

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT NO. 2305

From I.G. Police.

No.

Dated 29 }  
Received 31 } May 93.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Report on the Nemuri Riot

*Mr. [unclear]*  
Order 9 June 93 No 1175. W  
Wls 3 June 8 June  
Recorded.

4 June 1893  
2/6/93

To the I.G. of Police Dept. 10/6

Should a copy of the judgment in the  
case of Union Haji be called for?

The result will be reported 2/6/93  
no doubt & that is all we can  
about.

Wls 3 June 8 June  
JAL 6/6/93

...  
...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...  
...

the above and referred  
false, so I don't give  
details. Kunyan kayari  
Report by Mr. Leggate Off. Dist. Sup-  
y. Police Malabar  
on the M S M S M S Red

Forwarded to the  
[Chief Secretary to Gov.]

In information  
[Chas. J. P. P. P.]  
May 29 '93. Incl. Genl. Police

It seems to me to be a case <sup>even</sup> regarding which magistrate should take action under chapter XII C. C. P.

(Trace & track)

Mr. A. Pitt Rivers Colonel  
Inspector General of Police

He is utterly unfit to be an Inspector. He was only acting.

Saints must never be  
put in again over to act.

The truth is that Annian Haji is perfectly reckless. He means to have the land, and if possible without going to the Civil Court. If he fails to get the land he will be utterly ruined and is sure to give trouble. The land question is ripe for settlement this time next year.

It is to be regretted that European Head Constable Sheahan, Acting Inspector in charge of "Special Force" made no arrests when he proceeded to the scene. This would have materially strengthened the case for the prosecution, but it cannot be helped, it was not due to neglect but mere ignorance on his part.

It is certain from the evidence that if the Police did not at once interfere matters might have ended more serious as it is evident Annian Kaji and his men were prepared to fight from the fact of their being armed.

District Magistrate's remarks.

He has every right to be on the road.

Another remarkable fact to be noticed is that Annian Kaji admits being on the road and no satisfactory explanation is offered as to what he was doing there at that hour of the morning.

On 14.5.93, after a delay of two days, the 2<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> accused in the above case put in a counter complaint accusing witnesses 1 to 5 in the above case and some of the leading members of the opposite faction of having trespassed into their paramba and having attacked and assaulted them while they were ploughing.

Note. This complaint was only made after Kanyolan (brother of Annian Kaji) arrived on the scene and was consulted!

This case has been registered in Counter to

District Magistrate's remarks.

I saw the marks twice at an interval of 3 days and I do not believe they were caused by a stick.

There is something suspicious about the marks found on Annian Kaji's person. He has a peculiar mark (does not appear to have been caused by a stick) about 3 inches long on the upper part of the left arm. He has another slight mark on the inside of lower part of his arm, running a lengthways. Annian Kaji says that these two marks were caused by one blow with a stick and describes how it struck him (i.e.) as soon as he saw 1<sup>st</sup> witness lift up his stick to strike him, he put up his arm to ward off the blow. The end of the stick he says hit him on the upper part of the left arm and the middle on the lower part. This is utterly impossible for the lower mark running lengthways instead of

that just then 1<sup>st</sup> witness came along the road and assaulted him with a stick. That this caused an alarm and the Police as well as his men who were ploughing the said paramba and Kanyan Kayar's men came to see what was the matter. That the Police dispersed the crowd and seized a lot of sticks from Kanyan Kayar's men. That he made a complaint to the Inspector and shewed him marks of beating on his person.

Acting Inspector Mr. Sherhan denies that Kunnian Haji or his men made any complaint to him and distinctly says that neither Kunnian Haji nor his men had any marks of beating.

His statement is corroborated by witnesses 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12.

"Counter case"

Write below

he and his men assaulted 1<sup>st</sup> witness. He says that when he was standing on the road the 8<sup>th</sup> accused came and informed him that Jeyyanni Nayar, Kanyan Nayar, Ramas Nayar and 10 or 15 others of opposite faction came and prevented him (8<sup>th</sup> accused) and his men (2<sup>d</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> accused) from ploughing a certain paramba and had assaulted them: that he sent him (8<sup>th</sup> accused) back to the paramba directing him not to cause a disturbance and that he would obtain assistance from the Police. That he sent Kuru Nayar who was with him at the time to the Inspector but Kuru Nayar returned and said the Police were already on their

(2<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> accused)  
Complainants in Counter Complaint.

the names of the accused.

8th. Witness in corroborating 6th. witness says that when he was on his way to prevent any disturbance taking place with witnesses (9 to 12). he heard a noise on the road and ran to see what was the matter when he saw accused 1, 2, 3, 5 and 9 assaulting 1st witness who cried out for help. that he and his men separated them and dispersed crowd after taking sticks and a knife from them. that he reported what had taken place to 6th witness. Witnesses 9, 10, 11 and 12 corroborate 8th. witness' statement - 9th witness says he seized a stick from the 1st accused. 10th witness says he seized a knife from the 3rd accused and sticks from 8th, 9th and 10th accused.

Vide my remarks farther on

that he sent witnesses 8 to 12 to prevent any disturbance taking place and he followed with 7th witness, when on the way he saw 1st and 1st witness again complained to him that accused had waylaid and assaulted him on the road. he found that his men witnesses 8 to 12 had seized a number of sticks from the rioters and also a knife. he dispersed crowd and made a report of the matter. He says he did not make any arrests as he did not know he had to, and if he has committed any mistake in not doing so it was due to mere ignorance of law!

7th. witness corroborate 6th. witness' statement and says he took down

the  
at

at different times by the  
accused. two cases have  
therefore been registered.

1<sup>st</sup> witness has marks  
of beating on his person.

Witnesses 2 to 5 corroborate  
1<sup>st</sup> witness' statement  
as regards what took  
place in the paramba;

they state that as  
as the accused attacked  
them they ran away out  
of fright and consequently  
were not beaten.

They then saw the accused,  
who were armed with  
sticks going towards  
the road, but cannot  
state what took place  
there, as they did not  
attempt to come near  
for fear of being beaten.

6<sup>th</sup> witness states  
that 1<sup>st</sup> witness complain-  
ed to him on the morning  
of 12. 5. 93 that he and  
his men had been beaten  
by the accused in his  
paramba while he  
was ploughing, and

assaulted me, while the  
other accused drove my  
men away and cut the  
ropes of the yoke which  
secured the bulls. I  
immediately went and  
complained to 6<sup>th</sup> witness  
and he ordered a party  
of men to prevent any  
disturbance taking place.  
I did not wait for them but  
went on in front and  
they followed me. On the  
way the accused attacked  
me on the road. Nos. 1, 2, 3,  
5 and 9 seized and  
assaulted me. 1<sup>st</sup> accused  
struck me with  
a cane. I cried out when  
the Police (witnesses 8 to  
12) came to my assistance  
and rescued me. They  
seized sticks from the  
accused and prevented  
further disturbance  
taking place.

Note: The complainant  
was assaulted in two  
different places and

Extract from Weekly Report of the Resident  
and Superintendent of Police, Malapara  
Malabar District, for week ending on  
Saturday, the 20<sup>th</sup> May 1893



Report on the Menmeni Riot case.

1. New case.
2. 148,323, I.P.C.
- 3.
4. Angadiparam Division.
5. Station 79
6. Menmeni
7. Committed 12.5.93, 8 a.m.
8. Reported 12.5.93.

Witnesses

1. Kariyaa Kayar (Complainant)
2. Sangaal Kayar (His son)
3. Vella Kau
4. Cheriakau
5. Elakan
6. Cur. H. Cono. Mr. Sheahan
7. H. Constable 72
8. Acting H. Constable No. 562.
9. Bugler Johnson.
10. Constable No. 1180.
11. Do " 132.
12. Do " 861.

Accused

1. Kunian Kapi
2. Veraan Kapi
3. Mamod
4. Khaliff Ali
5. R. Keln
6. Kanjapani
7. Vela Mahieddin
8. Katti Ali
9. Moram
10. Morakar
11. Kuni Moran
12. Kuni Resi
13. Vellatha Chakkau
- and others.

District Magistrate's Remarks.  
This appears true.

This case originated from a land dispute between the 1<sup>st</sup> witnesses and the 1<sup>st</sup> accused, regarding a certain paramba, which both lay claim to. The facts of the case briefly stated are as follow:-

1<sup>st</sup> witness states-

On the morning of 12.5.93 I and witnesses 2, 3, 4 and 5 went to plough the "Kumaa-terara Paramba". When we were ploughing the accused 1 to 13 and others came armed with sticks and attacked us saying that the land we were ploughing belonged to them. I remonstrated when 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> accused

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
189, No.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL  
(not paged.)

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.  
Letter only.  
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.  
B = Not to be printed.  
P = Print abstract only.  
Q = Print heading only.  
R = Print, but not for month.

BACK PAPER, No.

1084  
29-5-93

FURTHER PAPER, No.

1185  
12-6-93

OF 1893

Spare copies.

Struck

Issued

Total ..

In Stock ..

1893.

Judd DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 9-6-93

No. 1175

Series. 7710

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Burning of Mosque : Recording extract  
in weekly report of the Asstt. Supdt.  
Police, Malapuzham, Malabar Dt. for  
the ending the 20 May 18 93 regarding  
Mamman riot case.

when 1-