M.R.O. 1—89,000—15-12-27.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	298	Press Mis.	
247-248			
Dated	28 2	19 86	

3/3

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
28th February 1866.

No. 247. Read the following letter from the Accountant General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated 16th January 1866, No. 232.

With reference to the annexed copy of the correspondence noted in the margin. I have the honor to request that I may be informed whether I can give retrospective effect to the Order of Government No. 41, dated 24th February last, which ruled in supercession of Orders previously in force, that horse allowance might be passed to Inspectors of Police while on privilege leave, or whether it was intended that the former Orders should only govern future cases of the kind mentioned in my letter to Captain Carr.

From the Assistant Inspector General of Police, No. 8, dated 5th instant.
My reply of this date.

No. 8.

MEMORANDUM.

Audit Department.
Pay.

The under-igned will feel obliged by the Deputy Accountant General informing him whether he is prepared to pass *all* horse allowances withheld from Inspectors while on privilege leave whether drawn prior or subsequent to the 24th February 1865, the date of Government Order No. 416.

2. It appears to be justly due.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
MADRAS, *5th January* 1866.

(Signed) M. W. CARR,
Assistant Inspector General of Police.

From the Accountant General, to the Assistant Inspector General of Police, Madras; dated 15th January 1866, No. 4290.

Post Audit Department.

Vide your Office Memorandum No. 8, dated 5th instant.

MEMORANDUM.

It would appear that the Order of Government No. 416, dated 24th February last, in the absence of any express ruling to the contrary, must be held to apply prospectively, and only to cases in which substitutes were not appointed. If in any instance an Officer was subsequently appointed to act for an Inspector of Police absent on privilege leave, that Officer could properly claim horse allowance for the period during which he performed the duties of the absentee. I have, however, submitted the question for the final Orders of Government.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) D. KISSUN SING,
Acting Chief Assistant.

No. 248. ORDER THEREON, 28th February 1866, No. 298.

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the rule referred to should not have retrospective effect.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant General.
„ Inspector General of Police.

Exd. R. H. Lee.

28th February 1866 No. 298.

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the rule referred to should not have retrospective effect.

Approved

[illegible]

Certified Copy

[illegible]