

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 576

Press
Mis.

110-III
Dated

12 4 19 182

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
12th April 1865.*

No. 110. Read the following letter from C. DALE, Esq., Government Pleader, Madras, to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 3rd April 1865, No. 68.

With reference to the Order of Government, under the date and number noted in the margin, I do myself the honor of reporting, for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council, that

15th February 1865, No. 250, Judicial Department.

the Criminal Petition (which has been numbered 3 of 1865) preferred to the High Court, against the sentence of the Session Judge of Chittoor, in Case No. 138 of 1865, came on for hearing this morning, when their Lordships the presiding Judges were pleased to dismiss the petition, and to confirm the conviction and sentence against the prisoners.

2. Their Lordships, however, commented on the extreme leniency of the sentences passed.

No. 111. ORDER THEREON, 12th April 1865, No. 576.

To be recorded.

(True Extract).

A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

The Supreme Judge of Chittagong who tried this case should be informed of the tenor of the H. Court's remarks as reported within by the Govt. Pleader. The principal prisoner, writing from any recollection of the Calendar of. I saw in the H. Court was the late Sir Puff of Chittagong, a Mahomedan who had I think been either a case or a thief in the olden times - a penny, as we are now bound to do, that the case was a true one throughout, then Mahomedan Fullerman's son was present to the preparation of a forged will depriving an old woman of her husband's property. Sir W. Will would have been brought forward for adjudication in the Mahom. Fullerman Court!!! as he was arranged. one of the concoctors became an offender - The Supreme Judge sentenced the Sir Sir Moonsiff to two years imprisonment!!!

10.4.65

W.D.

The usual order of the H. Court on a writ of appeal rejected is simply read it has been rejected