

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Jurial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	11-12	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	3-1-	1867

21-23

6/9

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
3rd January 1867.*

No. 21. Read the following letter from the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 29th November 1866, No. 67.

In reply to Mr. Sim's letter of the 5th instant, No. 1748, I am directed by the Acting Chief Justice and Judges to inform you that they entirely agree with the Government, that there would be no advantage in requiring payment for the service of Civil processes to be made by means of distinctive stamps. The Government, however, suggest that it might be expedient to introduce here a modification of the Punjaub system, levying a consolidated charge at the institution of the suit, irrespective of the number and actual cost of the processes which may have to be issued. This is now done on the Appellate Side of this Court, which issues no processes of execution, but the charge does not vary with the value of the property in suit (see order published in the Gazette on the 13th April 1866, page 580); but the Judges are not prepared to recommend the extension of the plan to the Mofussil Courts. Considering the large number of cases in which no process of execution is required at all, it seems to the Judges that no alteration should be made in the practice now prevailing in this Presidency of levying the necessary fees for each process at the time at which it is to be served.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, 3rd January 1867, No. 11.

Under the opinion given by the High Court and the Board of Revenue, and for the reasons recorded in the letter from Government to the Acting Chief Justice, dated the 5th ultimo, No. 1748, the Governor in Council does not consider it expedient to sanction the introduction into this Presidency of the Punjaub system of collecting process fees by means of distinctive Stamps, in supersession of the mode in which these fees are now levied.

(Signed) - A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 23. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 3rd January 1867, No. 12.

Adverting to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed to forward the accompanying copy of the

From Government of India, 29th March 1866, No. 1507.
To do. 10th July " " 1065.
From do. 13th August " " 1896.

*Dated 5th November 1866, Nos. 47-48.
" 3rd January 1867, " 21-22.

Proceedings* of the Madras Government, from which it will be seen that, for the reasons therein specified, the Governor in Council does not consider it expedient to sanction the introduction into this Presidency of the Punjaub system of collecting process fees by means of distinctive stamps, in supersession of the mode in which those fees are now levied.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

1. No 67 /.

From

P. P. Hutchins, Esquire.

Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature
at Madras.

To

The Honorable Mr. J. Subbuthol.
Chief Secretary to
Government

Honorable Sir,

In reply to Mr. Linn's
letter, of the 5th instant, No. 1718,
I am directed by the Acting Chief
Justice and Judges to inform you
that they entirely agree with the
Government that there would be
no advantage in requiring pay-
ment for the service of civil
processes to be made by means
of distinctive stamps. The Govern-
ment however suggest that it

might be expedient to introduce
here a modification of the ^{new} ~~present~~
job system, levying a consolidated
charge at the institution of the
suit irrespective of the number
and actual cost of the processes
which may have to be issued.

This is now done on the Appel-
late Side of this Court which
issues no processes of execution,
but the charge does not vary
with the value of the property
in suit. (See order published
in the Gazette on the 13th April
1866, page 580). But the Judges
are not prepared to recommend
the extension of the plan to the
Mofussil Courts. Considering
the large number of cases in
which no process of execution is
required at all, it seems to the
Judges that no alteration should

The present
system of
consolidating
charges is
not a
disadvantage
in the
Mofussil
Courts.

The present
system of
consolidating
charges is
not a
disadvantage
in the
Mofussil
Courts.

be made in the practice now pre-
vailing in this Presidency of
levying the necessary fees for
each process at the time at
which it is to be served.

High Court of
Judicature, Madras,
29th November, 1866.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obed^t. servant,

M. A. Muthu
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

Sd/-
S. S. S. S.

40

Country	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050
Japan	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0	9.5	10.0	10.5	11.0	11.5	12.0
Germany	10.0	10.5	11.0	11.5	12.0	12.5	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0
France	11.0	11.5	12.0	12.5	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0
Italy	12.0	12.5	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0
Spain	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0
Sweden	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0
Belgium	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0
United Kingdom	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0
United States	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0
Canada	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0
Australia	19.0	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0
South Korea	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0	24.5	25.0
China	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0	24.5	25.0	25.5	26.0
India	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0	24.5	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0
Indonesia	23.0	23.5	24.0	24.5	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0	27.5	28.0
Brazil	24.0	24.5	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0	27.5	28.0	28.5	29.0
Argentina	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0	27.5	28.0	28.5	29.0	29.5	30.0
Colombia	26.0	26.5	27.0	27.5	28.0	28.5	29.0	29.5	30.0	30.5	31.0
Venezuela	27.0	27.5	28.0	28.5	29.0	29.5	30.0	30.5	31.0	31.5	32.0
South Africa	28.0	28.5	29.0	29.5	30.0	30.5	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.5	33.0
Kenya	29.0	29.5	30.0	30.5	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.5	33.0	33.5	34.0
India	30.0	30.5	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.5	33.0	33.5	34.0	34.5	35.0
China	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.5	33.0	33.5	34.0	34.5	35.0	35.5	36.0
Indonesia	32.0	32.5	33.0	33.5	34.0	34.5	35.0	35.5	36.0	36.5	37.0
Brazil	33.0	33.5	34.0	34.5	35.0	35.5	36.0	36.5	37.0	37.5	38.0
Argentina	34.0	34.5	35.0	35.5	36.0	36.5	37.0	37.5	38.0	38.5	39.0
Colombia	35.0	35.5	36.0	36.5	37.0	37.5	38.0	38.5	39.0	39.5	40.0
Venezuela	36.0	36.5	37.0	37.5	38.0	38.5	39.0	39.5	40.0	40.5	41.0
South Africa	37.0	37.5	38.0	38.5	39.0	39.5	40.0	40.5	41.0	41.5	42.0
Kenya	38.0	38.5	39.0	39.5	40.0	40.5	41.0	41.5	42.0	42.5	43.0
India	39.0	39.5	40.0	40.5	41.0	41.5	42.0	42.5	43.0	43.5	44

(How enter 29 November/88 h: 67)

Received 1866 Dep't of the
No 100 of Jan 15/67
The Secretary of the

Received 1846 Dep't of the
Navy
The Secretary of the
High Court of Justice
at Madras.

Dec 29th } November, 1866.
Dec 30 }

\$2597. No 67-

Agreeing with the Government that there would be no advantage in requiring payment for the service of civil processes to be made by means of distinctive stamps, and stating that the system of paying consolidated charges at the institution of the suit, suggested by Govt, is now followed by the High Court but that the Judges are not prepared to recommend the extension of the plan to the Provisoil Courts.

218-220
231-232

4

the introduction into
the Presidency of the
Punjab system of
collecting process fees
by means of distinctive
stamps in supervision
of the mode in which
these fees are now levied.

EN

35

To the Hon: the Judge of the High Court
Revenue Department

{ despatch

21023

depts 7/1 with 3
copy of Proc.
25 July 1865. X32
July 1867.

65

Judicial Department.
No. 12.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

Adverting to the
correspondence noted in
the margin I am directed
to forward the accompany-
ing copy of the Proceedings
of the Madras Government
from which it will be
seen that for the reasons
therein specified the Governor
in Council does not con-
sider it expedient to sanc-
tion the introduction
into this Presidency of
the Punjab System of
collecting proops here
by means of distinctive
stamps

From Govt of India 25 March 1864 No. 1507
To do 10 July 1865
From do 13 August 1866

dated 5 Nov 1866. No. 47. 48.
" 3 January 1867 No. -

W. H. R.

Judicial 1867. Department.

No. 11

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

G. H. 2209.

Dated. 3 January 1867.

C. 18 Dec
R. 31 Jan

Stamps, in superscription
of the mode in which
those fees are now
levied.

3M

Fort St. George
3^d Dec 9 1806

12
Judicial 1867 Export.

Sept.

13
The deep to the north

of India

Oct. 22 209.

Patent 3. January 1867.