

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1945	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	28-11-1867	

556- 5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

9/11/30

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
28th November 1867.*

Read Circular Memorandum, No. 663, dated 16th November 1867, published in the *Police Weekly Circular* of the 16th idem.

No. 356. ORDER THEREON, 28th November 1867, No. 1945.

The Governor in Council directs that the Inspector General of Police be informed that the Circular Memorandum, No. 663 of 1867, should not have been published in the *Police Weekly Circular*. If Mr. Robinson considered the remarks made by an Assistant Magistrate in a Police Visiting Book unbecoming, he should have put himself in communication with the Magistrate of the District; and if such instances were of frequent occurrence, he might, with propriety, have addressed a Circular letter to the Magistrates of Districts, calling their attention to a practice which was likely to create ill-feeling between the Magistracy and the Police.

2. The Governor in Council is constrained to observe that the course adopted by Mr. Robinson in publicly noticing the matter in the *Police Weekly Circular* was not calculated to promote a good understanding between the two Departments, and that the removal of a page of the Police Visiting Book, which is of the nature of a public record, was unnecessary, and might serve as an inconvenient precedent.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police.

Ed. J. Tulloch.

Means for orders.

Submit Mr. Robinson has
been put himself in
communication with the
Mayor of the district, if an
apostate may made in -
proper remarks in the
Visiting Book. & that
he should be told that

the number than marked

should not have been

published in the

"Palm Beach" number

the

the

the

the

the

to speak of the

I think with the
chief hunting and
the insertion of the
winter in position in
the Palm Beach
winter was my intention
and not calculated
to promote a good
understanding between
the two by the. I would
tell the Publisher so,
a winter letter as the
subject of the formal
negotiations must have
been undisturbed by the.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter *Civ. Memo.*

No 663 of 16 Nov 1867 - published in the Police Weekly Circular of the 16 volun.

Order thereon 28 Nov 1867 No 1945-

The Governor in Council directs that the Inspector General of Police be informed that the *Civ. Memo.* No 663 of 1867 should not have been published in the Police Weekly Circular. If Mr. Robinson considered the remarks made by an Assistant Magistrate in a Police Visiting Book unbecoming, he should have put himself in communication with the Magistrate of the District, and if such instances were of frequent

[Faint handwritten notes in the left margin, including "Judicial 1945" and "1867"]

[Handwritten initials "WDR"]

[Handwritten signature "M. H. L."]

[Handwritten signature]

occurrence, he might, with propriety, have addressed a circular letter to the Magistrates of Districts calling their attention to a practice which was likely to create ill-feeling between the Magistacy and the Police.

In ~~the~~ ^{the} course adopted by Mr Robinson in publicly noticing the matter in the Police Weekly Circular ^{is} ~~was~~ injudicious and not calculated to promote a good understanding between the two Departments, ^{and has} the removal of a page of the Police Visiting Book which is of the nature of a public record, appears to the Governor in Council to have been unnecessary (and irregular) and might serve as an ^{unpleasant} precedent.

To the Insp^r Genl of Police 2/12