

(1) Number of pages 8
 (2) Date of repair 26 5 86
 (3) Initials of the Referencer 10nd ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

JUDICIAL

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	263	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	18. 2. 1868	197.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11 Jan ✓

95

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th February 1868.

No. 210. Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Trichinopoly 5th February 1868, No. 6.

Adverting to the Government Proceedings, Judicial Department, dated the 2nd December 1867, No. 1961, I have the honor to forward, herewith, translation of the Decree passed in the Suit, No. 294 of 1866 on the file of the late Toriore District Moonsiff's Court, No. 563 of 1866 on that of the present Perumbalur District Moonsiff's Court, in which the Collector was included as the 25th defendant.

Decree No. 563 of 1866.

Present, Appavyer, District Moonsiff of Perumbalur.

Plaintiffs.

Defendants.

1. Annachi Iyen.
2. Naranaiyen.
3. Chidumbraiyen.
4. Vencata Sashaiyen.
5. Sashaiyen.
6. Pichoovayen.

All Mirasidars residing at Mullal village
in the Trichinopoly Taluq.

Versus

1. Mutiyamavali.
2. Ramasawmy Muppen.
3. Marada Nadavan.
4. Peria Kulunda Muppen.
5. Chinna Kulunda Muppen, the undivided brother of the 4th defendant.
6. Subba Muppen, son of the deceased Ramasawmy Muppen, the divided brother of the 1st defendant.
7. Vengu Muppen, the undivided brother of the 6th defendant.
8. Valayuda Muppen, Son of Mutta Muppen.
9. Moottoosamy Muppen, the undivided brother of the 8th defendant.
10. Arunachala Muppen.
11. Ponneyan.
12. Sattyvasakniyen.
13. Chidumbraiyen, son of the deceased Subbaiyen.
14. Ponduvaiyen.
15. Ramasamiyen.
16. Thandavamavaly.
17. Marqda Tavan.
18. Veerabudra Nadavan.
19. Kolundavala Padiachi, son of Renga Padiachi.
20. Nullupillay.
21. Ramasawmy Pillay, the undivided brother of the 20th defendant.
22. Ponnyen, a Mirasidar of Mullal residing at Kasbah Dodiarpolliem in the Wodiarpolliem Taluq.
23. Appavayen, residing at Kallikudi in the Trichinopoly Taluq.
24. Narayanaiyen, a Mirasidar and the late Carnum residing in the abovementioned village of Mullal, and
25. The Collector of Trichinopoly.—Krishnaiyer, Vakcel for the 25th defendant.

1. This suit had been filed in No. 294 of 1868 in the late District Moonsiff's Court of Toriore, whence it was referred to this Court. The plaintiffs pray for a Decree (1) directing that the channel newly excavated by the defendants 1 to 10, which prevents the proper irrigation of the lands belonging to the plaintiffs and the defendants 11 to 23 should be filled up, and the loss, viz., Rupees 281-12-0 sustained by them (the plaintiffs) made good to them, and (2) cancelling the order of the Collector, the then defendant, to the effect that the channel should remain as it is.

2. The plaintiffs did not appear.

The 2nd, 11th, and 25th defendants, who appeared, did not admit the plaintiffs' claim; and the rest of the defendants were *ex parte*.

Trichinopoly Collectors office

5th February 1868

No. 6.

From, C. T. Longley, Esquire,
Acting Collector of

To
The Honorable R. S. Ellis, C.B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir,
Adverting to the Government Proceedings
judicial Department dated the 2nd December
1867, No. 1961, I have the honor to forward
herewith translation of the Decree passed
in the suit No. 294 of 1866 on the file of the
late Tirunelveli District Munsiff's Court, No.
563 of 1866 on that of the present Perambalur
District Munsiff's Court, in which the
Collector was included as the 25th Defendant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant

C. T. Longley
Acting Collector.

To,
The Honorable R. S. Ellis, C.B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras

Camp. L. S.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th February 1868.

3. The plaintiffs claim is dismissed, under Section 114 of the Civil Procedure Code, with all costs.

Costs to be paid by the plaintiffs.
To the 3rd defendant.
Value of Stamp.....

RS.	A.	P.
0	9	0
0	9	0

To the 25th defendant.
Value of Stamp.....
Vakeel's fees.....

1	9	0
14	4	9

Total... 15 13 9

(Signed) APPAVAYER,
District Munsiff.

(True Translation).

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Acting Collector.

27th November 1867.

No. 211.

ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1868, No. 263.

To be recorded.
(True Extract).

R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Decree
No 563 of 1866
Present Appanay District Munsiff of

Pambalur

Plaintiffs

Vers

Defendants

1 Annachi Iyer

2 Naranaigey

3 Chidambraigey

4 Venkata Sashaiyey

5 Sashaiyey

6 Pechanayey

All Mesasidars

residing at Nullal

village in the Trichinopoly

Salug

1 Muttayamali

2 Ramasamy Mupper

3 Maruda Nadav

4 Peria Kulunda Mupper

5 Chinna Kulunda Mupper

the undivided brother of the
1st Defendant.

6 Subba Mupper son of the

deceased Ramasamy Mupper

the divided brother of the

1st Defendant

7 Ranga Mupper the undivided

brother of the 6th Defendant

8 Valayuda Mupper son of Muttamupper

9 Muttamupper the undivided brother of the 8th Defendant

10 Annachala Mupper 11 Ponnay 12 Sattayasaigey

13 Chidambraigey son of the deceased Subbaigey

14 Ponnay 15 Ramasamiyey 16 Thandavamaly

17 Maruda Nadav 18 Tiratindra Nadav

19 Kolundavala Padiachi son of Ranga padiachi

20 Kullupillay 21 Ramasamy pillay the undivided brother

of the 20th Defendant 22 Ponnay a Mesasidar of

Nullal residing at Kachak Odipolliem is the

Wodiar polliem Salug 23 Appanayey residing at

Thalikuloti in the Trichinopoly Salug.

1274 20.11

1866 11/11

Received by the
18th February 1866
1866 11/11

1866 11/11

1866 11/11

21. Narayanaiged a Merasidar and the Late Court
residing in the above mentioned village of Mulla.
25th. The collector of Trichinopoly Krishnaier
for the 25th Defendant.

1. This suit had been filed in No. 294
of 1866 in the late District Munsiff's Court
of Tiruvore whence it was referred to this Court.
The Plaintiffs pray for a Decree (1) directing
that the channel newly excavated by the
Defendants 1 to 10 which prevents the proper
irrigation of the lands belonging to the Plaintiffs
and the Defendants 11 to 23 should be filled up,
and the loss viz Rs 281-12-0 sustained
by them (the Plaintiffs) made good to them,
(2) cancelling the order of the collector the
25th Defendant to the effect that the channel
should remain as it is.

2. The Plaintiffs did not appear.

The 24th 11th & 23th Defendants who appeared
did not admit the Plaintiffs claim; and
the rest of the Defendants were ex parte.

3. The Plaintiffs claim is dismissed
under section 114 of the Civil Procedure Code
with all costs.

Costs to be paid by the Plaintiffs.

The 3^d Defendant — Rs A P

Value of Stamp — 9 — 9

The 25th Defendant — Rs 7-9-0
Value of Stamp — 1-9-0
Wheeler's fees — 14-4-9
Total — 15-13-9

Signed / Appraiser
District Munsiff
27th November 1866

(True Translation)
Ramanandray
of Translation

C. J. Lowry
Acting Collector

Comp. p. 2. J. D. G.

1868. 263

See a letter from the
Hon. Secy. of the
Treasury, dated
5th February 1866.

No. 266.

From the
Hon. Secy. of the
Treasury

To the Hon. Secy.
of the Navy.

Transcription of

a Secret Paper in
date Jan. 30th of 1866,
on the file of the
Hon. Secy. of the Navy.
= loc. 266.