

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1521	Press Mis.
Dated	28 - 10 - 19 85	

185-186

4/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
25th October 1865.*

No. 185. Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Tanjore, 5th October 1865, No. 1142.

As directed in paragraph 5 of the Order of Government, Judicial Department, dated 2nd February 1863, No. 157, I have the honor to request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the grant of Rupees 20, in addition to the usual fee of Rupees 8, to Asirvatham Pillai, Government Pleader, who conducted the prosecution in Case No. 25 of 1865 in the file of the Session Judge, Tranquebar.

2. With reference to this case, Mr. Clarke writes as follows:—"I beg to state that Session Case No. 25 of 1865, an important and complicated case of forgery, occupied nearly five days in the trial, and calls for a higher rate of remuneration than the ordinary fee of Rupees 10." I have, therefore, to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for payment of an extra sum of Rupees 20 to Acting Government Pleader Asirvatham Pillai, for this case.

No. 186. ORDER THEREON, 25th October 1865, No. 1521.

Sanctioned, Rupees 20.

2. The Government presume that this application *originated* with the Magistrate, the matter not being one in which the Session Judge should interfere.

(True Extract).

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Magistrate of Tanjore.
" Session Judge of Tranquebar.
" Accountant General.

That on the other side is 70
It seems to me too, that this is not a
matter in which the Judge will have
mediated. If the § Pleader thought him-
self underpaid by the usual fee
for this particular case, he might
have applied to the Magistrate
who could easily have ascertained
what his labor had really been,
and come up to foot for any further
remuneration that he might think proper.

It is not however clear whether this
application originated in the letter of
Mr. Clarke from W. Mr. Morris has
given an extract - or whether the letter
- the was in reply to a reference to Mr.
C from Mr. W. in information as to
the nature of the work & probable extent
of the P's labor. If the latter be the case
I see no objection. JH

25th October 1865 No. 1521-

JP Sumd R. 20 -

The Govt. presume that this
application originated with the
Maga. the matter not being over
in B. the Superintendent should interfere
OK

I am satisfied that it is not
compatible with the position of
a Sepoy Judge, that a Magistrate should receive
from him any suggestion of the kind sent to
Mr. Lang or Magistrate by the Sepoy Judge of Raipur.
More than that, there seems to be
something very indecent in the S. Judge making
himself up at all in the matter. & I do say
so. WJ

The ^{Magte} Collector of Tanjore.
" ^{Magte} Collector of Tanjore } despd 27/10
" Acct. Genl. - }