

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Individual DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 792	Press <u>Mis.</u>
Dated 12-5-1918	

b/a

Not to be folded or roughly handled

C.F. Old No. 73, New No. 24-563,834-20-10-59.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous No.
Routine

, dated

DEPARTMENT.

195

Date taken out.
Department.
For WHAT PURPOSE.
C. No.
Year.Initials of clerk
taking the paper or
of attender.Date
returned.Initials of
attender.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
15th May 1867.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 160. From the Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Kurnool, 14th March 1867, No. 17.

I have the honor to request you will inform me, with reference to G. O., 1623, dated 15th October 1866, Judicial Department, whether there is any objection to Deputy Collectors on general duty inspecting and reporting on the Sub-Registration offices within their ranges.

2. Treasury Deputy Collectors are exempted from this duty, because they have as much work to do as they can properly perform, and could not be absent from their Treasuries.

3. This can hardly apply to general Deputy Collectors, whose work is almost precisely similar to that of Sub-Collectors and Head Assistants, and whose duty it is to travel within their ranges and to carry out the Jamabundy yearly.

4. This year this work has been carried out by myself, the Head Assistant, and the Assistant Collector during the Jamabundy; but it may so happen that the work of the whole district cannot be done twice a year by Covenanted Officers, in which case, and as a general rule, I shall feel obliged if you will let me know whether the work can at times be deputed to the general Deputy Collectors.

Referred to the Registrar General of Assurances, for his remarks.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
22nd March 1867.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 161. From the Registrar General of Assurances, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 20th March 1867, No. 38.

I have the honor, with reference to the accompanying letter, No. 538, of the 23rd February, from the Collector of Malabar, to inquire whether it is the wish of Government that Deputy Collectors in charge of Divisions should be employed in inspecting Registration offices. The Government Order, No. 1623 of the 15th October 1866, refers only to the Collectors' Covenanted Assistants, but the revised Rule 130 has been framed in more general terms, the phrase used being "such officers as Government may determine."

2. I see no objection to the employment of Deputy Collectors in the inspection of the Sub-Registry offices in their Divisions. In the event, however, of the office of a Registrar being situated in a Deputy Collector's Division, the latter should not, I think, be required to inspect the Registrar's office.

The

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
15th May 1867.*

From the Collector of Malabar, to the Registrar General of Assurances, Madras; dated Calicut, 23rd February 1867, No. 538.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular No. 1, dated 8th January 1867, covering a Memorandum of instructions to the Officers deputed to inspect Registry Offices.

* W. LOGAN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector.
The Registrar's Office at Tellicherry.

Cherakul Udayamkunnur,
Talliparamba,
Cannanore,
Anjerkandy.

Kottayam Kadirur,
Tellicherry,
Chowashery.

Kurumerunad Badagaru,
Kuthadi,
Quilandi.

J. CAMERON, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector.

Paulghat Paulghat,
Kollangode,
Allatur.

Wallavanad Perintatamannu,
Mannarkad,
Cherpulsheri.

2. I have appointed my Acting Sub-Collector and Acting Head Assistant Collector to inspect the Registry offices within their respective Divisions. The Taluqs comprising each are noted* for your information, as also the Registry offices situated in them.

3. Two ranges (Wynad and Ponani) in this District are under Deputy Collectors. Though not Covenanted Officers, as they are entrusted with Divisions, I see no objection to their being appointed to inspect the Registration offices therein. I will issue the necessary orders on hearing from you.

4. The Registry offices in Calicut, Cochin, and Ernad, will be inspected by me or by one of my Assistants.

No. 162.

ORDER THEREON, 15th May 1867, No. 792.

In Order, dated the 15th October 1866, No. 1623, the Government concurred with the Board of Revenue and Accountant General in the opinion that it was undesirable to employ Deputy Collectors in charge of Treasuries, who had as much work as they could properly perform, on the duty of inspecting and reporting on the offices of Sub-Registrars of Assurances. The Government, however, saw no reason why Collectors should not employ their Covenanted Assistants on that duty, each within his own range, while moving about on Settlement or other work.

2. Adverting to this order the Acting Collector of Kurnool requests, in the first of the letters above recorded, to know whether there is any objection to Deputy Collectors on general duty undertaking the duty referred to, as it might so happen that the work of the whole District cannot be done twice a year by Covenanted Officers. The Registrar General of Assurances submits a letter from the Collector of Malabar on the same subject, and states that he sees no objection to the arrangement of employing Deputy Collectors, but does not think it necessary that the offices of Registrars should be subject to their inspection.

3. It is observed that the 130th Clause of the Rules for the Registration of Assurances, which was modified in November last, prescribes that "the office

Notification—Port Saint George Gazette, for 21st November 1866.

"of every Registrar, except such Registrar's offices as may be in charge of a Civil and Sessions Judge, shall be inspected twice a year by such Officers as Government may determine." It was the intention of the Government that the duty should be performed by the Covenanted Officers of the District, but as it appears from the papers above recorded that these Officers cannot invariably make the required inspection twice a year throughout the whole District, while the duty is one which, as regards the office of the Sub-Regis-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
15th May 1867.*

trars, may with propriety be entrusted to Deputy Collectors employed on general duty, the Governor in Council sanctions the employment of those Officers, each within his respective Division, in the inspection of the offices of Sub-Registrars whenever Collectors may deem it necessary so to do, intimating the same to the Registrar General of Assurances.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Registrar General of Assurances.

„ Collector of
„ Board of Revenue.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

registrars of assurances.

The

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers.

From the Collector of Nurnool. 2/14 March 1867 No 17

From the Registrar General of Assurances. 2/20 " " No 38

Order thereon. 15 May 1867 No 792.

In Order of the 15 Octr 1866 No 1623, the Govt concurred with the Board of Revenue and Accountant General in the opinion that it was undesirable to employ Deputy Collectors in charge of Treasuries who had as much work as they could properly perform, on the duty of inspecting and reporting on the offices of Sub Registrars of Assurances.

N.
J.P.
W.D.

The

The Govt. however, saw
no reason why Collectors
should not employ their
Covenanted Assistants
on that duty, each
within his own range,
while moving about on
Settlement or other
work.

2. Adverting to this
order the Acting Collector
of Kurnool requests, in
the first of the letters
above recorded, ^{to know} whether
there is any objection
to Deputy Collectors on
general duty undertaking
the duty referred to,
as it might so happen
that the work of the
whole District cannot
be done twice a year
by

by Covenanted Officers.
The Registrar General of
Assurances submits a
letter from the Collector of
Malabar on the same
subject, and states that
he sees no objection to the
arrangement of employing
Deputy Collectors, but
does not think it neces-
sary that the offices of
Registrars should be
subject to their inspection.

3. It is observed that
the 130th Clause of the Rules
for the Registration of
Assurances, which was
modified in November
last, prescribes that
"the office of every Regis-
trar, except such Regis-
trar's offices as may be
in

Notification. Fort St. George
Gazette. for 21st Nov/66.

"in charge of a Civil and
Sessions Judge, shall be
inspected twice a year
by such officers as Govt.
may determine." It was
the intention of the Govt.
that the duty should be
performed by the Com-
manded officers of the
District, but as it
appears from the papers
above recoded that these
officers cannot invariably
make the required inspec-
tion twice a year through-
out the whole District,
while the duty is one
which as regards the
office of the Sub Registrars
may with propriety
be entrusted to Deputy
Collectors employed on
general duty, the Governor

75
in Council sanctions the
employment of those
officers, each within his
respective Division, in
the inspection of the
offices of Sub Registrars
whenever Collectors may
deem it necessary so to
do, intimating the same
to the Registrar General
of Assurances. —

g

Registrar Genl. of Assurances } despt 18/5
all Collectors. despt 20/5 }
Board of Revenue } despt 18/5
Revenue Department —

16-8-91

Inspection of Sub
Registration Office

19.10.11 ✓

1002162

Indial 1857. Department.

No. 492

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

of 161613.

Dated 15 May 1857.