

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Indicinal DEPARTMENT.

55-53	G.O. No.	924	Press
			~~Mis.~~
	Dated	5-6	18-1867

7/8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
5th June 1867.*

Read the following:—

No. 55. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., c. s. i., Inspector General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 18th May 1867, No. 833.

Account Department.

On the 15th instant, I received from the Accountant General an Extract from the Resolution of the Government of India on the Police Budget Estimate for 1867-68.

2. I find from this document that the large sum of Rupees 80,730 has been struck out of my estimate, for want of further explanation, which I proceed to submit to Government without delay.

3. *Hutting and Building.*—Rupees 51,500 were entered for the construction of huts and buildings. A sum approximating to this in amount was allowed* in 1866-67, in addition to the fixed Budget grant, on the explanation afforded in my letter, No. 734, of the 9th May 1866. The Government of India remark that sufficient reason has not been shown for not bringing this expenditure within the sanctioned grant of Rupees 39,00,000. In reply, I must beg to refer to my letter above mentioned, which contains, I venture to submit, good and sufficient reasons for the small extra grant of half a lakh of Rupees for hutting throughout the 21 Police Districts of this Presidency.

4. I deemed it superfluous to repeat what I said on that occasion, when submitting the current year's Budget.

5. I have now to add that, as pointed out in my letter, number and date as per margin, I have already been compelled to strike entirely out of my Budget Estimate the pay of six Officers, and that

No. 1960, 27th November 1866.

I am quite unable to meet this retrenchment, if the Police are to be provided with shelter at all. This is a matter for the serious consideration of the Government of Madras, and I most earnestly urge that it is of vital importance, with reference to the health, comfort, and *morale* of the Force, and the convenience of the Public, who are still burdened with unsheltered Police in many places.

6. *Clothing.*—In the item of clothing, also, the sum of Rupees 29,230 has been retrenched from my Budget Estimate.

7. When submitting the Budget Estimate, I remarked that the sum I had entered therein would probably not suffice for the requirements of the year, unless prices fell. The fact of prices having fallen is adduced as a reason for retrenchment of a portion of the sum which I apprehended would under other circumstances not suffice!

8. The Government of India also observe that, in 1864, I "estimated the annual charge for clothing the Madras Police at Rupees 12* per head at the abnormal prices then prevailing." I have the honor to state that, although I alluded at that time to the unusually high price of cotton, the sum mentioned as the annual charge for clothing a Constable was not calculated at the maximum rates, but was based on an average of

* Rupees 12-6-0 was the rate given by me, in my letter of 19th October 1864.

three years. The actual annual cost of a Policeman's clothing in October 1864 was nearly Rupees 14.

9. I am unable to understand on what principle my estimate for clothing, comprising articles issued biennially, triennially, &c., and consequently fluctuating in amount, is to be cut down to an average rate per man. The present cost varies from a minimum of Rupees 9-8-3† to a

† The rates given are for ordinary Constables. A small addition must be made for the badges, &c., of Head and Deputy Head Constables.

maximum of Rupees 15-11-3, the annual average being Rupees 12-8-2. Such a principle is plainly opposed to the Budget system, by which all unspent balances lapse at the end of the year for which the grant was made.

10. Having so fully reported to Government in my previous letters, above referred to, the necessity for clothing the Madras Police with a suitable dress, and providing the members of the Force with decent shelter, I will not trouble Government with further remarks. I had hoped that the objections of the Supreme Government had been removed.‡

‡ Vido G. O., No. 120, dated 25th February 1865, Judicial Department.

11. The Government will observe that the Budget limit of Rupees 39,00,000 has not been exceeded; as explained before, the charge for *hutting* is a mere transfer from the Public Works Budget.

12. In conclusion, I beg Government will be pleased to move the Government of India to withdraw the retrenchment made against the Madras Police Budget Estimate for 1867-68.

No. 56. Proceedings of the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort William, 21st March 1867, No. 1557.
Read—

The Budget Estimates of Receipts and Charges under Police in the several Presidencies and Provinces during 1867-68.

RESOLUTION.—The Receipts on account of Police have been estimated by the several Local Governments and Administrations as follow:—

	Contributions from Municipal Funds.	Fines and Forfeitures, &c.	3th Cost of Railway Police.	Contributions from Cantonment Cess.	Total as per Local Estimates.
Bengal...	RS. 4,09,000	RS. 55,000	RS. 70,557	RS. ...	RS. 5,25,557
North-Western Provinces...	4,83,000	...	75,000	...	5,58,000
Oude...	1,44,917	...	...	...	1,44,917
Punjab...	4,10,624	...	...	38,318	4,48,942
Bombay...	Excluded from the Local Estimate.	1,23,880	...	...	1,23,880
Central Provinces...	1,39,742	School of Industry 12,000 Fines, &c. ... 5,000	...	...	1,56,742
Madras...	3,03,200	38,200	...	...	3,41,400
British Burmah...	1,14,555	7,800	...	...	1,22,355
Government of India...	...	100	...	...	100
Total...	19,96,038	2,41,980	1,45,557	38,318	24,21,893

2. The estimate for the Central Provinces has been raised to 1,68,100 with reference to the actual proceeds of the Jubbulpore School of Industry, during the current year, as shown in the accounts of the Government of India, and that for British Burmah has been increased from 1,22,355 to 1,50,000, on the basis of the Regular Estimate for the current year. The other alterations arise from turning the estimates into round sums.

3. Subject to separate consideration of the contributions by Railway Companies for Railway Police, the total Police Receipts have been assumed in the Financial Statement for 1867-68, at Rupees 24,60,900, viz:—

	RS.
Bengal...	5,25,600
North-Western Provinces...	5,58,000
Oude...	1,44,900
Punjab...	4,48,900
Bombay...	1,23,900
Central Provinces...	1,68,100
Madras...	3,41,400
British Burmah...	1,50,000
Government of India...	100
Total...	24,60,900

4. The Police charges estimated by the Local Governments and passed by the Government of India, are as follow:—

	Local Estimate.	As passed.
	RS.	RS.
Bengal...	56,20,937	55,88,830
North-Western Provinces...	39,28,208	38,83,210
Oude...	11,98,661	11,79,660
Punjab...	32,06,246	31,48,839
Bombay...	39,05,080	37,55,080
Central Provinces...	14,26,977	14,26,980
Madras...	39,51,500	38,70,770
British Burmah...	13,12,963	13,12,960
Government of India...	1,08,040	1,08,040
Total...	2,46,58,612	2,42,74,360

Bengal.

5. The Accountant General proposed a grant of Rupees 1,00,000 for the Presidency Police, but with reference to G. L., No. 250, dated 14th January 1867, provision was made for the items entered on the margin, and the excess, amounting to 32,112, was retrenched from the Local Estimate. Since the delivery of the Financial Statement, however, the Government of India has conditionally agreed to pay one-fourth of the charges of the Calcutta Police, and accordingly an additional grant has been allowed in a separate Resolution of this date.

Diet and Clothing of Prisoners in the Calcutta Lock-up...	RS. 2,500
Conveyance allowance...	400
Additional Force to perform the duties of Military Guards...	39,252
Lock-up Guards...	912
Presidency Jail Guard—included in additional Force for duties of Military Guards...	24,824
River Police...	...
Total...	67,888

North-Western Provinces.

6. The provision for Railway fares for Police Escorts, and for petty construction and repair of Police buildings, has been reduced by Rupees 45,000, with reference to paragraph 31 of the Accountant General's letter, No. 10,581, dated 21st January 1867, and to the disproportionately large estimate for Police buildings, compared with the amount (Rupees 51,500) which suffices in the Madras Presidency.

Oude.

7. According to the revision effected by Mr. Strachey, the charge against Imperial Revenue, for the Oude Police, will be limited to Rupees 10,34,743* in addition to 1,44,917 payable from Municipal Funds.

Superintending Officers...	Rs. 36,643
Executive Force...	9,98,095
	1,34,743

Punjab.

8. Adding Rupees 4800 for the Survey Police guard in Rajpootana (vide Accountant General's letter, No. 8699, dated 25th January 1867, to the Comptroller General of Accounts), and deducting Rupees 62,216 from an over-estimate in the charge for petty construction and repair of Police buildings, the grant has been fixed at 31,48,830. In the Madras Police Rupees 51,500 suffice for Police buildings for a larger Force than that in the Punjab.

Actual expenditure in seven months of 1866-67...	Rs. 6,972
Grant for 1866-67...	33,589
Estimate for 1867-68...	97,212

Bombay.

9. Pending a separate scrutiny of the Police charges of the Bombay Presidency, the grant has been limited to Rupees 37,55,080, which is more than what is warranted by the actual expenditure as shown by the latest return.

Madras.

10. A sum of Rupees 51,500 is entered in the Madras Budget for the construction of Police buildings. This sum was struck out from the Budget of 1866-67, on the ground that it should be met from the existing limit of 37 lakhs for the Police charges of the Madras Presidency. Subsequently, the amount was restored, for this year only. Sufficient reason has not been shown for not bringing this expenditure within the amount granted in 1865-66.

11. Clothing is estimated for at Rupees 3,20,000 against a provision last year of Rupees 2,69,913. The Inspector General apprehends that the 3,20,000 will not suffice for the ensuing year, unless prices fall. But the estimate provides for 1466 Head Constables and 22,658 Constables, making a total of 24,124 men; or, adding 49 European Constables and 58 of a Hill Force, the total number is 24,231 men, on which number the rate of charge becomes Rupees 13-4-2-7 per head. But in October 1864, Mr. Robinson estimated the annual charge for clothing the Madras Police at Rupees 12 per head at the abnormal prices then prevailing. Prices have fallen since, and pending explanation why, in consideration of that fall, there should not be a further reduction, the expense is limited to Rupees 12 per head, giving for 24,231 men Rupees 2,90,772, and the difference of Rupees 29,228, or in a round sum 29,230, is accordingly disallowed.

With these retrenchments aggregating 80,730, the grant for the Madras Police has been fixed at 38,70,770.

ORDER.—Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution be sent to the Home Department, the Comptroller General of Accounts, the several Accountants General, and to the Deputy Accountants General in independent charge.

(Signed) E. H. LUSHINGTON,

Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 57. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Fort Saint George, 5th June 1867, No. 924.

Referring to paragraphs 10 and 11 of the Resolution of the Government of India, dated the 21st March last, No. 1557, disallowing the sums of Rupees 51,500 and Rupees 29,230 from the Madras Police Budget for 1867-68, I am directed to transmit, for the consideration of the Governor General in Council, the annexed copy of a letter* from the Inspector General of Police, affording explanation regarding the necessity for the sums in question, and pointing out that the amounts disallowed cannot be provided for from the sanctioned allotment of Rupees 38,70,770.

* No. 833, dated the 18th May 1837.

2. The sum of Rupees 51,500 is, as shown in paragraph 3 of my letter, dated the 24th December 1866, No. 487, the cost of "Police huts." This charge was, until the passing of the Resolution of the Government of India, in the Public Works Department, dated 16th October 1865, No. 925A, entered in the Public Works Budget, and its inclusion in the Police Budget is, therefore, not an additional charge, but merely a transfer to it from the other Budget. The circumstance was fully explained in my letter, dated the 25th May 1866, No. 816, and the Governor General in Council was pleased, at the

† Letter from Secretary to Government of India, No. 1760, dated 3rd August 1866.

time, to restore† the amount disallowed from the Budget for 1866-67. The sum of Rupees 51,500, struck out from the current year's Budget, is indispensably necessary for providing adequate shelter for the Police Force. It cannot be met from the general assignment of thirty-nine (39) lakhs, and I am to request, therefore, that it may receive the sanction of the Government of India.

3. In respect of the sum of Rupees 29,230, disallowed from the item of clothing, the Inspector General of Police explains that, although he alluded, in 1864, to the unusually high price of cotton, the annual charge was not calculated at the maximum rates, but was based upon the average of three years. The actual cost of clothing a Policeman in October 1864 was nearly 14 Rupees, while the estimated cost was Rupees 12-6-9, as shown in paragraph 2 of my letter, dated the 13th January 1865, No. 81. Mr. Robinson now shows that the minimum cost of clothing at present is Rupees 9-8-3; the maximum Rupees 15-11-3; and the annual average

† Letter from Inspector General of Police, submitted to Government of India, with letter, dated 13th January 1865, No. 81.

§ Letter from Secretary to Government of India, dated 10th February 1865, No. 1293.

Rupees 12-8-2. The expediency of properly clothing the Police Force having already been stated† and recognized, and the Madras Government having been informed§ that, so long as the total

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
5th June 1867.*

annual charge for the Police does not exceed the sum of Rupees 39,00,000, they can make their own arrangements for the clothing of the Force, I am directed to request that the Governor General in Council will be pleased to accord his sanction to the sum of Rupees 29,230, which has been disallowed from the Budget estimate for 1867-68. I am to add that His Excellency the Governor in Council is satisfied that the charge for the Police Force in the Madras Presidency is kept as low as is consistent with the efficiency of the Force.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Account DEPT.
No. 870

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
MADRAS, 23rd May 1867. 11

From

CAPTAIN M. W. CARR,
Assistant Inspector General of Police.

To

The Honorable A. J. Arbuthnot
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

In letter No. 833 of the 18th Instant from the Inspector General of Police to Government para 10, margin the month "October" was by mistake entered instead of February. I shall feel obliged by your causing the same to be corrected.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most obed^t. Serv^t

 Captain
Assistant Inspector General of Police.



Judicial Department.

No. 924.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to paragraphs
10 and 11 of the Resolution of
the Government of India
dated the 21st March last,
1857, disallowing the claims
of Rs. 51,500 and Rs. 29,250,
from the Madras
Budget for 1857-58, I am
directed to transmit for the
consideration of the Governor
General in Council the
annexed copy of a letter from
the Inspector General of
Police affording explanation
regarding the necessity for
the sums in question, and
pointing

* No. 803 of the 18th May 1857.

1857. MAY 18

From

Asst. Insp. Genl. of Police

To

M. Chundrow 10 Post
by rail 25/5/57

Dated 23rd May 1857

Received

No. 870

Enclosures 1 and 2

Subject

Requests to correct certain
entries letter 11833 of the
18th instant from the Inspector
General of Police

Copy sent 11/5/57

pointing out that the amount disallowed cannot be provided for from the sanctioned allotment of Rs. 8,70,770.

2 The sum of Rs. 5,1500 is as shown in paragraph 3 of my letter dated the 24th December 1866. to 187. the cost of "Police huts". This charge was made with the passing of the Resolution of the Government of India in the Public Works Department dated 16th October 1865. No. 925-A. entered in the Public Works Budget, and its inclusion in the Police Budget is therefore not an additional charge but merely a transfer to it from the other Budget. The circumstances were fully explained in my letter dated the 25th May 1866.

* Letter from Secy. to Govt. of India
24th 1760 of 3rd August 1865.

1866. No. 816 and the Secretary General in Council was pleased at the time to retain the amount disallowed from the Budget for 1866-67. The sum of Rs. 5,1500 struck out from the current year's Budget is indispensably necessary for providing adequate shelter for the Police force. It cannot be met from the general assignment of Thirty nine (39) laes and I am to request therefore that it may receive the sanction of the Government of India.

3 In respect of the sum of Rs. 29,230 disallowed from the item of clothing, the Inspector General of Police explains that although he alluded in 1864 to the unusually high price of cotton, the annual charge was not calculated at the maximum

maximum rate, but was
based upon the average of
three years. The actual
cost of clothing a Policeman
in October 1864 was nearly
Rs. 14-10-0, while the estimated
cost was Rs. 12-6-4, as
shown in paragraph 2
of my letter dated the
13th January 1865, No. 81.
Mr. Robinson now shows
that the minimum cost of
clothing at present is Rs. 9-8-3, the maximum Rs.
15-11-3, and the annual
average Rs. 12-8-2. The

expenditure of properly clothing
the Police Force having already
been stated and recognized
and the Madras Government
having been informed that
so long as the total annual
charge for the Police does
not exceed the sum of
Rs. 3,00,000 - they
can make their own
arrangements.

Letter from Inspector Genl. of Police
submitted to Govt. of India with
letter of 13th January/65 No. 81.

Letter from Secy. to Govt. of India
of 10th February 1865 No. 1293

arrangements for the clothing
of the Force, I am directed
to request that the Governor
General in Council will
be pleased to accord his sanc-
tion to the sum of Rs. 29,200
which has been dis-
allowed from the Budget
Estimate for 1867-68. I
am to add that His
Excellency the Governor in
Council is satisfied
that the charge for the Police
Force in the Madras Pre-
sidency is kept as low as
is consistent with the
efficiency of the Force.

Fort St. George
5 June 1867

He

55257

Richard 1865-1892
to
the Reg. to the Govt.
of India
1865-1892
1865-1892
1865-1892

Conrad