

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

India DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	865	Press Mis.
Dated	4 - 6 - 1918	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department.

4th June 1866.

No. 22. Read the following letter from the Magistrate of Malabar, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 17th May 1866, No. 63.

I have the honor to enclose a letter from the Acting Deputy Superintendent of Mysore, forwarding a warrant to apprehend one Karagah, said to have escaped from the Mysore territories, and to be employed at present in one of the Coffee estates in Gudalur.

2. I beg that sanction of Government may be obtained under Section 5 of Act I of 1849, to enable me to comply with the Deputy Superintendent's request.

From the Acting Deputy Superintendent, Mysore District, to the Magistrate of Malabar; dated Mysore, 25th April 1866, No. 3189.

In enclosing copy of warrant issued by the Sub-Magistrate of the Chamrajnugger Taluq, for the arrest of Karagah, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to issue necessary orders for the rendition of the offender to the Sub-Magistrate, after obtaining the requisite sanction of the Government as laid down in Act No. I of 1849.

No. 23. ORDER THEREON, 4th June 1866, No. 865.

Before passing final orders on the foregoing letter, the Governor in Council desires to be informed whether the person proposed to be apprehended under the warrant of the Acting Deputy Superintendent of Mysore is a British subject, or belongs to any of the other classes described in Section 2 of Act I of 1849. If he is not a British subject, but, as would appear from the warrant, a subject of the Mysore Government, Act I of 1849 will clearly not apply; nor would Act VII of 1854, unless the offence charged were "heinous" under Section 21, or such as would fall under Section 22. In the present instance, neither Section would apply, as the offence specified in the warrant is stated to be only criminal intimidation. The case is therefore one in which, judging from the information before Government, the Mysore authorities cannot claim to have their warrant executed in British territory.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Magistrate of Malabar,

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter
from the Magistrate of Malabar.

(Dated 17th May 1866 No. 16)

Order thereon 4th June 1866 No. 865.

Before passing final
order on the foregoing letter, the
Governor in Council desired to
be informed whether the person
proposed to be apprehended
under the warrant of the Acting
Sd. Superintendent of Mysore is
a British subject, or belongs to
any of the other classes described
in section 2 of Act 1 of 1849.
If he is not a British subject,
but, as would appear from the
warrant, a subject of the Mysore
Government, Act 1 of 1849 will
clearly not apply; nor would
Act VII of 1854, unless the offence
charged were "himout" under
section 21, or such as would
fall

Wd

fall under section 22. In the
present instance, neither section
would apply, as the offence
specified in the warrant is
stated to be only criminal
intimidation. The case is
therefore one in which, judging
from the information before
Government, the British
Authorities cannot claim to have
their warrant executed in
British Territory, as declared
in G.O. 15th May 1886 p. 686.

W. J. P.

What offence is im-
puted to the runaway
in the Canadian
Warrant?
Don't let the above
question delay despatch
of draft W. J. P.

To the Magistrate of Malabar
with Canadian Warrant returned

4
Judicial 1860. Department.

No. 865.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 4th June 1860.

*Received
21st June 1860
C. J. A. B. S.*