

(1) Number of pages 14
 (2) Date of repair 2-6-86
 (3) Initials of the Referencer WJ ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Judicial DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	145	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29-1-1868	-197-

Not to be folded or roughly handled

✓
Commissioner

145

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
29th January 1868.*

No. 255. Read the following letter from the Joint Magistrate of Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Cochin, 11th January 1868, No. 7.

I have the honor to report, for the orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council, the case of one Mani Tummi, a subject of His Highness the Rajah of Cochin.

2. The said individual was caught by the Police on the 26th December 1867, while in the act of offering plantains for sale at an unseasonable hour in the morning at Kalvetti in British Cochin; and after he was carried before the Sub-Magistrate of Cochin, complaints came, accusing him of having, on the night of the 25th December 1867, committed thefts in the territory of the aforesaid Rajah, by dishonestly taking a boat and paddle of the value of Rupees ten, belonging to Loduvick; one bunch of green plantains, valued at three Annas, from a garden belonging to Varki Andre; three bunches of plantains, of the value of eight Annas, from the garden of Rudren; and one saw, of the value of Rupees three, which was kept inside the house of Kunnunni Menon.

3. The Sub-Magistrate, after examining the accused person and making an inquiry into the circumstances of the case, has forwarded the parties and proceedings to me. The accused person, on being questioned by me, admits fully, as he did before the Sub-Magistrate, that he committed the several thefts described above, and, having come in the same boat to Kalvetti, was offering the plantains for sale to a woman, when a Police Constable came up, and seized and took him and the stolen articles to the station-house.

4. The accused person is, moreover, charged with having, on the night of the 28th December 1867, attempted to escape from the Police station-house, where he was lawfully detained pending inquiry, and this offence too he admits having committed.

5. I beg leave to forward copies of all the depositions and documents in the cases as per accompanying list.

6. The primary offences not being bailable, I have committed the offender to the Jail under my charge.

No. 256. ORDER THEREON, 29th January 1868, No. 145.

The Governor in Council directs that the Joint Magistrate of Cochin will release from custody the accused Mani Tummi, a subject of His Highness the Rajah of Cochin, referred to in the letter above recorded.

2. The "thefts" with which Mani Tummi is charged do not come under the category of "heinous offences" specified in Section 21 of Act VII of 1854, and the Sub-Magistrate and Joint Magistrate were not justified in inquiring into the case, and retaining the accused in custody.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Joint Magistrate of Cochin, with enclosures returned.

„ Magistrate of Malabar.

Ed. J. H. Burns.

sent and passed

No 4
1868.

Joint Magistrate's Court
Cochin 11th January 1868.

From
The Joint Magistrate
of Cochin
To
R. S. Ellis Esq.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George
Madras

Sir

1. I have the honor to report for
the orders of His Excellency the Governor
in Council the case of one Nani Tumm
a subject of His Highness the Rajah of
Cochin.

2. The said individual was
caught by the Police on the 26th December
1867 while in the act of offering plan-
tains for sale at an unreasonable
price in the morning at Palmette in
Portico Cochin, and after he was carried
before the Sub Magistrate of Cochin,
complaints came accusing him of having
on the night of the 25th December 1867
committed thefts in the territory of the
aforesaid Rajah, by dishonestly taking
a boat and paddle of the property

10 10 belonging to Lodovick one bunch of
green plantains valued at 8 annas from
a garden belonging to Thatha Andra 3
bunches of plantains of the value of 8
annas from the garden of Padien and
1 Saw of the value of 10 8 which were
kept inside the house of Thammanna
Kerani.

The Sub-Magistrate after exami-
ning the accused person and making an
enquiry into the circumstances of the
case, has forwarded the parties and pro-
ceedings to me. The accused person on
being questioned by me admits fully
as he did before the Sub-Magistrate that
he committed the several thefts recorded
above, and having come on the same boat
to Kalyan, was offering the plantains for
sale to a woman when a Police Constable
came up, seized and took him and the
stolen articles to the Station House.

The accused person is moreover charged
with having on the night of the 10th instant
1867 attempted to escape from the Police
Station House where he was lawfully
detained, standing unopposed that

offence too he admits having committed.

5 I beg leave to forward copies of
all the depositions & documents in the
cases as per accompanying list.

6 The primary offences not being bail-
able, I have committed the offender to the
jail under my charge.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant

J. Wray,
Joint Magistrate.

15

1. The first step is to identify the key components of the system. This involves understanding the hardware, software, and data involved. For example, in a web application, this might include the server, the database, and the user interface.

Read the following letter from the
 Joint Magistrate of Cochin.
 (Here enter) 11th January/88 No. 710

Order thereon 29 January 1868 R^o 145-

The Governor in Council directs that the Joint Magistrate of Cochin will release from custody the accused Mani Yummi, a subject of His Highness the Rajah of Cochin, referred to in the letter above recorded.

2. The "thefts" with which
Mani Lummie is charged
do not come under the
category of "heinous offences"
specified in Section XXI of
Act VIII of 1857, and the

[Handwritten signature]

1991



1285
J. J. J.
1940

10

100

10

1954

113

1000

Robertson et al. / Gender and the Family 101

1871

ad. 1940-1941

100

Sub Magistrate and Joint
Magistrate were not justified
in inquiring into the case,
and retaining the accused
in custody.

MM

To the Joint Magistrate of Cochin
by the Magistrate of Malabar

News:

Letter from Joint Mag.
of Cochin dated
11. January 68. No. 7.

Re: Joint Mag.
trate reports for order
a case of theft com-
mitted by a subject
of His Highness the Rajah
of Cochin, in his terri-
tories, but who has
been apprehended
and detained in
custody in British Cochin.

"Reft" is not one
of the "heinous offences"
specified in Section XX
of Act VII of 1854, and
the individual referred
to should therefore be
released from custody.

In a case some-
times one under
reference, which is

Re: Pro: and
put up.

in Rurnool in 1856
he accused being
a subject of the high
territories, the Gov.
determined on making
a reference to the
Resident of Hyderabad
in the matter.

In accordance
with this law the accused
in the present instance
shall be released,
but if it be decided
otherwise, the matter
may be referred
to the Resident in Hyderabad
in Cochin. Always
order to this effect
is put up.

W

The draft is put up to
don't say that I should
not have limited the
wholesale supply to
the permission of the Govt
namely to the officers

W

Under act. XXII. of the
Decree the Gov. Accused
offence is really left to
the judgment of the
Gov. to which the Res. is
is subjected. I may include
any offence which Gov. may
deem serious or appropriate.
In this case however the offence does not
appear to have been a very serious
character as the Party accused
has been in prison for about
a month. I think he may
very properly be discharged.

It appears from that
under the law, neither
the Sub Magistrate nor the P.P.
Accused were warranted
in their day to take into
their care. I think the
should be released from the
custody of the officers as
the offence imputed to him is
not of the "serious" class specified
in act 7 of 54 - W

W

123 B
7

(1)

Handwritten notes
A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I. J. K. L. M. N. O. P. Q. R. S. T. U. V. W. X. Y. Z.

Handwritten notes

June 1868. Department

No. 1155

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 29 July 1868.

2355-2570