

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Invoice DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <i>1539</i>	Press Mis.
<i>203-204</i>	
Dated <i>28.10.</i>	<i>1918</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1539
18

S
A/S
N

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
28th October 1865.

No. 203. Read the following letter from the Deputy Clerk of the Crown, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 27th October 1865.

With reference to Act XIII of 1865, Section 28, I am directed by the High Court to state that the Record of the case of one Cornet James Watkins, British subject from Bangalore, has been received in the Crown Office, and the prisoner committed to take his trial at the ensuing Sessions.

2. I am further directed to inquire whether it is the intention of His Excellency the Governor in Council to issue, under the provisions of the above Act, a Commission authorizing a Judge of the High Court to hold sittings at any place other than the Court's usual place of sitting.

3. The abstract of inquiry in the case held before the Magistrate is herewith forwarded, from which may be gathered the nature of the charge.

4. As the next Criminal Sessions will be held on Wednesday next, it will be desirable to acquaint the Committing Magistrate in this case with the nature of the answer to this letter, as speedily as possible.

No. 204. To the Honorable the Chief Justice of H. M.'s High Court of Judicature, Madras; dated Fort Saint George, 28th October 1865, No. 1539.

In acknowledging the receipt of Mr. Brooks' letter of the 27th instant, I am directed to inform you that it is not the intention of the Governor in Council to issue a Commission, under the provisions of Act XIII of 1865, for the trial of the case therein referred to.

2. The enclosure received with Mr. Brooks' letter is returned.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Ed. J. H. Burns.

Sub 1 Nov 1853

Should not issue a form
As regards jurisdiction
There was very recently
re-published an act -
relating to the jurisdiction
of the High Court with
some order of the Court
said removing the old
limits of the jurisdiction
of the Calcutta Supreme
Court, by which it have
heard the jurisdiction High
Court receive power
to try cases arising
in the case.

I did not see the order
doubtful from the way

28 Oct. 1853

C27 (out)
R25

Recd. 1853
Judicial Dept

From
The Clerk of the Court

Dated 27 October 1853

27/10
Enclosure

For Early order

Request to know if it is the
intention of the Court to consider
the order of the 13th of 1853, as
a communication for the trial of
one Corbett & Malins
which subject from the
-false

Impressi has this for? form
to issue a Commission in this
case? Are officers committed
by British subjects at Bangalore
Cognizable by the High Court?

But whether they are or not
I would not say in the Court. The
parties are all at Bangalore
and can come down to the
-cham in a few hours -

Let it I would not issue a
Commission which the point
of Jurisdiction is so uncer-
tain. 20/10/53

over
J.D.

Recd 28/10/65 in hnd.

Judicial

5
M35
N

N^o 1539.

The Hon^{ble} Sir Colley Harman Scotland Kt.,

Chief Justice of

H. M. High Court of Judicature

Madras.

Hon^{ble} Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Mr. Brooks letter of the 27th instant, I am directed to inform you that it is not the intention of the Governor nor in Council to issue a Commission under the provisions of Act ~~XIV~~ 265, for the trial of the case therein referred to.

2. The enclosure received with Mr. Brooks letter, is returned.

Fort St. George,
28 October 1865

L. B.

Comd Mathews

July 10th 1852

1539

No. 126

Judicial 1865 Report

1539

To the Honorable

The Chief Justice of the

Supreme Court of Indiana

Madison

Dated 20th October 1865

2085204