

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 296

Press
Mis.

Dated

22. 2.

1865

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 296.

FROM

A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
 FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Lieutenant Warlow, Superintendent of Police in the South Arcot District, asked for and obtained privilege leave for two months, from the 16th July last, but subsequently, on the 26th August, requested that this might be converted into eight months' leave within Indian limits. The Civil Paymaster reported him eligible, and he was gazetted immediately, his early departure being urgently necessary. He appears, however, to have been advised that he was entitled to *special* leave, and preferred a request that this leave might be granted him. The Civil Paymaster reported that he might have the two months' privilege leave, originally granted, and that the eight months' leave, subsequently granted, might be converted into six months' special leave, in extension of the two months' privilege leave. This was done in the Judicial Department.

2. To this, subsequently, exception was taken in the Military Department, on the ground that leave to the Cape was "beyond sea," and not, as the Civil Paymaster had stated, "within Indian limits."

3. The matter has since that time been made the subject of a reference to the Government of India, in the Military Department, and they have ruled that Lieutenant Warlow was not entitled to the leave.

4. As a consequence, that officer is placed under retrenchment, not only of the pay for the period of six months' special leave, but also of that of the two months' privilege leave taken previously, owing to the accident of his not having re-joined his appointment on its expiry.

5. I am directed to request that the subject may be laid before his Excellency the Governor General in Council, and that, considering the special circumstances of the case—that Lieutenant Warlow had been declared by the Civil Paymaster to be eligible to leave, and that the error in his being permitted to take leave was committed by the Audit Officer, to whom, being in Civil employ, he considered himself bound to apply—His Excellency may be moved, as a special case, to exempt Lieutenant Warlow from the retrenchment under which he now lies.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
 22nd February 1865.

Chief Secretary.

No

The Secretary to the Government
of India.

Department

Sir,

however to have been advised that he was entitled to special leave, and preferred a request that this leave might be granted him. The Civil Paymaster reported that he might have the two months marriage leave, originally granted, and that the leave subsequently granted, was in accordance with the provisions of the Act. This was done in the exception was the Military Department on the ground that leave was not as a

Civil Paymaster has
received, in Indian
limits.

3. In the subject of a
application to the Government
of India in the Military
Department, on the
have subsequent
warrior was no
we.

that Office.
of
period Six in this Special
leave, but also
the two months for
leave
owing to rejoin
went its
expiry.

5. I am done
to,

hint of 25 July

File 720.

X.

man

