M.R.O. 1—89,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 123 Press Mis.
225-226

Dated 31 - 1 - 19 86

3/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

/ No. 2 /

From D. D. Hutchins, Esquire.
Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature
at Madras.-

To The Honorable A. J. Arbuthnot,
Chief Secretary to
Government.-

Honorable Sir,

In returning the copy of the Commentary on the Indian Succession Act which accompanied your letter of the 19th ultimo, No. 1816, I am directed to state that the Chief Justice and Judges are not prepared to recommend that the work should be supplied to the Courts at the public

expense. The cases in the interior
to which the Act is applicable
will be very few.—

High Court of Judicature, Madras,
10th January, 1866.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obed.t & hum.e Sv.t,

P. W. Dutchess
Registrar.
Appellate Side.

Lt.d Chandlaman.

Com. 19th Aug. 1865 Nos. 161–162

Judicial 1866 Dep.tt.

No.

From — The Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

To — The Chief Secretary to Government.

Dated 10th January, 1866.
Rec.d 11

No. 2

Book returned

Rs 70

Returning the Copy of the Commentary on the Indian Succession Act, and stating that the High Court are not prepared to recommend that the work be supplied to the Courts at the public Expense.—

225.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
31st January 1866.

No. 225. Read the following letter from the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 10th January 1866, No. 2.

In returning the copy of the Commentary on the Indian Succession Act which accompanied your letter of the 19th ultimo, No. 1816, I am directed to state that the Chief Justice and Judges are not prepared to recommend that the work should be supplied to the Courts at the public expense. The cases in the interior to which the Act is applicable will be very few.

No. 226. To Messrs. R. C. LEPAGE & Co., Calcutta, dated Fort Saint George 31st January 1866, No. 123.

I am directed to return the copy of the Commentary on the Indian Succession Act, by Mr. Whitley Stokes, received with your letter of the 9th November last, and to state that the Madras Government do not require any copies of the work in question.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Judicial Dept.

No. 123.

Messrs. R. C. Cragg & Co.
Calcutta.

Gentlemen,

I am directed to return the copy of the Commentary on the Indian Succession Act, by Mr. Whitley Stokes, received with your letter of the 9th December last, and to state that the Madras Government do not require any copies of the work in question.

Fort St. George
31st January 1863.

Judicial 1866 Department

№ 123.

Messrs R. C. Lepage & Co
Calcutta

Ld 31 January 1866.

Rec. 16 Feb 1866

19/8/65

225-226