M.R.O. 1—20,000—15-10-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 990-91 Press ~~Mis.~~

45-47

Dated 5 7 1884 ~~19~~.

7/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

rates of ise of t

d, prepared from the latest R

ed from time t

and form

selection;

show

work, and are so employed. They are alway xecuti

should th office men their s required e

they take their tion with their fellow Policemen of

their re

hat letter, so far as it

5. With reference to the 3rd p

that my official acts are not open t n of u

That the Police t 6. The

mitted to the S

efficient ence, in the marg

The Despatch of

ia, dated 9th April 1862, shows that

to the communications of th

he of Police in Indi

he local Govern

In this instance Colonel Bruce,

thout communi vern-

Government or intimation to me, applied to the

Civil Pa information hed in the Statemen Paymaster

thus th came to my knowledge.

9. The requisitions entered into the minutest details of the internal economy administration of the force, revived appropriations already abolished by the me Government, and required distinct allotment of men for different functions practice are not and cannot be separated from general Police duty

nder these circumstances solicit the orders of this Government before complying with the call through a channel in which the information would not necessarily pass under the view of this Government. Had the cation been made to me direct. I could not have complied with it without this permission.

biassed honesty

declare that my pub
been want promote the ad
An d that this is the firs
long course of official lif
of my ability, with
public
conduct while employed in the
I will only venture to recall a few poi
th has pleased the Governme
allege that these facts indicate a desire the reverse of wha

ly in my service I perceived that the re-or
minis was a measure which the int
G n ill-health drove me to Engla
the study of I

for the profession to which circum
the Police of this Presidency was re
experi d were fai
api eral brought
offi ears as Coll
ally difficult tu
ry Government wi
of hat my previous
afford. to the Government to say how f
fulfille rateful acknowledgment
e hands
officers of s throughout the country with whom
brought And I venture to affirm that such
hav Government whose scrutiny of
clo

had they not from lengthened experience bec
and ca
public serv

15. in in 1860 the Supreme Governm
commission to report on Police
dly placed at its dis
nally honored by the

n this Presidenc
and own Gover
wi e has
Ho for India
in than the Police as it is now administered by this

1861-62.

E 12,580

Chief O ROBI
25th June 1864.

MADRAS POLICE.

l in Superintendents' and Assistant Super

			Total.	Remarks.
	5		14	
	3		13	
	4		12	
Kis				3 1st Class Inspectors at 200 Rs. each per month.....
Nellore				9 2nd do. do. at 150 Rs.
				at 100
				at 75
				5th do. do. at 50
				5th do. do. at 40
		0		74 Head Constables
				ty do.
				lass do.
		9	11	66 0 0
		5		
			Total. Mont	
			Ann	Rs. 83,784 0 0
	7	3	10	
	10	0	10	
South Cana	9	0	9	
Total...	188	59		

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police, Madras, *25th June* 1864.

(Signe ROBINS
ctor Ge

N. B.—The Stores are u charge of an I
execu s.
S tional men were temporarily employed in aiding in the offices at t
offici

Statement showing strength of Watchmen, permanent and fluctuating, allowed to Judicial, Magisterial and Revenue Departments.

Districts.	Attached to Convict Establishment.					In attendance at Magistrate's Courts, &c.				Watchmen at District Treasuries.				Watchmen at Taluq Treasuries.				Preventive Establishments, Land Customs and Salt.			
	Constables.					Constables.				Constables.				Constables.				Constables.			
	European on Rupees 30.	Head on Rupees 22 to 20.	Deputy Head on Rupees 12 to 10½.	1st Class on Rupees 8 to 7.	2nd Class on Rupees 7 to 6.	Head on Rupees 22 to 20.	Deputy Head on Rupees 12 to 10½.	1st Class on Rupees 8 to 7.	2nd Class on Rupees 7 to 6.	Head on Rupees 22 to 20.	Deputy Head on Rupees 12 to 10½.	1st Class on Rupees 8 to 7.	2nd Class on Rupees 7 to 6.	Head on Rupees 22 to 20.	Deputy Head on Rupees 12 to 10½.	1st Class on Rupees 8 to 7.	2nd Class on Rupees 7 to 6.	Head on Rupees 22 to 20.	Deputy Head on Rupees 12 to 10.	1st Class on Rupees 8 to 7.	2nd Class on Rupees 7 to 6.
Ganjam	0	3	3	14	28	1	0	6	13	1	1	4	9	0	3	6	12	10	10	42	88
Vizagapatam	0	2	2	10	21	1	0	5	9	1	1	4	9	0	12	16	32	6	8	28	58
Godavery	0	1	2	7	14	1	1	6	13	1	1	4	9	0	9	18	36	6	8	30	64
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	4	16	34	1	1	6	13	1	1	4	9	0	11	22	44	8	10	40	80
Nellore			1	18	37	1	1	7	14	1	1	4	9	0	9	18	36	13	15	60	122
Bellary	0	5	5	22	45	1	2	7	14	1	1	4	9	0	16	32	64	0	0	0	0
Kurnool	0	2	2	10	21	1	1	5	10	1	1	4	9	0	8	16	32	0	0	0	0
Cuddapah			6	23	47	1	1	6	13	1	1	4	9	0	11	22	44	0	0	0	0
North Arcot	0	5	6	24	48	1	1	7	14	1	1	4	9	0	9	18	36	0	0	0	0
Madras	0	5	5	20	42	1	2	5	9	1	1	4	9	0	6	12	24	17	18	76	151
[illegible]					53	1	1	6	13	1	1	4	9	0	8	16	32	0	0	0	0
Coimbatore				20	54	1	2	8	16	1	1	4	9	0	11	22	44	0	0	0	0
South Malabar				25	52	1	1	5	9	1	[illegible]			[illegible]	6	12	24	0	1	3	5
North do.	0			[illegible]	26	0	1	3	6	1				0	4	8	16	1	0	5	9
Canara	0	2	3	12	24	1	0	5	9	1	1	4	9	0	5	10	20	9	10	40	83
South Arcot	0			17	36	1	1	7	14	1				0	8	16	32	12	15	27	55
Tanjore	0	3	3	12	26	1	1	8	15					0	9	18	36	15	15	58	122
Trichinopoly	0		1	18	37	1	1	6	12	1			9	0	5	10	20	0	0	0	0
Madura	0	4	5	21	44	1	1	7	14	1	1	4	9	0	6	12	24	5	6	23	48
Tinnevelly	0	2	3	11	24	1	1	6	13	1	1	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	9	18	36	5	5	21	44
Total		72	82	345	713	19	20	121	245			84	189	0	165	322	644	106	123	492	1009

REMARKS.		
Abstract showing cost of Watching Convict Establishments.		
1 European Constable at 30 Rupees	30	
2 Head do. at 22 do. each	44	
70 do. do. at 20 do. do.	1400	
3 Deputy do. at 12 do. do.	36	
79 do. do. at 10½ do. do.	830	9068
11 1st Class do. at 8 do. do.	88	
334 do. do. at 7 do. do.	2338	
24 2d Class do. at 7 do. do.	168	
689 do. do. at 6 do. do.	4134	
In attendance at Magistrate Courts, &c.		
1 Head Constable at 22 Rupees	22	
18 do. at 20 do.	360	
1 Deputy do. at 12 do.	12	
19 do. at 10½ do.	200	
6 1st Class do. at 8 do.	48	2920
115 do. at 7 do.	805	
15 2d Class do. at 7 do.	105	
228 do. do. at 6 do.	1368	
Watchmen at District Treasuries.		
1 Head Constable at 22 Rupees	22	
20 do. at 20 do. each	400	
1 Deputy do. at 12 do. do.	12	
20 do. at 10½ do. do.	210	2379
4 1st Class do. at 8 do. do.	32	
80 do. do. at 7 do. do.	560	
9 2d Class do. at 7 do. do.	63	
180 do. do. at 6 do. do.	1080	
Watchmen at Taluq Treasuries.		
1 Deputy Constable at 14 Rupees	14	
10 do. at 12 do. each	120	
154 do. at 10½ do. do.	1617	
2 1st Class do. at 9 do. do.	18	
20 do. at 8 do. do.	160	7941
300 do. at 7 do. do.	2100	
4 2d Class do. at 8 do. do.	32	
40 do. at 7 do. do.	280	
609 do. at 6 do. do.	3600	
Preventive Establishments, Land Customs and Salt.		
3 Head Constables at 22 Rupees each	66	
75 do at 20 do. do.	1500	
3 Deputy do. at 12 do. do.	36	
89 do. at 10½ do. do.	935	9639
13 1st Class do. at 8 do. do.	104	
354 do. at 7 do. do.	2478	
26 2d Class do. at 7 do. do.	182	
723 do. at 6 do. do.	4338	
Total		31,947
Add one-tenth for Contingencies		3194
Total per mensem		35,141
Total per annum		4,21,692
Add cost of 444 Men of Temporary Watches and Salt Factories		17,364
Grand Total		4,39,056

These duties is to a certain extent a[illegible]. Attendance Courts and Treasury Watchmen execute a variety of other duties connected with executive Police, watching of Lock-ups, &c.

No. 46. Order thereon, 5th July 1864, No. 990.

The Governor in Council directs that the Statements submitted with the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Inspector General of Police for India, with reference to his letters of the dates noted in the margin.

* 17th December 1863, No. 202.
21st ,, ,, ,, 208.
Recorded in the Proceedings of Government, 5th March 1864, No. 318.

2. The representation made in the 5th and following paragraphs will be submitted for the consideration of the Government of India.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 47. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Fort Saint George, 5th July 1864, No. 991.

In acknowledging the receipt of Mr. Under Secretary Wyllie's letter of the 15th April last, No. 2904, regarding certain Statements applied for by Lieutenant Colonel Bruce, the Inspector General of Police in India; I am directed to inform you that the Statements in question have been forwarded to Lieutenant Colonel Bruce, and to submit for the consideration of His Excellency the Governor General in Council the annexed Extract from a letter from Mr. Robinson, the Inspector General of Police in this Presidency, deprecating the censure which has been passed upon him by the Supreme Government.

The Governor in Council feels assured that, in writing the letter which has drawn upon him the strictures of the Supreme Government, Mr. Robinson was actuated by no other motive than a desire to prevent the interference which, in his opinion, was calculated to hinder the efficient working of the important Department under his charge.

3. In the applications made by Lieutenant Colonel Bruce for the information referred to, no explanation was given as to the object with which it was sought; and it was therefore not unnatural that Mr. Robinson should view those applications, as indeed did the Madras Government, as preliminary to the issue of orders affecting the organization of the Madras Police. The Governor in Council, on a recent occasion, has brought the services of Mr. Robinson under the special notice of His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General in Council; and in this place he would only observe that, in his opinion, there is no public officer in the service of Her Majesty's Government in India who is less open to the charge of being actuated by personal motives rather than by a genuine desire to promote the advantage of the public service. The Governor in Council trusts that, on further consideration, the Supreme Government will relieve Mr. Robinson from the censure it has passed upon him.

4. I am directed to observe, in conclusion, that the remarks made by Sir William Denison in his Minute of the 8th February last, appear to have been misapprehended. His Excellency did not say, nor did he imply, that Lieutenant Colonel Bruce had assumed an official designation to which he was not entitled. He merely suggested that, as the Secretary of State had directed the abolition of the office of Inspector General of Police in India, and as it had been retained, as he understood, merely for a special and temporary purpose, it would be better if Colonel Bruce were designated as a Special Commissioner and not as Inspector General of Police. His Excellency was aware that the title of Inspector General was that which had been conferred on Colonel Bruce by the Government of India.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

letter

neo ... me

... on made

in the 5th and following

paragraphs will be sub-

-mitted for the Considera...

of the Govt. of India

... Inspector General of Police desp 13/7

...spector Genl. of Police in India desp

Judicial D.

Secy to the Govt of India
Home Department

Sir,

I AM directed by
the Under Secretary of State's
Letter A. 8th April last,
No. , regarding certain
statements applied for by
Lieut.-Colonel Bruce late
Inspector General Police
India, I am directed to
forward
herewith

forwarded to Lieut.-Colonel
Bruce, and to request that for
the consideration of His
Excellency the Governor General
in Council, I would extract
from a letter from Mr.
Robinson, the Inspector
General of Police in
Presidency, deprecating
the censure which has been
passed upon him by
Supreme Government

2. The Governor in Council
feels assured that, in writing
the letter which has drawn
upon

in his opinion, [illegible]
public. His in [illegible]
of His Majesty's [illegible]
in India who is less open
to the charge, being [illegible]
personal motives [illegible]
a genuine desire
[illegible]
of the public [illegible]
Governor in Council
trusts that, on [illegible]
consideration, the Supreme
Government will relieve
Mr Robinson from [illegible]
in case it disposed
upon him.

4. I am directed to observe
in conclusion, that the
remarks made by Sir
William Denison in his
Minute of the 24th February
last appear to have been
much misapprehended. His
Excellency did not say,
nor did he imply, that
Lt Colonel Bruce had assumed
an official designation to
which he was not entitled.
He merely suggested that, as
the Secretary of State had
directed

Fort St George