

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	766	Press <u>Mis.</u>
Dated	13 - 5 - 1918	1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

map

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
13th May 1867.

No. 117. Read the following letter from the Session Judge of Tinnevely, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Tinnevely, 8th April 1867, No. 232.

I have the honor to forward copy of Calendar, No. 53 of 1867, by which it will be seen that two men have been convicted of high-way robbery, accompanied with grievous hurt. The immediate apprehension of these men, both robbers of the worst description, was due to the public spirited conduct of Arnachellum, Ramasawmy Naick and Pal Luchmanen.

2. Hearing at about 7½ or 8 p. m. that these two men had attacked and robbed a woman of a neighbouring village on the high road, they started out at once to try and intercept the thieves on their return to their village, a short distance off. They had to wait some time, when one of them coming by himself was instantly seized with the greater share of the property, the other in consequence being very easily caught the following morning; it was fortunate for the three men that the robbers did not return together, otherwise I think the chances or the result might have been different.

3. Considering that they were no relatives of the woman attacked, indeed did not live in the same village; that the two robbers were pretty sure to show fight if met with together; the trouble and expense these men have been put to in attending the Magistrate and Sessions Court in consequence of their good conduct, for no batta is given, I think a reward should be given them to show that such conduct is appreciated.

on the high road, they started out

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
13th May 1867.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Number of the Case.	Name and description of the accused.	Copy of the charge or substance of the complaint.	Name of complainant.	Names of witnesses for the prosecution.	Names of witnesses for the defence.	Statement of the evidence and grounds of conviction.	Finding and Sentence.	Date of offence.	Date of complaint.	Date of apprehension or appearance.	Date of commencing trial.	Date of sentence.	Explanation of delay.
53 of 1867. Marava, cultivator, age 30.	1. Veeruppanaik-ken Cummar, cultivator, age 37. 2. Mootootaven, between sunset and sunrise, on the road leading from Mausaraputty to Thappathy, committed robbery in which grievous hurt was suffered, and that they have thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 397 of the Indian Penal Code. Committed by Ragaviengar, 2nd Class Sub-Magistrate of Sattoor Taluq.	That they, Veeruppanaik-ken and Mootootaven, on or about the 10th day of March 1867, between sunset and sunrise, on the road leading from Mausaraputty to Thappathy, committed robbery in which grievous hurt was suffered, and that they have thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 397 of the Indian Penal Code.	Regina.	1. Ackammal. 2. Coommarandy Pillay. 3. Arnachellum Naick. 4. Ramasawmy Naick. 5. Moothayen, Village Moonsiff. 6. Ossenbeik, Constable.	...	1. Though he pleaded not guilty when ring with the Assessors called upon for his defence, the 1st prisoner Naicken and Mootootaven allowed that the charge taven are guilty of the was true. 2. As to the 2nd on or about the 10th prisoner the evidence is day of March 1867, as follows:—1st and 2nd between sunset and sunrise, on the road leading from Mausaraputty to Thappathy, committed robbery in which grievous hurt was suffered, and have thereby committed an offence, punishable under Section 397 of the Indian Penal Code and the Court sentences the said Veeruppanaik-ken and Mootootaven to fourteen years' transportation.	The Court concurred with the Assessors that Veeruppanaik-ken and Mootootaven are guilty of the charge, viz., that they committed robbery in which grievous hurt was suffered, and have thereby committed an offence, punishable under Section 397 of the Indian Penal Code and the Court sentences the said Veeruppanaik-ken and Mootootaven to fourteen years' transportation.	10th March 1867.	12th Do. 1867.	12th Do. 1867.	3rd April 1867.	3rd Do. 1867.	

(Signed) F. S. CHILD,
Session Judge.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
13th May 1867.*

No. 118. ORDER THEREON, 13th May 1867, No. 766.

The Governor in Council requests that the Magistrate of Tinnevely will submit any recommendations he may deem it proper to make for rewarding the persons referred to in the foregoing letter.

2. All recommendations for rewards to persons for resistance of robbers should be submitted to Government by the Magistrate of the District, and should specify the amount of reward deemed proper; any remarks which the Session Judge may have to make on the subject should be entered in the Calendar of the trial, a copy of which is furnished to the Magistrate.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Session Judge of Tinnevely.
" Magistrate of do.
" Magistrate of
" Session Judge of

Exd. J. Tulloch.

on the high road, they started out at once

N: 232

Tinnevely Sessions Court
8th April 1867 3

From, J. S. Child Esq.
Session Judge of
Tinnevely.

To,
The Honorable A. J. Arbutnot
Chief Secretary to Government
in the Judicial Department
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward
copy of Calandar N: 53 of 1867 by which
it will be seen that two men have
been convicted of High way robbery accom-
panied with grievous hurt. The im-
mediate apprehension of these men
both robbers of the worst description
was due to the public spirited conduct of
Arnachellum and Ramasamy Naick.
~~Padamanna~~
Hearing at about 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ or 8 P.M.
that these two men had attacked and
robbed a woman of a neighbouring village
on the high Road, they started out at once

to try and intercept the thieves on their way
- turn to their village a short distance off.
They had to wait sometime, when one of
them coming by himself was instantly seized
with the greater share of the property,
the other in consequence being very easily
caught the following morning, it was
fortunate for the three men that the robbers
did not return together otherwise I
think the chances are the result ^{how so - they}
_{were 3 to 2?}
might have been different.

Considering that they
were no relatives of the women attacked
indeed did not live in the same village
that the two robbers were pretty sure to show
fight if met with together, the trouble
and expence these men have been ^{but} to in attend-
ing the Magistrate and Sessions Court in
consequence of their good conduct, for no batta
is given, I think a reward should
be given them to show that
such conduct is appreciated.

I

4
I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant -
H. J. J. J.
Sessions Judge

Encd. H

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Session Judge of Tinnavelly.

(Received 8th April 1867 No. 232)

Order thereon 13th May 1867 No. 768.

The Governor in Council requests that the Magistrate of Tinnavelly will submit any recommendations he may deem it proper to make for rewarding the persons referred to in the foregoing letter.

2 All recommendations for rewards to persons for resistance of robbers should be submitted to Government by the Magistrate of

waited for and apprehended by the three men mentioned, from a mere feeling of praiseworthy humanity, a desire to bring offenders to justice.

Handwritten notes on the left margin of the top page, including 'We are happy to hear...' and 'The Government...'

Handwritten notes on the left margin of the bottom page, including 'I have not...' and 'The Government...'

1867. Judicial. Vol. 4. From the Session Judge of Tinnavelly. The Chief Secretary to Government in the Judicial Dept. Madras. Dated 8th Dec. 1867. No. 232. Enclosure and No. 835. Recommendations for rewards should be given to the persons mentioned in the list for their meritorious conduct in apprehending robbers.

of the District and should
specify the amount of
reward deemed proper;
any remarks which the
Session Judge may have
to make on the subject
should be entered in the
Calendar of the trial
a copy of which is fur-
nished to the Magistrate.

by

To the Session Judge of Tinnahly } despatched
" Magistrate of do }
" All Magistrates of Session Judge despatched
1164

170-1540

*Recd. to order
of the
1st of 21st Aug.*

17. no.

Indiana 1864. Department.

No. 465.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Feb. 177.

Dated 13th Aug 1867.

21