

## MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Judicial* DEPARTMENT.

|          |            |                 |
|----------|------------|-----------------|
| G.O. No. | 1705       | Press           |
|          |            | <del>Mis.</del> |
| Dated    | 28-10-1908 | 5/3             |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,  
25th October 1867.*

No. 239. Read the following letter from the Session Judge of Berhampore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Berhampore, 9th October 1867, No. 105.

I beg to point out that in the Order of Government, Judicial Department, dated 21st September 1867, No. 1525, Section 394 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been erroneously quoted, instead of Section 390. The former Section, I submit, applies to a case in which a trial has been completed, whereas, in the case I reported, the trial was postponed under Section 389.

No. 240. ORDER THEREON, 25th October 1867, No. 1705.

Ordered that Section 390 be substituted for Section 394 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in G. O., dated the 21st ultimo, No. 1525.

(True Extract).

*Chief Secretary.*

To the Session Judge of Berhampore.  
" Magistrate of Vizagapatam.  
" Magistrate of Ganjam.  
" Inspector of General of Jails.

Ed. J. H. Burns.

Proc 21<sup>st</sup> Sept 1867 No 225-227

25<sup>th</sup> Oct 1867 No 1705. <sup>but 1705</sup>  
Ordered that Section 390 be substituted for Section 394 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in G.O. dated the 21<sup>st</sup> Oct 1867 - No 1525.

W. H. Session Judge of Berhampton, Wiltshire & Salisbury.

239-240

Judicial Dept  
Government No

From  
The Session Judge  
of Berhampton,  
Dated 9<sup>th</sup> October 1867  
Received 18

225<sup>th</sup> October 1867

No 2244

Pointing out that Section 394 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was erroneously quoted in Govt Order Judicial Dept dated 21<sup>st</sup> Sept 1867 No 1525, instead of Section 390.

W. H. Session Judge