CONFDL — GO

Secrt. I (i)-I—10,006—7-10-76.

(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

JUDL DEPARTMENT

CONFDL. GO

G.O. No. 2142 ~~Press~~
~~B.P. No.~~ Mis.

Dated 11-10-1988 ~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

865

Soo 2142 Jcom 11.10.88

Boo/u 12/88

2142
2 1

C No. 40

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

Colombo 27 Sept 1888

FROM

Colonel B. A. Porteous,

Ag. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Colombo

SIR,

Enclosures: None

orig: sent down to Madras Forwarded as 2049. Sept 28 1888

With reference to letter No 381, dated September 17. 1888 from the President to the Board of Examiners to your address, a copy of which was sent to me for information - I have the honor to report that seven (7) "Probationers" appeared for Examination in the Police Departmental Paper - of these, Messrs Steuart, Hood and Luthington have passed.

1.	Mr. C. J. Steuart
2.	" R. Hood
3.	" E. W. Luthington
4.	" G. H. Hunt
5.	" J. E. P. Steel
6.	" M. A. Cameron
7.	" P. B. Thomas

2 — Mr Steuart obtained 65 out of

of a possible maximum of 200 marks. Mr. Hood 62 marks and Mr Lushington 53 marks.

The minimum required for a pass was 50 marks.

3— Although Messrs Stuart and Hood have at last passed, I am of opinion that they will never make good Police officers. They are wanting in general intelligence, firmness of character and in habits of precision and punctuality.

Although Mr C. Lushington has much less service than, and did not obtain so many marks as, the other two, he is in every way a very much better officer than either Mr Stuart or Mr Hood. I consider him a promising Police officer.

4— Mr Steel has again failed, after many attempts, to pass the departmental paper. He is, I consider, an utterly useless Police officer and is incapable of improvement.

5— Mr Hunt failed in the departmental paper but he is in practice a good workman and will, I am certain, turn out a really useful Police officer. I have no doubt that he will pass at next examination.

6— Mr P.B. Thomas' departmental paper was a very poor one and showed great want of study. Since he has been placed in charge of a Sub. Division, he has evinced a tendency to carelessness and superficialness of work which cannot be allowed to continue. Owing to paucity of officers, he was promoted to an acting Assistant Superintendentship very soon.

It is a great misfortune that the young officers cannot undergo a longer period of instruction before being promoted to the responsible post

of Assistant Superintendent.

7- No officer coming up for Examination could have displayed more ignorance of his duties than Mr Clements on has done He obtained only four (4) marks answering very indifferently a small portion of one question - I am of opinion that he will never be fit for the post of Assistant Superintendent.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed Servt
Chas H Portlock Col
Dy Inspt Genl of Co.

Confidential

Jud. (1888) DEPARTMENT.

OFFG SECRETARY
27 SEP 88
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

FROM

Col. C. A. Porteous.

Ag INSPECTOR GENERAL

of Police,

C No. 40

Dated 27 Septr 1888.

Received

No.

SUBJECT.

Submits his report on "Probationers" who appeared for Examination in this Department Paper held in July last.

Since last october the foll^{g} Gentlemen have become asst Supts

Lindsay
Mullaly
west
~~Hasted~~
Clogstoun

Mr Steel tho' repeatedly warned has failed in two more exam^{ns} & is again reported to be "an utterly useless Police Officer & incapable of improvement". I think we may give him notice that he will not be retained after 31 Decr next. He has been 6 years in the service & at this time on probation 2 years.

Mr Stuart has qualified & has obtained 65 out of 80 marks. Mr Hood has 62 marks. Col. Porteous thinks also qualified & that they will never make good Police Officers. Perhaps they sd be told that they will not be confirmed unless they improve - especially in habits of precision & punctuality. In general intelligence we can hardly hope for improvement. Stuart has served nearly 6 years & Hood nearly 4. Both are only 2nd Cl. Inspectors. There is a vacancy in the 1st grade - one will very shortly be removed - perhaps we might show our sense of Probationers' superiority 'in every way' by giving it to him.

Mr Kindleston is at home & has not qualified tho' he has had 5 years probation. I think he sd have notice that his probation

Jud.
C No.
From Lt-Col. of Police, C. no. 40. 27th Sept 88.

For order.

Mpl.
28 Sept.

28 Sept

Telegram

The 2 Inspectors of Police do not get their appointments as Deputies of any particular grade assigned to the Gentlemen of Deputy or Probationary rank and their promotion to particular grades depends on vacancies in the Senior Force. I think we need not say anything on this point.

will cease at the expiration of his leave & that if he chooses to return to the country he will not be allowed to return to the Police. The reasons for this proposal are well known.

Mr Carr is in the Jail Dept. & cannot be considered. He is an excellent Officer.

We have no report regarding Macartney but last year the account given of him was fair.

Messrs Hunt & Thomas may well be allowed more time to qualify but I think Col. Porteous' remarks regarding the latter ought to be communicated to him by way of warning.

Sir Clementson remains. Last year's report about him was partly favourable but more largely the reverse. His last Exam.n paper displayed a total ignorance of his ordinary duties & the D.S.P.'s opinion is that he will never be fit for the post of asst Supt. I am inclined to think that he ought cease to be a Probationer, but do not become an ordinary Inspector. If we might give him 6 months more & see if Col. Guthrie takes a more favourable view of him, that is open to suggestion.

HH
29 Sep

The many letters from Hartley to the Govt. The Chief Secy. to the Govt. Hartley with him pushing the way.

HH C

C

C

Certainly and he may be [illegible] that he has been exempted [illegible] well thanked — and are

C

I am of opinion that Clementson ought to go Aroma without any alteration of terms as an ordinary Inspector. He is quite deficient in education & could not pass an Exam.n for that rank. In fact Reading Hunt's tests of the ordinary Inmates are more difficult than those he has failed in. He cannot be made an ordinary Inspector, apart altogether from the rules about the appointment of Officers having attended the Sturdy Reformatory. He is not able to do [illegible] Inspector. In Upper Orders he will become confused & unable to [illegible] and [illegible] it is better both for him & for the service that he should leave it at once & finally. HH

I think we had better give the young [illegible] to leave for another to leave. We might point out our reasons — I suppose [illegible] to give [illegible] to the Sub Inspector [illegible] might be communicated [illegible] show that he cannot [illegible] constantly to cover [illegible] Chiefs — they have no other [illegible]

C

E

2

Confl.

Report on ass.t Supts of Police

Rairvoire.

[25]

Jud.l Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O. 20 Oct 88. No. 2835, 22 Oct 87. No. 2288, 24 Apr 88. No. 990, & 26 Sept 88. No. 2849.

READ the following paper

From the Lt. of Police, C No. 40, dated 27. Sept 88.

Order. 11 Oct 88 No. 2142

The Govt. proceed to pass orders on the foregoing report of the Lt. of Police submitted in accordance with the provisions of G.O. 20 Oct 1886 No 2835.

I Mr. J.E.P. Steel - This officer, who was

PPT 10 Oct

entertained on the 11th October 1882, has again failed to pass the prescribed exam.n in Departmental Subjects, tho' he has received special & repeated warning of the consequences of failure. The Lt. also once more reports that he is ~~one~~ utterly useless as a Police officer & apparently incapable of improvement. Notice will be given Mr. Steel that his services will not be required after the 31st Dec.r 1888, when his probation will cease. [extinguishing a temporary appointment]

II Mr. R.H. Needleston was entertained on the 25th May 1883. and has also not qualified. The Lt. will send him formal

notice that his probation will cease at the expiration of his leave & that if he comes back to India, he will not be allowed to return to the Police.

III. Mr. M.A. Clementson's service dates from the 1st Dec. 1885. He has appeared five times for the exam.n in Departmental Subjects and failed, Both in Oct. 87 & last April he received a special warning. Of the examination held in January 1888 the Dy. reported that his answers were poor, the marks obtained being only 39 out of 80. Of his work in the recent exam.n, the results of w.h are now before Gov.t, the Dy. says "no officer could have displayed more ignorance than Mr. Clementson has done. He obtained only four marks out of eighty answering very indifferently a small portion of one question." The Dy. has has also recorded his opinion that this officer will never be fit for the post of Ass.t Sup.t The Govt are reluctantly compelled to accept the Dy.'s opinion & they therefore there is no other course open to them than to dispense with Mr. Clementson's services, & he will be informed that his probation will cease on the 31st December 1888.

V. Messrs Hunt & Thomas have also failed to pass in the Departmental paper. Col. Porteous reports favourably of the former, but Mr Thomas' work is said to be careless & his paper poor. The Dy.'s remarks will be communicated to him, and he will be warned that serious consequences will result unless improvement is shown. He has been treated with exceptional favour & therefore, his shortcomings are the more blameworthy.

V. Messrs Luckington, Stuart and Hood have passed the prescribed exam.n w. Col. Porteous' report on the two last-named gentlemen is, however, unfavourable & they will be warned that unless they improve in habits of precision & punctuality, they will not be confirmed.

From orders.
Sd
5 oct
Sd.
30 Oct.

The Dy. G of Police Desp. 11/10

Jud!

Asst Supts of Police

[7] No 130 1888

ORDINARY, MS.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 11th October 88

No. 2142

Confidential

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reports on Asst. Superin-
tendents of Police.

Page No 167