

(1) Number of pages 6
(2) Date of repair 6.10.86
(3) Initials of the Referencer Comm

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Judicial DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	610	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	16 - 4 - 1888	197.

A.14

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
16th April 1868.*

No. 124. Read the following letter from the Acting Collector and Magistrate of Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Vizagapatam, 24th March 1868, No. 237.

I have the honor to bring the following circumstances to the notice of Government.

2. Moulvie Mahomed Yayeya Ally Khan, who had held the appointment of Mufti Sudder Ameen in the Chicacole Court up to the time of its abolition, was in December 1863, previous to the Special Test rules coming into force, appointed Sub-Magistrate of Salur in this district.

3. In November 1865, Mr. Pelly, who was then occupied with the task of revising the District Establishments, sent a list of those Sub-Magistrates who had been exempted by the Government from passing the Special Test Examination. The name of the Moulvie not being included, Mr. Carmichael wrote and asked that it might be so included. To this no direct answer was ever received, but in January 1867 a statement showing the final revision of the Revenue Establishments, as sanctioned by Government in their Order, No. 121, dated 14th January 1867, was received from Mr. Pelly. In this the pay of the Salur Sub-Magistrate was put down at 100 Rupees, and for some time the Moulvie drew at that rate. In July of the same year, the Accountant General retrenched him the difference between Rupees 65, the old rate of Sub-Magistrate's pay, and Rupees 100, stating that he had not passed the Special Test; and ever since he has only drawn the lower sum, although he is a 1st Class Sub-Magistrate. A sum of Rupees 159-3-1 was thus recovered from him.

4. Mr. Carmichael pointed out to Mr. Pelly that there were many other Sub-Magistrates in exactly the same position who had been allowed by him to draw the higher rate of salary attached to the office.

5. As I cannot but think that the man's case has been accidentally overlooked by Mr. Pelly, and as the Moulvie has served the Government for a space of nineteen years, I have the honor to submit that he is deserving of consideration, and to request the orders of Government in his case.

No. 125. ORDER THEREON, 16th April 1868, No. 610.

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector and Magistrate of Vizagapatam in the letter above recorded, the Governor in Council is pleased to exempt Moulvie Mahomed Yayeya Ally Khan, Sub-Magistrate of Salur, from passing the Uncovenanted Civil Service Special Test Examination.

2. The sum of Rupees 159-3-1, recovered from the Sub-Magistrate, will be refunded, and the Audit Department will pass to him the salary of Rupees 100, fixed by Mr. Pelly in the Statement of the Revised Revenue Establishments.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam.

" Accountant General.

" Revenue Department.

Esd. J. Tulloch.

No 237 Nagapattanam 24th March 1868

From

J. H. Master Esquire,
Acting Collector & Magistrate

To

The Honble R. S. Ellis, Esq.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring the
following circumstances to the notice
of Government.

2. Mouvie Mahomed Yaya
ally Khan, who had held
the appointment of Mufti Sudra
Arin in the Chiacole Court up to
the time of its abolition was in Decem-
ber, 1863, previous to the Special Test
Rules coming into force, appointed
Sub Magistrate of Saloor in this
District.

3. In November, 1865, Mr. Pelly
who was then occupied with the task
of

of revising the District Establishments
sent a list of those Sub Magistrates
who had been exempted by the govern-
ment from passing the Special Text
Examination. The name of the Moul-
vie not being included, Mr Carmichael
wrote and asked that it might be so
included. To this no direct answer
was ever received, but in January 1867,
a Statement shewing the final revision
of the Revenue Establishments, as sanc-
tioned by Government in their Orders
No. 121, dated 14th January 1867, was
received from Mr. Pelly. In this the
pay of the Senior Sub Magistrate
was put down at a hundred Rupees,
and for some time the Moulvie drew
at that rate. In July of the same year
the Accountant General retrenched
him the difference between Rupees 65
the old rate of Sub Magistrate's pay
and Rupees 100, stating that he had
not passed the Special Text; and ever
since he has only drawn the lower sum,
although

610/3
although he is a first Class Sub
Magistrate. A sum of Rupees 159.3.
was thus recovered from him.

4. Mr. Carmichael pointed out to
Mr. Pelly that there were many other
Sub Magistrates in exactly the same
position who had been allowed by him
to draw the higher rate of salary attach-
ed to the office.

5. As I cannot but think that the
man's case has been accidentally over-
looked by Mr. Pelly, and as the
Moulvie has served the Government
for a space of nineteen years, I have
the honour to submit that he is deserving
of consideration, and to request the or-
ders of Government in his case.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Yours most ob. servant

W. Master

Ag. Collector and Magistrate

Wm. C. Smith
1868 (1)

Red. Department

June

The U.S. Coll. & Marshal

Washington

The Chief Secretary

to Government

John A. McGeary

Patent 34, June 1868

See 7th 40931

Submitting for con-

sideration the case of

Charles A. McGeary

George A. McGeary

and his wife

with the order

16 April 1868 No. 610.

Under the circumstances re-
sulted by the history collector and

Magistrate of Virginia in

the letter above named, the form

in Council is placed before

Magistrate McGeary

with whom, and Magistrate

of whom from the history the

of whom from the history the

of whom from the history the

of whom from the history the

the 13th of 1868

Wm. C. Smith