

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>189</i>	Press
		~~Mis.~~
<i>96-97</i>	Dated	<i>7.2.1866</i>
		<i>10</i>

4/5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
7th February 1866.*

No. 96. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department, dated 22nd January 1866, No. 177.

Passing orders on the subject of the re-
sumption by Government of the levy-
ing of the Sayer and Abkarry duties in } (Vide Proceedings, Revenue Department,
the Rumpacountry, Godavery District. } dated 22nd January 1866, Nos. 349
and 350.)

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 7th February 1866, No. 189.

Communicated to the Inspector General of Police in continuation of the Order of the 25th February last, No. 314.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd January 1866.*

No. 349. Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 14th November 1864, No. 7,418, recorded in Proceedings of Government, dated 22nd February 1865, No. 382. Read also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st August 1865, No. 5,067.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 28th July 1865, No. 158 :—

1. With reference to paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Government Proceedings, dated 22nd February 1865, No. 382, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 28th idem, No. 1,193, I have the honor to submit herewith a list marked A., shewing from what sources, and how, the so-called Sayer has been realized in the Rumpa country. It is a translation of a Telugu list presented by the Mansabdar through his authorized agent, admitting that he collects a transit duty on the articles mentioned at the rates specified in it on their transit from and to the Rampa country. It does not appear that any portion of the collections alluded to is derived from a tax on fruit trees, or similar items, properly of the nature of Land

Revenue. The Mansabdar has also given a statement,* through his authorized Vakeel, in which he states that the collections under the name of Sayer referred to do not include any tax derived from fruit trees, as alleged by his Vakeel in Madras.

2. The accompanying statement marked B. shews the average receipts of Sayer and Abkarry of the last five years, amounting to Rupees 2,192-11-3, from which Rupees 1,458, being one-third of the cost of the Police force employed in Rampa being deducted, there remains the round sum of Rupees 735, which should be disbursed annually to the Mansabdar.

3. The collection of Sayer and Abkarry have been discontinued, the former from 15th March 1865, and the latter from 11th August 1864. The Mansabdar will have to receive Rupees 304-7-5 on account of compensation for Fusly 1274, as mentioned below :—

mentioned below.																	
Items.				For how long.		At what rate per annum.			Amount.			Deduct Police cost.			Balance to be paid to the Mansabdar.		
				M.	D.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
1. Sayer... ..				3	15	1,742	8	9	508	3	10	337	14	10	170	5	0
2. Abkarry				10	20	450	2	6	400	2	3	265	15	10	134	2	5
Total...				...	...	2,192	11	3	908	6	1	603	14	8	304	7	5

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—List shewing from what sources, and how, the Sayer has been levied in Rampa country.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Telugu document.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Translation of the Statement given by the Vakeel of the Mansabdar of Rampa Talook.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd January 1866.*

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

ABSTRACT —Statement shewing the average receipts of Sayer and Abkarry, from Fuslies 1269 to 1273, in Rampa country.

Submitted to Government with reference to the Order quoted by the Collector. It will be observed that the Mansabdar's assertion, that the tax upon fruit trees was included in the "Sayer" or Octroi collected by him, was based on the fact of a tax having been levied on tamarinds and other fruits. His Vakeel's statement, however, shews that this exaction forms no part of the Land Revenue of his Talook.

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 22nd January 1866, No. 177.

Separate Revenue, No. 15.
Abkarry.

1. The Governor in Council has carefully considered the questions involved in these Proceedings.

2. He observes that the Rumpa Munsubdars have been allowed to levy Sayer duties in the Rumpa territory from the time when the English Government first obtained possession of the country, and that the collection was carried on for a few years by the direct agency of Government officials.

3. Hence, although the Munsubdar pays no Pesheush to Government, and any compensation which may be determined on must be paid from the Imperial Revenue, he agrees with the Board in considering that such compensation is due for the resumption of the Sayer as well as for the resumption of the Abkarry.

4. An annual payment of Rupees 735, calculated in the manner shewn in the Board's Proceedings, will accordingly be made to the Rumpa Munsubdar, and payment of Rupees 304-7-5, being the arrears of compensation due for Fusly 1274, is also sanctioned.

5. The Accountant General will instruct the Collector how to debit these charges.

6. The Collector will satisfy himself that the resumption has been carried out *bonâ fide*, and that no exactions in the name of the Munsubdar are continued.

(True Extract.)



Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant General.
„ the Judicial Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

June 1885

Proceedings of Court in
Revenue Deptt

2017

223 } Lucy / 63
 224 }

22.15.6

Having ordered the
subject of the reception
of the paper & the
dinner in the Pump
Room. I am very
sincerely,
Dear Sir,

7 February 1884 A. 189.
Communicated to the
Inspector General of Police and
continuation of the order of the
25 February last A. 34.

The High Court of Chancery Oct 20/72

96-97.

Wm. Adams

1887