

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Indicil DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	487	Press Mis.
Dated	29. 3	1935

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
29th March 1865.*

No. 231. Read the following letter from Lieutenant J. P. WARLOW, Assistant Superintendent of Police, South Arcot.
(Here enter 27th January 1865, No. 213).

No. 232. ORDER THEREON, 29th March 1865, No. 487.

The Governor in Council does not deem it necessary to enter into an investigation of the matters referred to in the foregoing letter. The Inspector General of Police, while he has formed an unfavorable opinion of Lieutenant Warlow's qualifications for the management of a District so important and difficult as Tanjore, expresses his concurrence in Mr. Morris' opinion of that Officer's personal activity and desire to do his duty to the best of his ability. In such a case, The Government must accept the opinion of the Officer at the head of the Department.

(True Extract).

G. J.
Chief Secretary.

To Lieutenant J. P. Warlow, Assistant Superintendent of Police,
South Arcot.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Confidential

From

Sent Marlow
with Supt Police
South West

To G. Lee Morris
Magistrate of San Francisco

Sir

The Inspector General
of Police having in a re-
port to Government an
extract from which I
herewith forward states
that Sanguine was sadly
mishandled by Captain
Bates and myself. I have
the honor to request that
you will have the good-
ness to inform me at
your earliest convenience
whether you coincide
with his opinion so far
as it relates to me and
if not that you will
kindly favor me with
an expression of your
opinion as to the manner
in

which I performed
Police duties both
West Superintendent
Capt. Bates
and subsequently as
Sub. ...

Surfice Surmer
which latter post I
was holding at the
time of your transfer
to Canara

I have seemed right
to tell you as you
were joint Magistrate
of Canara from the time
my Connection with
that District commenced
to within two months
of its closing and
during the whole of
that period were on
the most confidential
official terms with
you and were ac-
quainted with all
that I did as well as
with

Mr. Difference
of opinion on many
Subjects which ex-
isted between my-
self and Captain
Bates.

A copy of this letter
has been forwarded
to the Inspector General
of Police.

Requesting the favor
of an early reply.

I have &c
(Signed) W. W. ...
Inspector of Police
South Arcot

True Copy
(Signed) W. W. ...
Inspector of Police

Maoras
28 Dec.
1884

Mangalore, 10th January

To Lieutenant Warlow,
Assistant District Magistrate of
South Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 28th Decr
1864.

From 1860 to 1863, whilst I was
first Joint Magistrate and subsequently
District Magistrate of Tanjore, you were
also employed there as Assistant Superin-
tendent and Superintendent of Police,
and I have no hesitation in saying
that I always found you active and
earnest, striving to manage your difficult
charge to the best of your ability.

I think it right also to add,
that I am well aware that you dis-
agreed on many points with Capt.
Bates and his way of conducting
business. I do not however consider
that I should be justified in entering
into

Ex Dec
20 & May²

Copy
From
Lieut. Harlow
Acting Justice
South Sea
To W. P. Mason Esq.
Inspector General
Police.
Sir,
I have the
pleasure to
refer to
your letter of
the 10th inst.
concerning the
No. 17, dated 3rd December
1882
at the Police
Station
I have the
pleasure to refer to you
from Governor that
Lieut. Harlow had temporary
charge of the District before
Lieut. Campbell and he,
in

direct co.

les

int, where rate

Head Quarter Inspector

responsible for all acc

regard an order given

image the in order

when gave me a

irregularly ordered that the head

Inspector may

interfer with the

more a recommendation

and the key

the cash Chest will al.

was remain with the

Office Inspector who was

Mr. Chimmens. Thus he

actually exonerated the

Head Quarter Inspector

all responsibility in

regard to accounts.

II I now take the earliest

opportunity of stating most

emphatically that the order

order in question did not
originate with me and
that I must protest and
being held responsible for
a glance at the reading of
the order as contained in
Appendix A to the report
on Tanjore accounts will
show that it did not ori-
ginate with me, it com-
mences as follows "Under
instructions from the Act-
ing Deputy Inspector Ge-
neral the strength of the
Tanjore Head Quarter Office
will stand as below" and
goes on to detail the work
to be done by each indivi-
dual. It is such plain
facie evidence that I was
acting under superior
authority and not in
own responsibility. I feel
that I was only the trans-
mission enough when the
order was conveyed to those
whom

whom it concerned.

F. It is true that Captain Swanson the then being Deputy Inspector of the States the

that he does. I think he could have issued such an order but from his own Diary as quoted by him it appears that he was at Lahore engaged organizing the District at the time that the order in question was issued.

In the margin I have noted the exact words used by him and must solicit your careful attention to them.

You will observe that the order ^{on} for which you have been held so strongly and for which I have been held responsible, could not have been issued by me prior

I went thoroughly through the Office here. I found the reductions ordered by the Inspector Genl. had been carried out but no system has been introduced. All three Depts (General Audit & Store) were mixed up together I arranged all this & laid down such means work in his own Dept.

most Order
Lahore 8 July 1863.

prior to the date of Swanson's Diary for the states therein that "no system introduced" that "all three Depts. were mixed together" whereas order No. 1158. separates the Departments and introduces system neither could this order have been issued by me subsequent to the date of Captain Swanson's Diary for it is inconceivable that after the Acting Deputy Inspector General had personally arranged the District Office and laid down such means work in the Departments that I should have mixed up every thing and laid down an erroneous system. I should have laid down the work of each department separately.

the

on higher author

V. To the best of my recol.

cession order No 1158

drafted? then

the then Acting Deputy

Inspector General, himself

and will probably be found

in his own hand writing in

the records of the Tanjore

office. I have requested the

officer at present in charge

that I desired to search

for it but whether

forthcoming or not I have

hesitation in stating

most positively that the

order did not originate with

me and that in issuing it

I acted under instructions

from Superior Authority.

The above remarks

will, I trust, satisfy you

that I cannot fairly be held

responsible for the order to

which

all these men

unfortunate cult

the whole blame of issuing

when has, in my absence,

been thrown upon me, in

your report to Government.

VII. There is another and

more important point to

which I must respectfully

solicit your attention.

though

stance and great diffidence.

In para 4 of the report to

which I have referred above

you state that "the Govern-

ment are already aware

that Tanjore at all times

a most difficult district

to manage, was sadly mis-

managed by Captain

Bates and "Lion" "Lion"

and that "both of these

officers were sent elsewhere."

VIII. It is not for me to

presume to offer an opinion

as

a she
was or was not
managed by me. You are
undoubtedly the best judge
on that subject and I must
submit to your judgment
there.

I regret
that this letter
has been so long in coming
that you attributed the
bad state of things I am
going to me or were dissatisfied.

With regards
whilst that District;
and in order to account
for my surprise at finding.

Myself thus coupled
with Captain Bates and
ported to Government
having "badly managed"
anyone, I must
venture to remind you of
some facts which appear
to have escaped your
recollection

near but
observe I am in doubt
as to

the period of which
I was Captain Bates
Assistant in from February
1861 to March 1863 or to
January 1864
during which I was
Assistant of the Dis.
trict in for the
1863.

IX. If
I must refer to me
the former, I would
remind you that
there was a peculiarly ac-
cursed one inasmuch as
Captain Bates views on
war and those most vital
from me truly
to mine or a long
time an official coolness
existed between us owing
to my desiring it my duty
to

to me so that
I was not that
I tested "again" w
id earnestly
in my diaries an
that eventually
arrived such a
that I found it ne-
cessary to visit the Su-
perintendent in person and
set things to rights.
From what you then
told me I had good
reason to believe that
you implicitly approved
my conduct and
understand that I deserve
credit for what I have
done. Leave it to you
to consider whether I
can fairly be charged
with having "sadly &
mismanaged" a District
in which I held a sub-
ordinate position under
a Superintendent whose
views were directly op-
posed to mine and
whose

entire system
I opposed. I protest
against his in my
opinion as being
oppressive, & even
ad. him
X On the other hand
your remarks regarding
my mismanagement
refer to the period of
spring which I was acting
as Superintendent and
presents to the removal
of Capt. Bates my former
12 to 13 months.
I can only remind you
that the time was very
short that I had many
difficulties to contend
with. I was
laid up with a broken
arm, unable to see
a horse or hear the motion
of a carriage, and
as soon as I was
sufficiently recovered from
about, Lieut Cox, who
was

Para of your report
...
aware

fore was sad.

I have been

... and ...

... in the

... in some previous
... reported unfavor-
ably of me to Government
... though the fact of your
... being done so was not
previously known to me
... could I have, from
your actions, have sup-
posed that you were
in any way dissatisfied
with me; on the contra-
ry, I had every reason
to believe that you re-
spected me as an effi-
cient officer.

III You now couple me
with Captain Bakes
and report us to Govern-
ment as having both
"mismanaged" "Singapore"
and.

And been removed
elsewhere true
that we were both
sent elsewhere but
in two different a
manners. I, Daniel
Bakes, a ...
out, and transferred
without, as he himself
told me, being allowed
any voice in the matter,
to Trincomopoly, a much
smaller and less im-
portant District. I
am Assistant.

... was promoted
to an Acting Su-
perintendent of my own
... request.
... to ...

IV Permit me to re-
call to your memory
the circumstances
under which my
transfer took place.
When you came down
to Singapore in July 1863
I had been in charge
of the District for only
four months more
than

then apparent in
that should
continue to act as
intended of
that District during
the absence of Europe
Captain D. A. ...
but owing, as you
informed me, to
Lieut Campbell, who
had been appointed
acting Superintendent
of Street, having
sent in a sick certi-
ficate and obtained
two months leave
to the Hills, you asked
me, whether I would
mind going to Street
in his place for those
two months I went
accordingly and on
my arrival at Fudda-
lore found that Lieut
Campbell's appoint-
ment to that Dis-
trict had been
cancelled and that
I

I was gazetted as
Superintendent of South
Arcot but I was sub-
sequently informed
by the Acting Asst. Quarter
Master General that this was
intended as a temporary
arrangement only. I
then wrote to you, desir-
ing officially request, as
a special favor, that
I might be allowed
to remain in South Arcot
as I infinitely preferred
it to Saugor. In your
reply, written on the mar-
gin of my note, you
stated that you could
not make any change
and that I must re-
turn to Saugor at the
end of the two months.
So any hope, however was
I to be transferred that
I repealed the request
in my return and also
addressed the Asst. Quarter
Master General, &c. &c.
Dear

officia
at; he being desi.
believe of him
not placed
my hands, supported
request, with which
eventually complied.
Captain Swanton, if
irred to will I am
sure, Confirm all my
statements on this point
XV. I had charge of
South Arcot until 16th
July 1864 when I was
obliged to come to Madras
on private leave and
about this time you
went in my name
to Government for pro-
motion to Superintendent
of South Arcot from
which District Captain
Swanton was to have
been removed on his
appointment to the
19th Dy Inspector General
ship of the Northern
range.

to. Coming to his
attentions desiring
to above arrangements
other arrangements
were made and you
with them in order
which you had sent
in for my home
XVI. Taking into full
consideration
the matter will ad-
mit that I had
Cause for surprise
at finding on my
return from leave
that I had been
referred to as
as having "a d. d.
"mismanaged" concern.
I have been "sent
elsewhere" apparently
in consequence of
this mismanagement.
XVII. I cannot but
think that I have
Cause for complaint
at being thus imple-
mented by Captain Bates
and made to share
such

Ink blame as attached
to his proceedings.

XIII. In conclusion
I can only appeal,
with so much
confidence, to your
discretion but it is
fair and just. No
induce to remove
the unfavorable im-
pression of my Con-
dition and qualifications
which the Government
naturally must have
received from your
report and which
must in all proba-
bility prove most
detrimental to my
future prospects of
staff employment.

I have &c

Signed W. Warlow Lieut
Supt of Police

Madras
29 Dec
1864 } (True copy) & forwarded
Supt of Police

From
Lieut Warlow

Supt of Police
South Arcot

Supt General of Police

I have the honor to receive the
favor of an early reply to my letter of
29th Ultimo and shall accordingly proceed
to England on S. C. by the Steamer of
27th Inst. I must now resign
leaving the Country now what steps you
purpose taking with regard to the
brought to your notice in my letter referred
to above

I take this opportunity of forwarding
you some information. Copy of the reply sent
by Mr. H. M. C. to the communication
a copy of which accompanied my last
letter to you

I also forward a letter from
Mr. C. J. S. Superintendent of Police
Pangora for which you will perceive
the original of O.O. 1158 cannot be
found in the records of the Pangora District
office

Office I am still however satisfied that
the order to Guadalupe had not
with me but was copied verbatim from
one issued by the Hon. Acting Deputy
Supt. General of the S^o Range.

(signed) J. H. Harlow

General Department
No. 166

Volagine, 24th January 1875

Simon Louis

inspector General of the Police

sergeant 2nd

reference is your letter
date, in I like to receive
information copy information
Captain Swannston on the
subject the order issued under your
signature relative to the man of

2. I do not consider that there is any
 thing on which it is desirable to address
 governments further on the point.

3. With respect to the rest of your
letter I can only remark. I do so with re-
spect to - that I have not formed a favorable
opinion of your management of a district
, transfer from the Assistant Ship
at Bangalore to that of South Arcot was
made to disconnect you with Bangalore.

It seems it desirable to occupy

occupy the time of the Government and
further reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. Marshall
Inspector General of M. Police

P.S. Since receiving the above I have
received your letter 21st with en-
closure from Mr. W. Marshall
you are personally ac-
quainted with the neces-
sity.

W. Marshall
S. 206

Reply to portions of a letter addressed by Lieut Marlow
to the Inspector General of M. Police.

In reply to the first part of Lieut Marlow's
letter to the Inspector General of M. Police, I have the honor
to state that I have no recollection of having issued the order
or ever seen it before.

Lieut Marlow denies positively having issued the
order, and so far has placed me in the position of either
accepting the order as my own or contradicting him
directly. If I had had the slightest remembrance
of the order I would not have hesitated to have said
so when I wrote my former letter, especially as I
think it will be found on reference to the Cash Account
Book that a systematic plan of embezzlement
been carried on long before the order in question was
issued. Lieut Marlow states that the order was
drafted by me. He has ascertained that the original
of the said order is not now to be found in the Sanjor
Office and when I was in Sanjor at the trial I
endeavored to find out whether the original order
had been seen by any one, but could not. Inspector
Chinnathur informed me that he had not seen the
original order, but as the order was headed "under
instructions from the S. I. G." he thought I must
have given it. Now I remember directing the
departments and so far as that is concerned I did

gave the order but I am not sure of the exact
 Part of the order where the Head Quarters Inspector
 is directed to examine the cash Chest, once a month;
 I had been in charge of a District for four years and
 is perfectly well what the duty of a Superintendent

for the District Inspector to neglect his own
 duty and do more of the Superintendent's duties to mention
 further proof is a document highly improbable very
 likely (South Marlow who had not long been in charge
 of District mistook my intentions in dividing the
 establishments and added the latter part of the
 order to a rough sketch of what ought to be the
 system at the same time if the Inspector General
 could that more insight might be attached to
 Mr. Marlow's position and the order than
 to my statement of non-recollection and must have been
 the order which as I said before I do not
 consider has very much to do with the Embury's

addalot
 14th January 1854

To the Inspector General of Police
 and the Deputy Inspector General
 Southern District

(True Copy)
 Captain
 1st Insp. Genl of Police

June 1854
 No 213

Genl. P. Harlow
 Asst. Supdt of Police
 South District
 To
 A. J. Arbuthnot Esq
 Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,
 I having come to my
 knowledge immediately
 on my recent return to
 Madras from leave to
 the Cape, that the In-
 spector General of Madras
 Police in a letter relating
 to the defalcations in the
 Savings Bank Report
 Inspector had expressed
 a most unfavourable
 opinion of my conduct
 of the Police duties of
 that District, I was
 Mr Robinson on the sub-
 ject and have done the
 honor to forward copy
 of the correspondence be-
 tween us and to request
 that you will do me
 the favour of laying it
 before Government.

II In the letter in question great stress is laid by Mr Robinson upon Lady in District Police Order No 1158 dated 27th July 1863 and the entire responsibility of having issued the order is imputed to him. I would most respectfully beg to represent that the order did not originate with me but was issued under superior authority.

III As Mr Robinson has expressly declared me to be in a great measure responsible for the bad state of things in Laysan, I trust that the Government will consider that I am asking only what is reasonable when I request that the opinion of the local Chief Magistrate may be obtained on the matter, in justice to my character as an Officer of Police. I would also venture most earnestly to beg that Mr H. Lee Morris might also be directed to express his opinion as to my ability and judgment as I cannot but allude to the construction which Mr Robinson has in the postscript of his letter placed upon the suppression of Mr Morris.

IV If Government will be pleased to call for the report which Captain Swanton, as Acting St. Inspector

no. 100 Genl. Dept. dated 26th January 1863

22nd July 1863.

General, Southern Range, sent on the state of the Laysan Police they will see that, at that time, Mr Sedell expressed his confidence in me and, apparently wished that I should remain in charge of that District.

V With reference to para 3 of the letter marginally noted, viz Mr Robinson, I can only repeat what I have stated in my first letter to him, viz that at the time of my being moved from Laysan to the West I was informed by him that it was purely a temporary arrangement and that when, after my arrival at Fuddalore, I begged to be permanently transferred to the South District, my request was, in the first instance, refused by Mr Robinson, who, even, either then or at any subsequent period, intimated to me that he considered it advisable that my connection with Laysan should cease.

Captain Swanton, the then Ag. St. Inspector of the Southern Range is acquainted with the circumstances under which my transfer took place.

VI In para 3 of his letter Mr Robinson states that he has not formed a favorable opinion of my management of a District and from his postscript it may fairly

* dated 22nd July 1863.

is inferred that his opinion
as to my judgment and capa-
city is equally unfavorable
got in August 1. Mr. Wilson
has sent me my name to for-
ward for promotion to the
permanent superintendence
ship of South Arcot and at
that time I had been for
nearly sixteen months in
charge of a District and
he must have had ample
time and opportunities
of judging of my capacity
to discharge such duties.
I have been absent on leave
and cannot therefore
have done anything to
induce him to alter the
opinion which he held
at the time he recom-
mended me for promotion.
VIII I regret to find myself
in a state of antagonism
with the head of my
Department and to be
obliged to trouble Govern-
ment with this reference
but under the circum-
stances of the case and
especially as I am on
the eve of resigning
India, and I feel I cannot
I trust that I shall
be held justified in
doing all in my power
to remove the unfavora-
ble impressions of my
character as a Police
Officer with the Go-

The Mayor
27 January 1865

Department must have
derived from Mr. Robinson's
letter and which, if allowed
to remain, cannot but
be very detrimental to my
future prospects in the De-
partment

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedt servant

J. H. Arnold Esq
Asst Supt of Police
South Arcot.

Read the following letter.
from Lieutenant [unclear]
Assistant [unclear]
of Police, South Street
188 27 January 1885 no 213.
Order thereon. eg. Mans. J. S. - No 484

The Governor in Council does not deem it necessary to enter into an investigation of the matters referred to in the foregoing letter. The Inspector General of Police, while he has formed an unfavourable opinion of Lieut. Brown's qualifications for the management of a district so important and difficult as Junjore, expresses his concurrence

the
gr
work

Oct 1865 - Schraftmuth

Three

Henry D. Graham

Dei Euphrat & Nile
Jadite Dress

The 1st of Dec
 1878
 100/13

Proceeding copy of a
will of Joseph Smith he leaves
himself and the duties
to be performed of his
office and representing
that it was the duty
of the government

Beckwith

Salts 2nd January 1885
Newcastle

concurrence in Mr. Morris' opinion of that officer's present activity and desire to

case. The Govt. must accept of the opinion of the officer at the head of the Department.

To Lieut. J. W. Warlow
Asst. Supdt. of Police, South Arcot.

L. 2 of 1865 Department.

No. 487.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 29 March 1865.

Curd