

189
Highly Confidential

Tanjore District Police Supt's Report Form
Dated. 9th April 1892.

The following persons
have been convicted in
Sect. 19. of the Indian
Arms Act. by the J. &
Magr. - Bapu Row. &
fine Rs. 10. Marulla
Kuntla Rs. 5. &
By the J. & M. for
Sobroya Sairoufined.
Per Klokamr. &
Lyrit Mithin & J. & M.
Asari Rs. 8. Kuntla &
Parayari 4th Gouda &
4th Manikam Vellay &
J. & M. Asari 4th &
Juthana Vodayan 4th &
By the J. & M. & N. &
one Gouthak Rs. 5. T. &
Row. Rs. 7. & 3rd of J. & M.
The last 2 abstracts. &
arr. two 12. Dt. 28. 3. &
& 13. Dated. 2. 4. &
The Court. & Tanjore.
From Inspector. & J. &
that on 6th who was arr.
the trial. & K. &
H. & J. &
worthy by care was
Dt. & M. to 8.
Cous. in 9.
Court by a J. &
Ar. in.

Memo

the lady of the
 arle cast. He
 an by ordering her
 uncover her head
 to custom of
 under women who
 appear in public
 then insulted her
 a abusive epithets
 as "Brast Binte"
 "the black woman"
 "the woman" periah
 "the woman" said
 a Vellarla.
 for the defence
 to the Judge
 the lady was the
 of his Court
 Palkian Pillay. When he
 was sent for the
 judge questioned him
 then by way of
 apology said he did
 not have asked him
 the question, but that
 she was a disgrace to
 him in the Court.
 she
 of low
 who she
 for

The panel amendment
 are unnecessary
 WS

The St. Judge, Tanyne, is
 requested to forward any remarks
 he may have to offer on the case

St. Tanyne

The Callor
 Stet

Goodwin
 WS

said
 the case

This indiscriminate
 abuse of wit never
 Court is getting beyond
 all endurance.
 and is enough to
 make the best wit
 forget what he is for.
 About.

In another case of He
 may dacity on Major
 Henderson's servants
 that gentle man's Mes
 for is ordered by the
 Judge to be tried for
 perjury & confined
 the Sub Jail.
 of the previous session
 he threatened to send
 Mr. Tanyne to Jail
 to Jail all the
 adds greatly to the
 difficulty Police have
 in getting evidence to
 two decent witnesses
 come forward if he can
 possibly avoid it. To
 give evidence in a
 serious case for fear
 of being black guarded
 in open Court.

The Calabie. Abdul
 Kader who was arraigned
 in the Pookara St
 dacity case was
 & entrusted to 8
 rigorous watch.
 Claim by a Sub.
 from M. S.

...the other
 ...this man was
 ...in 1882
 ...the High Court for
 ...the description
 ...did not tally as
 ...color. I am
 ...an accurate
 ...the man
 ...particulars of
 ...conviction
 ...for
 ...publication.

Memorandum.
 Supd. Police.
 Bangalore.
 11.4.92.

As Mr. ... this is the first time
 ...kind of thing.
 ...the ... of the report
 ...I have marked in red pencil
 ...down to A. ... Davies for any
 ...he may have to offer. The
 ...to the ... & ...
 ...being the ... of the ...
 ...should ... to the ...

Should Mr. Davies be addressed
 ...the High Court
 ...be ...

CONFIDENTIAL No 139.

Submitted to the Chief Secy
 for perusal. The portion
 marked with blue pencil
 is not part of the 'Special
 branch' duty.
 J. H. Mullaly
 20.4.92.



For Order.

The allegation made if true
 involves a serious charge against
 Mr. Davies. Nothing would justify
 the use of the language imputed
 to him.
 This is not the first occasion upon
 which this sort of thing has been
 alleged against Mr. Davies.
 21 April

Received in ...
 ... 13-4-92
 ... 14-4-92
 ... 9.4.92
 ... 11.4.92

... custom
 a partial return to pay it may be
 in the hope that one ... the question.
 convenient to review ...
 sincerely
 Yours,
 J. F. Price
 Chief Secretary to Government,
 Bangalore.
 21 April

8.P. 9M.

Political Department
Secretariat

3 dear Mr. Bunsby 8th June 1892

Sir

Official d- Price
a copy of
issuing in reply to your Demi-
from the Re^{td} May 24th I enclose
that you have the circular we are
therein accordance to a letter
of the diffident who told us
expedient & offered the proposal
Resident, & as a solution
practice & duty. It seems in-
practice & embarrass the
and we have your
and our own past
against us, so we make
a.

Mr. Bunsby
Cl.
Resident
229

OFFICE SECRET
11 JUN 92
C. W.

I think on the whole that it is better to go through the High Court & ask the Judge to obtain & submit any remarks Mr. D. may have to offer. The reference should be official but confidential

Wls
1 June

but there is nothing regarding any complaint of the kind to be found. I asked Mr. Parker whether he could remember any ^{reference} ~~reference~~ and his impression was that there was ~~no~~ complaint which he believed was dropped ~~of~~ ^{demofficially}. The Registrar himself could find nothing excepting papers relating to a matter which occurred in 1887.

D
30 May.

Judicial Dept

Alameda Order 7. 4. 6. 92. No 1059.

An allegation has been made to Govt. that on the 6th April last, during the trial of a dacoity case, Mr. Davies, the Dist. Sessions Judge of Fanyore, behaved in a very unbecoming and improper manner towards the complainant in the case, one Tangatha Ammal, who is said to be a respectable connected Roman Catholic lady of the Vellata caste.

It is asserted that Mr. Davies ^{at} first ordered her, contrary to the custom of Hindu women of the better classes when appearing in public, to uncover her head & that, on her demurring he addressed to her the epithets "Beast", "Brute", "Bitch", "Cursed woman", "Wretched woman", "Pariah", "Low caste woman" & said she was not a Vellata, and that on it being stated to him that Tangatha Ammal was the sister of the Coart Sheristadar, he (Mr. Davies) sent for & questioned

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

1101 Compd
142

OF 189 2

ENCLOSURES.

Spare copies.

Struck
Issued

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &C., OF GOVERNMENT- A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.-

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

he would not have asked him
anything but that ^{Tangatha} ~~Thangatha~~
Animal was a disgrace to him &
the Court & that he thought that
he must be of low caste. It is
stated that Mr. Davis, after
hearing what Tangatha Animal
was, continued to apply to her
various insulting names &
finally threatened to commit
her for perjury.

2. Mr. Davis is requested
to furnish facts with any remarks
he may have to offer on the above
(From Orders)

Note for Orders.

Would it not be as well
to ask the High Court to obtain
the Hon. Judge's ^{Explanation} ~~report~~ on this
subject?

It is submitted that it is the
proper course to call for explanation
through the High Court which is
somewhat unusual complaints
regarding it. I have been up to
this is done. Para 2 of the
memo may go out and the High
Ct. asked to furnish Mr. Davis's
report.

Hon. Judge Taylor's Treatment
of Witnesses

Memo.

From Orders

2. The Honorable the Judges of
the High Court will be requested to
be so good as to
obtain & forward any remarks Mr.
Davis may have to offer on the above
charge.

(From orders)

CS 2.6.92
3 June 4 June
The Hon. the ~~Chief Justice~~
C.J. (with C.J.)
REPATCHED
4 JUN 92
IN M.S.

Act of 1873 does not give the High Court
with any power of control over district judges
whose misconduct is dealt with by the
under 1873 Act. A reference through
the High Court is therefore not necessarily
inconvenient or no, but there are doubtless
advantages in so proceeding. I should
like to see the President referred to by C.J.
May.

Note for H.C.

I am sorry that I have been unable
to find a precedent. I distinctly recollect a
complaint being made of Mr. Davis being
bad language in Court and was under
the impression that this was
sent to the High

Confidential No. 88
1892.

~~20/1/90~~

<i>Judicial</i> DEPARTMENT.	
G.O., DATED	4. 6. 92
No.	1059
Series.	Mis

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

4 JUN 92

IN M.S.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. J. A. Davies. C.S.

Referring to the High Court
complaint against —
Dist. Judge Tanjore.

Cable No. 6.