
 (not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
 Letter only.
 Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
 B = Not to be printed.
 P = Print abstract only.
 Q = Print heading only.
 R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Confidential No. 94
1891

b-b-7

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 18. VI. 91

No. 1196

Series. *Min*

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

Despatched 19. VI. 91

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving action of Agent
Vezagapatam in having
arrested K. Ramaswami Dora
Muttadar of Chittimpadam +
Banderasala.

of the papers sent to only in
first instance (i.e. during the Easter
holiday). It was a good thing
you sent down the papers. Otherwise
it would have been extremely difficult
to trace out the Chief Secy's letter.

~~Had sent~~

Wm

21-5-91

Submitted to the H. Clerk

CH

23/5

Forwarded to 2 Schmidt
of the P.O.

L.P.
25/5

Note for Mr. MacCormack

Mr. Schuyler Please see Mr. Sch
at the bottom of the slip which
is attached. He evidently was
to have the Co. represented
the Chief Secretary still.

With reference to your note of
April replying to a request
from the H. Clerk, I have put
up Co. 2231 & 2-4 go and
append a list of the papers
up ~~with~~ with the papers
these of unit

P. L. O.

C. H. M. M.
14-5-91

NOTE FOR THE NRR C. Hammond to the

Please tell the Head-
Clerk that the letter required
by the Chief Secy is apparently
that written by him while Chief
of Chinglaput. It is printed in
M.S. 25-8-79 No 2441, a copy
of which is stitched with G.O.
25-6-88 No 3693. The last
sentence of that letter contains
the expression "a thorn in the
side of the Chief of Chinglaput."

You can also draw
attention to the fact that the
G.O. quoted above was not

Madras 1st June 1891Re: Kayi Mir Ghulam Hussain

CONFIDENTIAL. No 2

My dear Pice

As instructed by G. O. 11th May 1891. Do go? I requested Mir Ghulam Hussain to see me on a matter of importance. He declined to do so as I had not addressed him as "Chief Kayi of Madras". I replied that I did not do so as none of the authorities to which he referred me so styled him but no offence was intended and if he wished to reconsider his decision I would be glad to see him on 26th May. This time I addressed him as "Kayi Mir Ghulam Hussain". Heard nothing further until the 26th May when a man stating himself to be the Kayi's Peon brought a verbal message that the Kayi was not well and would not come that day. If better he might be the next - or the next! This was an intentional impertinence. However I took no notice but waited. Up to present time I have seen or heard nothing from him. What he was an official recognition of his title to be Kayi ul. Kayat which he would state if necessary hereafter.

2. I attach Copy of our Correspondence.
3. What shall I do now?
4. Pending instruction I retain his memorial referred to the G.O.

Yr. Servant