MER..O. 1—32,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 865 Press ~~Mis.~~

114-115

Dated 13 6. ~~19~~ 1864

3/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

the Madras Governme

13*th June* 1864.

Read the following Petition :—

NCY SIR W. T. DENISON, K. C. B.,

Gov *in Council of Fort Saint Geo*

The humble Petition

DE

Cash-keeper of Distr

way, and prisoner in Calendar No. 49 of

on the file of the Session Court of Salem.

SHEWET

herewith an appeal petition to the address of His Excellency Sir C. Wood, for India, your petitioner begs most respectfully that your Excellency will be pleased to cause the same to him at your earliest convenience.

BAN 864. (Signed) SABAPATHY MOODELLIAR.

TO HIS EXCELLENCY SIR CHARLES WOOD, BART.,

Secretary of Stat

The humble appe f SABA

MOODELLIAR, father of MOOTO

MOODELLIAR, late Cash-keeper o

10 of the Madras Railway, and p

Calend 15 of 1861 on the fi

Session Court of Salem.

That er is the father of Mootoosamy Moodelliar, the late Cash-keeper of District

2. That your petitioner begs most respectfully to approach your Excellency with this his humble petition, in fervent hopes that your Excellency will as a parent commisserate, compassionate and sympathize with the sore affliction of an unfortunate father who, at his present advanced age of life, fortune of seeing his only son—the only child of his bosom, the only support and prop of his hitherto respectable house—cruelly led away from him as a prisoner and condemned to imprisonment with hard labor in irons for seven years, and sentenced to pay Rupees 5000, and all this upon the most questionable and slender grounds of inference called—to criminate your petitioner's son of the pernicious advice said to him, as it will be evident to your Excellency on perusal and consideration of the sentence passed by the Madras Sudder Court in its 7th paragraph, where it is stated that nce existed as to your petitioner's son having stolen the missing cash.

3. That your petitioner sought to bring his grievances to the notice of the Sudder Court by a petition of review (as per copy annexed), but it was rejected without even a hearing of it.

4. That notwithstanding that great stress was laid on his attempted falsification of accounts, yet the depositions of some of the Railway authorities themselves, properly considered, stultify even this accusation and exculpate him of this charge also.

5. That the slightest evidence exists of your petitioner's son having fraudulently appropriated any of Rupees 5000 stated to be missing.

6. That there is no evidence whatever to show that the alleged falsified account was framed by him or written in his hand-writing.

7. That the witnesses deposed in support of any of the allegations specified in the charges laid against the prisoner,

Pro… Madras Gover… …l Department

13th June 19…

…pt the m…

sp… Compan…

…uence of… sufficien…

…n that he…

…red by the p…

…ner

…to"

…son

…e rough…

…the Su…

so, he s…

…onally pardoned and his fine remitted to him, and he

That before c… …r, who thus humb…

g…

C…

…, praying for… …d ineffectual, your peti-

…n this his su…

…ers and enliven the

…, and t…

…th; and your petition… …luty bou… …ver pray.

(Signed) SABAPATHY MOODELLIAR.

No. 115.

The Memo…

…etition will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

(True Extract.)

Exd. J. Tulloch. Chief Secretary.

[redacted]

Rd … 13 June 1861. No. 865.

…emorial received with

…tition with h powarded

…f State

both

[redacted]

…ough

…Govt

to forward our Petition

the Govt ought to be expressly

an opinion when th…

WW

Judicial 1864 Depart

A.

[redacted]

[redacted]

D/1. 64

[redacted]

1404/65