

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	411	Press Mis.
S. 234. Dated	27-4	19

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
29th March 1865.*

No. 235. Read the following letter from Major R. M. MACDONALD, Registrar General of Assurances, to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated 7th March 1865, No. 94.

I have the honor, with reference to the enclosed letters from the District Registrars of North Arcot and Cuddapah, to solicit instructions with regard to the remuneration of District and Deputy Registrars, in temporary charge of Registration Offices, under Section 10 of Act XVI of 1864.

2. As a Deputy Registrar is paid according to the number and value of the instruments registered by him, I think the best plan will be to consider an acting Deputy Registrar entitled to the full commission due on any instruments which he may have registered. Under the existing arrangements, the whole of the fees collected during the month are remitted to the Treasury on the 1st of the following month, and a bill is sent in, on the same date, for the amount of commission due on the fees. The commission will, therefore, be actually drawn by the officer who may happen to be in charge on the 1st of the month; and any portion of it which may be due to other parties must be handed over to them by him. In every case, the permanent and acting incumbents must necessarily make their own private arrangements with regard to establishment.

3. The commission due to a permanent and acting District Registrar should, I think, be divided rateably according to the total amount of commission realized during the month, and the number of days each has been in charge. This seems to be the most satisfactory mode of adjusting account with regard to these officers, because they are paid mainly for supervision, and not for original registration.

From M. VENKATA RATNA PILLAI, District Registrar of North Arcot, to Major R. M. MACDONALD, Registrar General, Madras; dated Chittoor, 4th March 1865, No. 9.

I have the honor to request the favor of your instructions on the following points:—

During the temporary absence from the Head Quarters of the Deputy Registrars, who are Tahsildars, Sheristadars are to be authorized to act as Deputy Registrars. Who is then entitled to the Commission upon the fees levied during such absence? Sometimes Tahsildars go on privilege leave, and Sheristadars act for them, without any addition to their own salary. I am of opinion that the latter officer, when he acts for the Deputy Registrar, is entitled to draw commission.

From E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., District Registrar of Cuddapah, to Major R. M. MACDONALD, Registrar General, Madras; dated Gurram Condah, 28th February 1865, No. 9.

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether I, or the Civil Judge, should draw the Registry fees, as per Order of Government. The Civil Judge has had charge of the Registry Office, during the whole of the month of February 1865.

No. 236. ORDER THEREON, 29th March 1865, No. 491.

The Governor in Council approves of the arrangements proposed in the foregoing letter for remunerating District and Deputy Registrars of Assurances, when in temporary charge of Registration Offices, under Section 10, Act XVI of 1864.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Registrar General of Assurances.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Madras Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following letter from the
Registrar General of Assurances.

(Here enter 7th March 1865. No 94)

Order thereon 29th March 1865. No 491.

The Governor in Council
approves of the arrangements
proposed in the foregoing letter
for remunerating District &
Deputy Registrars of Assurances
when in temporary charge of
Registration Offices under
Section X, Act XVI of 1864.

W.D.
no

W.D.

The Registrar General of Assurances does

17-X-X

1850-1851
1891

205-206