

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	31	Press
		Mis.
Dated	8-1-1866	

54-56

u/y

Not to be folded or roughly handled

31
31

2-3-70

1-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
8th January 1866.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 54. From the Inspector General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Ootacamund, 2nd December 1865, No. 593.

I have the honor to request your attention to the Order of Government of the 14th October, No. 1463, communicating the reply of the Government of India, in the Financial Department, ruling, in the case of the extra Warders employed with the Lawrence Asylum gang, that the expense should be borne by the Public Works Department, and to ask whether I am to consider the ruling, therein laid down, generally applicable.

2. In the Orders of this Government, 11th November 1863, No. 1756, Judicial Department, the cost of the establishment at the Asylum works was directed to be charged to the estimates.

3. On the 8th March 1864, this Order was over-ruled by the Government Order, No. 333, directing that the whole charge should be paid by the Jail Department.

4. Again, on a reference by this Government, on the 23rd August last, I find that the Supreme Government decided that such charges should be borne by the Department on whose account the convicts are employed.

5. Under this ruling, it becomes necessary to consider what charges on account of convict gangs granted to other Departments are payable by the Jail, and what by the other Departments at whose disposal the convicts are placed.

6. At the Lawrence Asylum there are 350 convicts, at the Dodabet Plantation 82, in the Paumben Jail 197, placed at the disposal of the Public Works, or at that of the Superintendent of the Chinchona Plantations.

7. When first the gang was sent to the Asylum building, I proposed to charge the Department Public Works with all expenses in excess of the cost of the prisoners in the Jails from which they were transferred. This would include the whole cost of establishment, all expenses of transfer, and travelling expenses to released convicts, the extra cost of Police Guards, diet and clothing, in short all charges in excess of the ordinary charge for guarding, dieting, and clothing the prisoners in the Jails from which they were transferred.

8. The Proceedings of Government of the 14th October seem to recognize this principle, in which case the Order, 333, of the 8th March will be cancelled.

9. The principle laid down in this Order is that adopted in the Order of the 8th September 1864, No. 1340, relative to the cost of the gangs in the Chinchona Plantations.

10. As the ruling on this reference will affect the preparation of my Budget Estimates, I would request early orders on the subject.

Referred to the Accountant General.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
11th December 1865.

(Signed) C. G. WALKER,
Under Secretary.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
8th January 1866.

No. 55. From the Accountant General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated 22nd December 1865, No. 216.
Budget and Finance Department.

Adverting to the Order of Government, dated 11th instant, referring for my remarks a letter from the Inspector General of Jails, dated 2nd instant, inquiring whether the principle laid down in the Orders of the Government of India, No. 2627, dated 28th September* 1865, viz., that the extra charges incurred on account of convicts lent to any Department should be borne by that Department, is to be held generally applicable, and pointing out a discrepancy between those orders and the Proceedings of this Government, No. 333, dated 8th March 1864, I have the honor to state that it appears to me that the decision of the Financial Department is meant to over-ride all others of a different tendency, and to rule all cases whatsoever, and I beg to suggest that the Inspector of Jails be advised accordingly.

*Order thereon No. 1463, dated 14th October.

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 8th January 1866, No. 31.

Communicated to the Inspector General of Jails.

2. The Government concur in the opinion of the Accountant General.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Jails.
" Accountant General.

Exd. J. H. Buras:

Pro. 114. Oct 12 1865. At 36-87.
- 11th Nov 1865 At 79-51
- 2nd March 1866 At 124-125.
- 28th August 1865 At 127-128
- 3rd September 1865 At 127-128.

1-

From
J. P. D. Coates Esq.
Inspector General of Jails
Honorable A. J. P. Arbutnot
Madras

CS. 114/66. 54.

Indl 10th Sept
from Pro of July

The Government General
to the Chief Secy to Govt
of Madras
Surical Dept

Dates 22nd 3 Quilts
Recd 24th 3 Quilts

Dec 26
Dec 27/59

Refer to
State that the dea
-sion of the order of the
Govt of Madras cannot be
disputed in respect to
the charges incurred
on account of burial
last to any Dept and
supplies the Inspector
General of Police may
be advised accordingly
with my order

Dec 22 59

WM

JP

WM

8th January 1866 No. 31
Communicated to the Inspector General
of Police.

the Govt. General in the opinion of
the Government General.

To the Chief Secy of Govt } Dec 10/61
- Govt General -

by A.

54056
54056