

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1071	Press
		<u>Mis.</u>
Dated	10-7	19-1866

83-84

2/1

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
10th July 1866.

No. 83. Read the following letter from the Registrar High Court, Madras, Original Side; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 28th June 1866.

I have the honor to forward three items of the contingent bill for the month of May 1866, amounting to Rupees 106-8-0 one hundred and six, and Annas eight, in order that the sanction of His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council may be obtained, to enable the Examiner of Claims to pass the same for payment.

2. In submitting this bill for sanction, I beg to forward the usual bill for contingent charges for the month of May 1866 (marked No. 1), which was returned by the Examiner of Claims, with a Memorandum (marked No. 2); I again sent the bill to the Examiner of Claims, with the explanation called for, copy of which is marked No. 3, and the bill was again returned with a Memorandum, marked No. 4. I beg, therefore, to bring the circumstance to your notice, and for the consideration of His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

[No. 2.]

MEMORANDUM, No. 111.

Bill returned for the following reasons:—

- 1st.—For want of explanation why the articles of stationery were purchased from the local market, instead of having been obtained from the Stationery Depot.
- 2nd.—For quotation of the authority, under which the charge for cuscus tats has been incurred.
- 3rd.—For want of sanction of Government to the charge on account of covering cushions for Court House chairs.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
Examiner of Claims.

16th June 1866.

To the Registrar High Court, Original Side.

[No. 3.]

MEMORANDUM.

With reference to Contingent Bill for May 1866, returned by the Examiner of Claims for explanation—

- 1st.—It has been the custom to make these petty purchases in the local market, and to charge them to contingent expenses. These purchases were therefore made and charged to Contingent as formerly. The Examiner of Claims has invariably passed such charges for purchases made in the local market, as may be seen on reference to—

The contingent bill for February 1865, passed by the	do.
The do. for May 1865, passed by the	do.
The do. for June 1865, passed by the	do.
The do. for December 1865, passed by the	do.
The do. for February 1866 passed by the	do.

- 2nd.—The cuscus tats have been supplied as usual. Year after year, from the year 1836, and even previously, up to last year, the cost of cuscus tats has always been charged to Contingent and passed by the Examiner of Claims. Objection has never been made until now.

- 3rd.—Every article belonging to Government in use at the High Court, when requiring repair, has always been repaired and charged to Contingent. Under the orders of the Chief Justice, these repairs of Government articles have always been made, from

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
10th July 1866.

the time the Court was established, by the Registrars, from time to time, and have never been objected to by the Examiner of Claims until now.

Orders of Government sanctioning contingent charges—

1851, October 28th.

1856, May 9th.

20th June 1866.

To the Examiner of Claims, Fort Saint George.

(Signed) A. M. RITCHIE,

Registrar High Court, Original Side.

[No. 4.]

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM, No. 109.

Your Memorandum, No. —, dated 20th instant.

The undersigned, when again returning the contingent bill of the High Court, Original Side, has the honor to state that he cannot, without the special sanction of Government, admit the following charges, viz :—

	RS	A.	P.
Cuscus tats, and materials for watering the tats.....	36	2	0
as the charge is one which Government does not bear— vide paragraph 23, Chapter V, Civil Auditor's Manual.			
Deschamps & Co., for covering Cushions of Court House chairs.	60	6	0
D'Alvez & Co., for supplying silver [and repairing Chobdars' silver sticks.....	10	0	0
as these charges are not "of usual description"— vide paragraph 14, Chapter V, and paragraph 38, Chapter VII, Civil Auditor's Manual.			

2. The undersigned will admit the charge for stationery (under the explanation given) in the present instance; but, in future, charges for these articles will be disallowed, as they must be obtained from the Stationery Department.

3. The undersigned cannot admit the implied argument that, because such charges as the above may have been passed upon previous occasions, the practice is to be continued, when there are instructions from Government to the contrary.

OFFICE OF EXAMINER OF CLAIMS,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

25th June 1866.

To the Registrar High Court, Original Side.

(Signed) W. DONALD,

Examiner of Claims.

No. 84.

ORDER THEREON, 10th July 1866, No. 1071.

The Governor in Council sanctions the charges objected to by the Examiner of Claims.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Registrar High Court, Original Side.

„ Examiner of Claims, with bill and vouchers.

„ Accountant General.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

10 July 1866 No 1071.

The Govt Sanctions
the charges objected to
by the Examiner of
Claims.

N.
W.D.

To the Reg. High Ct. O.S. } despatch
Examiner of Claims }
Accd. W.D. }
with bill & vouchers

Public Dec 1861 at 25 06.
 Fin 14 Dec 1860 at 3 44.
 Pub 18 Oct 1853 - at 263.
 Fin 13 May 1856 at 23-24.
 Pub 18 Dec 1862 - at 5 00.

Judicial 1860 Sept
 1078
 Recd High Court. 1857

Contingent Charge
 Fin 18 May 1855 at 22
 Fin 28 March 1856 at 17
 Fin 28 Jan 1857 at 18
 Fin 28 Oct 1857 at 22

Sp 287
 Repd same pro

Contingent Charge
 Fin 28 Jan 1857 at 18
 Fin 28 Oct 1857 at 22

Fin 28 Feb 1858 at 22

Sp 1391
 Forwards for special
 cautions, 3 stems in
 his Contingent Bill
 for May last, which
 were disallowed by
 the Examiners of Claims.

83-84
 1744
 R10 "

W. H. W. 1857