

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	456	Press
		~~Mis.~~
Dated	28. 3. 19	1866

222-224

10/11

Not to be folded or roughly handled

4

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
28th March 1866.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 222. Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department.

Referring for consideration a question raised by the Board of Revenue, as to the Head of Department which should provide any necessary increase of the Salt Guard, the Board thinking that the obligation rests with the Head of the Police Department. } (Dated 11th May 1865, No. 982.)

No. 223. Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department.

Inquiring, with reference to the extra Salt Guards required in Ganjam, whether the Board of Revenue or the Inspector General of Police was the proper authority to apply to Government to sanction the increase. } (Dated 20th January 1866, No. 172.)

No. 224. ORDER THEREON, 28th March 1866, No. 456.

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the view taken by the Board of Revenue is correct. Since the date of the Order of the 29th July 1862, No. 1200, quoted by Mr. Robinson in his letter of the 23rd March 1865, to the Board of Revenue, the system of inter-departmental cash payments has been discontinued by the Government of India, and the Police estimate is to include the whole of the expenditure incurred for Police purposes, without reference to the Department for which the service is required.

2. As, however, the funds at the disposal of the Inspector General of Police are limited, it must be left to that Officer's discretion to determine the strength of the guards required, it being the duty of the Collector, if he consider the guards actually provided to be inadequate, to report the matter to the Board of Revenue.
(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police,
with Proceedings, Nos. 982 of 1865, and 172 of 1866.
,, Revenue Department.
,, Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th May 1865.*

No. 193. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd April 1865, No. 2,041.

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 10th February 1865, No. 24, in Board's Proceedings, 16th March 1865, No. 1,503.

Read also the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Police, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated Madras, 23rd March 1865, No. 637 :—

Sm,

1. With reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 16th March 1865, No. 1,503, I have the honor to point out that it rests with the Department requiring the services of Policemen to obtain sanction of Government for the same.

2. Paragraph 10 of the Government Order quoted in the Board's Proceedings lays down that "the officers of Police are the proper authority for determining "the strength of a guard, and directs accordingly that every application from a "Department for Policemen shall be scrutinized by the proper officers of Police."

3. Government ruled in their Order No. 1,200 of the 29th July 1862, Judicial Department, that "the general rules regarding salaries and establishments will "apply to Police guards employed for other Departments."

4. It therefore appears to me that the proper authority to obtain the sanction of Government for this guard is the Board of Revenue, and I therefore beg to suggest this course. If the Government decides that the increase is required, it will then be the duty of the Police officers to examine the matter and report with how small a force the extra duty may be undertaken.

5. I must at once admit that the increase is required. And it must be within the knowledge of Mr. Clarke, Member of your Board, who has so recently visited and examined all your salt pans that the force employed to protect this important source of revenue is everywhere miserably inadequate. The men are over-worked, and cannot possibly overtake the extended duties required of them; but we dare not withdraw from the protection of life and property the number of men that is required for this fiscal duty. To increase the number of salt guards would increase the Budget, in which no provision has been made, and the Government of India have refused to allow an increase. I think it will be quite possible to increase, for the present Fussy, the period for which the extra guards are employed in Ganjam, and to meet the charge from savings; but I fear the additional establishment is out of the question.

1. Resolved that the foregoing paper be submitted to Government with the Board's request that the temporary increase of the salt guard in the Ganjam District, be sanctioned.

2. The Board are still under the impression that as the necessity of this temporary increase of the guard has been acknowledged by the Head of the Department requiring the service, and by the Head of the Police Department, it was the duty of the latter Department under the orders quoted to provide the guard from the means at its disposal, or, if necessary, to apply for sanction to increase those means. They would also observe that if the means of concentration proposed by the Third Member are carried out it may be possible to meet the general increase advocated by Mr. Robinson without any additional duty to the Collector.

No. 194. ORDER THEREON, 11th May 1865, No. 982.

Separate Revenue, No. 15.
Salt.

1. The temporary increase of the salt guard in the Ganjam District, is sanctioned.

2. The question raised in the first part of paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings will be considered in the Judicial Department.

(True Extract.)



Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Judicial Department.

Ed. T. O'Connor.

Office of Inspector General of Police,

My dear Arthur
 Please in the plea
 of domestic anxiety to
 comply -
 On the 1st of the last
 month is out of the situation
 & we want all savings to
 keep the force together
 The first step is to carry
 out Charles concentration - a most necessary
 step measure. This I prefer
 given like Sykes - who had
 have a priv. Sub-Stop in
 every village & then tell
 us precisely what we have
 to do - we will estimate

list of 100 April

From 27th June 1902 - 1st July 1902
 27th June 1902 - 1st July 1902
 27th June 1902 - 1st July 1902
 27th June 1902 - 1st July 1902
 27th June 1902 - 1st July 1902

1st July 1902

1st July 1902

1st July 1902

1st July 1902

Subsiding, with reference
 to the section of the
 region, in the
 interest of the
 Government or the
 first of the
 public authority of
 the region

and in some districts
it will be found that no
increase is required - If in
other a small addition
be necessary we must
meet it by savings effected
under the Municipal
Bill.

I send you / Mrs Carey
a copy of a memo recently
issued & speaks on this
matter and a copy of
one about the circulation

All we can do will
be done - but the utmost

5
Care must be taken to
avoid a tendency to saddle
the Police budget for
general purposes with
duties such as Customs,
Salt, Subsidary Jails,
& the like - both of which have
nothing to do with their proper
duties.

Yrs. ever sincerely
Robt Brown

1877

46

Circular memo

With reference to previous Circular calling for estimate of required increase of men for salt duty. Officers will have the goodness to obtain copies of Mr Clarke's Reports (the collectors will doubtless kindly lend their office copies for this purpose) and estimate accurately what establishment will be required of his proposals generally for execution be sanctioned by Government.

They will at the same time submit an estimate for the adequate performance of the duty with reference to Mr Clarke's remarks, supposing matters continue as they are.

In both case the estimate will be prepared in detail for each salt Station and Factory.

These estimates will be forwarded within two weeks from the date of receipt of these instructions

and

and will be carefully scrutinized
by Deputy Inspector General.

Office of the
Insp. Genl. of Police
Kotagiri, 18th
July 1865

W. W. W. W. W.
Inspector General of Police

True copy
W. W. W. W. W.
S. M. Police.

4

Memorandum

The Clarke report on the Salt &
Factories of South Street with the order of
Government thereon is herewith referred to by
Inspector General and the Superintendent
of Police with a request that an early and a
full report may be submitted on such point
as relate and do or affect the Police

W. W. W. W. W.
Inspector General of Police

Chief Officer of the
Insp. Genl. of Police
Chadras
19 May 1865

True Copy
W. W. W. W. W.
Asst. Insp. Genl.
of Police

18
Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Proceedings of Govt. in the
Revenue Department.

of 11 May '65 & 20 Jan'y '66 - Nos 982
& 172.

Order thereon 28 March 1866 No. 456.

The Governor in Council
is of opinion that the
view taken by the Board
of Revenue is correct. Since
the date of the Order of the
29 July 1862, No 1200, quoted
by Mr Robinson in his
letter of the 23 March 1863
to the Board of Revenue,
the system of inter-de-
partmental cash pay-
ments has been discon-
tinued by the Govt. of
India, and the Police
Estimate is to include
the

the whole of the expenditure incurred for Police purposes, without reference to the Department for which the service is required.

2. As however the funds at the disposal of the Inspector General of Police are limited, it must be left to that officer's discretion to determine the strength of the guards required, and care should be

taken to concentrate as far as possible the depôts, &c., to be guarded so that the number of guards may not be needlessly increased.

It being the duty of the Collector if he considers the guards actually provided to be inadequate, to report the matter to the Board of Revenue.

Consider the funds actually provided to be inadequate to meet the matter of the 18th of Dec.

W/H

Inspector Genl of Police
Revenue Dept
Board of Revenue

105/4

Chayer, J. D.
Chayer, J. D.

2220224

450
Judicial 1866. Department.

No. 456.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Oct 7/43

Dated 28th March 1866

Press No. 2765, G. M.—14.11.65—500.

Lib