

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	735.	Press
		Mis.
186-187		
Dated	18 5	1864

415

Not to be folded or roughly handled

511

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th May 1864.

No. 186. Read the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Madras Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 12th April 1864, No. 728.

General Department.

I have the honor to submit the following for the disposal of Government.

2. In 1861 Government, after calling for reports from several Departments on the question of Travelling allowances of subordinates, submitted the matter for the orders of the Government of India. The Government of India, in letter No. 1075, dated 30th January 1862, published in this Government's Order, No. 196, dated 10th March 1862, Financial Department, expressed its determination not to interfere with the arrangements of the Madras Presidency.

3. On the 31st May 1862, the Government of India passed a Resolution on the subject of Railway fares chargeable by subordinates, which was promulgated by this Government in Order, No. 477, dated 28th June 1862, Financial Department, and applied by the Civil Paymaster to all Departments.

4. The Director of Revenue Settlement appealed against this ruling; and this Government considering there were good grounds for the appeal, determined in Government Order, No. 2449, dated 20th November 1862, Revenue Department, to submit the point for the disposal of the Government of India.

5. The Government of India, in letter No. 343 G, dated 20th January 1863, promulgated in this Government's Order, No. 372, dated 21st February 1863, Revenue Department, pointed out that the Revenue Settlement Department had been already specially exempted from Resolution, dated 31st May 1862, by the previous letter No. 1075, dated 30th January 1862.

6. This order was only communicated to me a few days ago; and on a perusal of the whole correspondence, it appeared perfectly clear that the letter of 30th January 1862 was the exempting letter, and that therefore all the Departments mentioned therein were equally exempted. I, however, thought it better to take the Civil Paymaster's opinion, and find that he does not so understand the order; but considers that the word "special" limits the exemption to the Settlement Department.

7. Under these circumstances, I beg the consideration of the Government to the Clerks who accompany myself and the Deputy Inspectors General when travelling through the Districts. I venture to say that on no other Department does so much travelling devolve, involving great wear and tear of constitution, and to restrict them to the bare Railway fare involves them in heavy pecuniary loss.

No. 187. ORDER THEREON, 18th May 1864, No. 735.

In his letter above recorded, Mr. Robinson submits that the Clerks attending himself and the Deputy Inspectors General, when travelling through the Districts are entitled to draw both mileage and daily allowance as permitted to subordinates belonging to the Revenue Settlement, in a letter from the Government of India, dated 30th January 1862, No. 1075.

2. The Civil Paymaster differing in opinion from Mr. Robinson holds that by a subsequent letter from the Government of India, dated 20th January 1863, declaring that "the servants employed under the Director of Revenue Settlement in Madras, must be held to be specially exempted from the rule" regarding the travelling allowances of subordinates in general, none but those employed under the Director of Revenue Settlement can be so exempted.

3. It appears to His Excellency the Governor in Council that the letter above referred to, being merely explanatory of rules previously laid down, cannot in any way have been intended to modify the existing arrangements as to the travelling allowances of subordinates; and that this very decision of the Government of India in favor of the establishment of the Director of Revenue Settlement, being based on the previous orders which also included as exceptional.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th May 1864.

cases all servants employed in the Inam Commission and Mofussil Police, conclusively admits that they are all to be under the same rules.

4. The office Clerks of the Inspector General and Deputy Inspectors General of Police must therefore be considered as exempted from the rules regulating the travelling allowances of subordinates in general.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police,
" Civil Paymaster.
" Accountant General.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Judicial. 52P
of the Madras Government.

on the Tarpee.

of Police. — Enter 12. May. 1864. N^o 728.

Order. 18th May 1864 N^o 735.
In his letter above recorded,

Mr Robinson submits that the Clerks attending himself and the Deputy Inspector General, when travelling through the districts are entitled to draw both mileage and daily allowance as permitted to subordinates belonging to the Revenue Settlement, in a letter from the Government of India dated 30. Jan. 1862. N^o 1075.

2. The Civil Paymaster differing in opinion from Mr Robinson holds that by a subsequent letter from the Gov^t of India dated 20. Jan. 1863. stating that "the servants employed under the Director of Revenue Settlement in Madras, must be held to be specially exempted from the rule" regarding the

travelling allowances of subordi-
nates in general, ~~not~~ but
those employed under the Direc-
tor of Revenue Settlement, ^{can be} ~~these~~
so exempted.

It appears to His Excellency
the Governor in Council, that
the letter above referred to being
merely explanatory of rules pre-
viously laid down, cannot in
any way have been intended
to modify the existing arrange-
ments as to the travelling allow-
ances of subordinates; and that
this very decision of the Govern-
ment of India in favour of
the establishment of the Director
of Revenue Settlement, being based
on the previous orders which
also included as exceptional
cases all servants employed in
the Income Commission and Profes-
sion Police, conclusively admits
that they are all the under
the same rules.

The Office Clerks of the Inspector
General and Deputy Inspector's

General of Police must therefore
be considered as exempted from
the rules regulating the travel-
ling allowances of subordinates
in general.

J3P
T

To the Inspector General of Police
" Civil Paymaster } desd. 21/5
" Accounts General } 3
10

1864183

Fin Post 25.24
10.3.62
Jusl - No 52 - 53
4.7.62
Rev 2100 - 242
20.11.62
296.277
21.2.63

dated 18 May 1864

Proceedings of Court

Judicial 1864, 1865
1865

Conrad

1864-1865
1865-1866
1866-1867