

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

471

Press

~~Mis.~~

256-253
Dated

21-3-1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

95

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
21st March 1867.*

No. 256. Read the following letter from D. F. CARMICHAEL Esq., Magistrate of Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Vizagapatam, 8th March 1867, No. 49.

In G. O., Judicial No. 315 of 27th February, ultimo, it is inquired what arrangements I contemplate, in the event of the office of Government Pleader being abolished, in cases in which suits are filed against Government in this District.

2. This question I beg to answer in the words of the late Civil Judge, paragraph 4 of his letter to you, printed in the Proceedings of Government, 1st September 1866. "I believe that the Government would be better served if, instead of the present system, they employed and paid Vakeels in the usual way, just as business arose. It is true that there are in the Procedure Code some provisions for service of process on the Government Vakeel, but it is only the first summons that is so served. But all difficulty on this matter might be got rid of, if the Government, when made a party to a suit, will accept a service on the Collector of a District as a sufficient service, which practically it really is. When, not the Government collectively, but, some Officer of Government is made a party, service on him direct would be a good service."

3. It will further be observed from the learned Judge's next paragraph, that suits to which the Government is a party are extremely rare in the Vizagapatam Courts, "one suit during two and a half years."

No. 257. ORDER THEREON, 21st March 1867, No. 471.

Under the circumstances stated by Mr. Carmichael the Governor in Council does not deem it necessary at present to revive the office of Government Pleader at Vizagapatam.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Magistrate of Vizagapatam.
„ Civil Judge of Vizagapatam.

2000

1862

I am sure by Mr. Commissioner
 of the Immigration in the annual
 report of the Immigration
 Commission for 1904-1905. I
 am sure that both the United States
 Government - that is both of the United
 States and of the High Commission
 of the United States - that the law does
 not require a standing for
 the - I cannot be sure.

When a man is paid monthly
by the Government only once in
ten years and a half.

The arrangement may be tried.
I am, however, at a loss to under-
stand how a court holding no
court with parties to suit on its
file, is to know whether to show
the first as deft, who accept service
on the failure of the defendant.

W. H. N.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read letter from the Magistrate
of Vizagapatam

21st March 1867. No 49.

Order thereon. 21st March 1867 N: 471.

Under the circumstances
stated by Mr. Carmichael
the Governor in Council
does not deem it neces-
sary at present to
revive the office of
Govt. Pleader at
Vizagapatam.

N.

W. H. N. JP

R.

Magistrate of Vizagapatam
Civil Judge of May.

Indicial 1834. Department.

No. 431.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 21st March 1834.

Commit

*Office of the
at the
1834*

256.257.