M.R.O. 1—23,000—15-1[illegible]-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	1087	**Press Mis.**
116-117		
Dated	11-7-1984	

4/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
11th July 1866.

No. 116. Read the following letter from the Accountant General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 25th June 1866, No. 75.

Post Audit Department.

I have the honor to ask whether, under Government Order, 157, dated 2nd February 1863, fees for prosecuting cases before the Session Court can be granted to Court Inspectors and other Public Servants. As they are already paid for their services by their ordinary salaries, there seems no reason why fees should be given in addition, as seems to be now done in many places.

No. 117. ORDER THEREON, 11th July 1866, No. 1087.

The Inspector General of Police is requested, with reference to his letter, dated 27th March 1863, No. 777, to state his reasons for considering it inexpedient that the usual fee for prosecuting criminal cases should be given to Police Inspectors, when employed on that duty.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Yes. I think
he'd let it.
but we put conditions
like Robin's Uncle—
better we know
reasons for—

11th July 1866 No 1087.

The Lord: Lieut. of Police is requested, with reference to his letter of 27 March 1863 No 777, to state his reasons for considering it inexpedient that the usual fee for prosecuting criminal cases should be paid to Police Inspectors, when employed in that duty.

To the Inspector of Police dept. I.D.C.

P.S. The fee - some 10 R - is sometimes remunerative for a special duty requiring special care &c - I cannot see why a public servant should be deprived of it - The Port Dept of the Board get their fee for attending Meetings &c - why not a Police Inspector or other specially chosen Officer claim extra pay for his services