

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

337-338	G.O. No. 1699	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	Dated 25. 10. 1918	6/1

Not to be folded or roughly handled

169
42

15

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
25th October 1866.

No. 337. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department, dated 19th October 1866, No. 2842.

Sanctioning the revised establishment of
Police Guards proposed by the Inspector General of Police for the Salt Department of the South Canara District. (Vide Proceedings, Revenue Department, dated 19th October 1866, Nos. 480—482.)

No. 338. ORDER THEREON, 25th October 1866, No. 1699.

To be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Erd. J. Tulloch.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department
6th August 1866.

No. 96. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th July 1866, No. 4,940:—

Read the following Proceedings of Government, dated 16th May 1866.

Read the following letter from the Inspector General of Police, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 10th May 1866, No. 736.

1. With reference to Government Order, dated 7th April 1866, No. 803, Revenue Department, I telegraphed some time ago to Ganjam to strengthen the permanent guards by twenty-five men, and also the temporary guards by the same number. This is all that can possibly be done under present circumstances. I must endeavour to adjust the increased demand from savings or otherwise. The Magistrate has stated that the District Force cannot be reduced or transferred to these State services.

2. The temporary guards are budgetted for four months. It will be requisite to accord sanction for their being employed up to 15th August.

3. I venture to bring the matter of Salt Guards generally before Government.

4. The fixed budgetted strength for permanent guards is 1,157 men, at a cost of Rupees 1,24,747, for the whole Presidency.

5. The temporary guards now budgetted for are 444 men, at a cost of Rupees 21,727, for four and six months of the year.

6. Under both heads, the number provided for is quite inadequate, and I cannot meet the reasonable demand for better protection.

7. I would venture to suggest that the expense of temporary and casual guards be transferred to the Salt Contingency Account. This would set at liberty Rupees 21,727.

8. If I am permitted to apply this sum to increasing the permanent guards, the present increasing difficulty would be met to some extent. It would enable me to employ one hundred and seventy-four more men on the permanent establishment all the year round.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their observations.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

1. With the above Order, the Government refer, for the observations of the Board, a letter from the Inspector General of Police. This Officer suggests in paragraph 7 of his letter, that the expense of temporary and casual Salt Guards be transferred to the Salt Contingency Account, by which a sum of Rupees 21,727, provided for temporary guards in the Police Budget, would be set at liberty, for the purpose of increasing the permanent guards. The Board observe that the provision made in the Budget for 1866-67, on account of charges for the provision of salt, is only Rupees 12,09,857, while the actual expenditure on the same account, in 1865-66, was Rupees 12,43,448, being in excess of the sanctioned estimate of that year by Rupees 41,484. The cost of Police Guards for the Salt Department, amounting to no less than Rupees 21,727, can, therefore, hardly be met from the current year's provision for Salt Contingencies. It also appears to the Board that the expenses of Police Guards for the Salt Department cannot, under the present

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th August 1866.*

rules, be properly charged to the Salt Contingencies, as they are properly debitable to the Police Department, as a Police charge. If any saving is expected from the provision made for Salt Contingencies, it may be transferred to the Police Budget; but even this course cannot be followed without the permission of the Government of India.

2. The Board, therefore, suggest that the Inspector General of Police should apply to the Government of India for any extra funds needed to meet the requirements of his Department.

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 6th August 1866, No. 1,959.

Separate Revenue, No. 3.
Salt.

The Government are of opinion that the expenses of Police Guards cannot be charged to the Salt Contingencies.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Inspector General of Police.
,, the Accountant General.

45

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th October 1866.*

No. 480. Read the following letter from the Inspector General of Police, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George; dated 12th September 1866, No. 1,507 :—

• Enclosed. Return requested.

Permanent Salt Guard.

	RS.
4 Head Constables	660
3 Deputy do.	378
17 1st Class do.	1,428
33 2nd .. do.	2,376
	5,142

Temporary Salt Guard for 5 months.

	RS.
7 Head Constables	700
7 Deputy do.	368
31 1st Class do.	1,085
62 2nd .. do.	1,860
	4,013

Add 10 per cent. for contingencies ...	9,155
	915
	10,070

Original sanction, G. O. No. 9, dated 6th January 1863	13,556
	3,486

Difference ...

	RS.
1 Head Constable	240
2 Deputy Head Constables	252
22 Constables	1,668
	2,160

Add 10 per cent. contingencies ...	216
	2,376
	2,376

† 1 Deputy Head Constable	126
12 Constables	912
	1,038

Add 10 per cent. contingencies ...	103
	1,141
	1,141

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 8,301,* dated 22nd December 1865, revising the establishment of Police Guards for the Salt Department of the South Canara District, I have the honor to annex in the margin a corrected list, providing for the proper proportion of the several grades. The total strength corresponds with that given in the above Proceedings.

2. A saving of Rupees 3,486 is effected by the present arrangement on the amount originally sanctioned in G. O., No. 9, dated 9th January 1863, Judicial Department.

3. The estimate does not include temporary batta, the payment of which is dependent on the savings available in the Police Budget.

4. I propose to utilize the reduction in Canara by increasing the permanent Salt Establishment in Ganjam by twenty-five men, at a cost of Rupees 2,376, as per margin, with reference to my letter No. 736, dated 10th May 1866, recorded in Proceedings of Government, dated 6th August 1866, No. 1,959, Revenue Department; also by adding thirteen men to the permanent Salt Establishment in Madras District, at a cost† of Rupees 1,141. The extension of the Salt work of that District requires increased guards.

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
18th September 1866.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their remarks.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

24th September 1866.

Received 26th
Entered and returned 27th } September 1866.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

J 1866
J 1866

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th October 1866.*

No. 481. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th October 1866.

Read Endorsement of Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 24th September 1866, referring to the Board of Revenue, for remarks, a letter from the Inspector General of Police, annexing a corrected list of Police Guards for the Salt Department in South Canara District.

The Government will be informed that the Board see no objection to the arrangement proposed by the Inspector General of Police.

No. 482. ORDER THEREON, 19th October 1866, No. 2,842.

Separate Revenue, No. 14.

Salt.

The arrangements proposed in the first of these papers are approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)



Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector General of Police.
.. the Board of Revenue.
.. the Judicial Department.
.. the Accountant General.

of Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16

Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16
Dec 18th Jan 163 Oct 15-16