

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 470	Press ~~Mis.~~
- 56-57 -	
Dated 5/4 - 1918	1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
5th April 1864.*

No. 56. Read the following letter from G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, Fort Saint George, dated Calicut, 21st March 1864, No. 29.

I have the honor to forward, for the orders of Government, an original letter from the Joint Magistrate and Principal Sudder Ameen of Cochin, requesting information as to whether the adjournment of the Principal Sudder Ameen's

Dated 17th March 1864, No. 65.

Court affects his taking leave as a Joint Magistrate.

From E. CULLIN, Esq., Joint Magistrate of Cochin; to G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar, dated Cochin, 17th March 1864, No. 65.

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness, if no objection exists, to make a reference to Government to ascertain whether the post of Joint Magistrate, held by me in conjunction with the office as Principal Sudder Ameen of Cochin, will prevent my availing myself of the advantages of the approaching adjournment of the Court of Principal Sudder Ameen of Cochin presided over by me.

2. Last year during the adjournment of the Court I, in my capacity as Joint Magistrate, attended the office throughout the whole period, and unless the Government decide otherwise I have the same prospect before me this year.

3. The question I particularly wish to have decided is whether I shall be at liberty, during the adjournment of the Court, to leave Cochin at any time without first obtaining special leave of absence.

4. It may be proper to observe that there are a subordinate Magistrate of the 2nd class, and two Justices of the Peace at Cochin, and that it is not likely that many cases would have to stand over for adjudication to the opening of the Court.

5. I may not, probably, wish to leave Cochin for a single day during the adjournment, but it would be satisfactory to know that I was at liberty to do so.

6. A reference on the subject was made to the High Court by the Civil Court at Calicut, and the High Court ruled that it did not rest with that Court to decide the point.

7. I am very unwilling to give any trouble in this matter, but I think it is very desirable that the question proposed by me should be decided by authority.

No. 57. ORDER THEREON, 5th April 1864, No. 470.

The Governor in Council has no objection to Mr. Cullin's leaving his station during the adjournment of his Court, provided that the Magistrate can make satisfactory arrangements for the discharge of the Magisterial duties devolving on Mr. Cullin during his absence.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Magistrate of Malabar.

Edw. J. Tulloch.

Judicial Department,

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Magistrate
of Malabar

Recd. Enter 28 March 1864. N^o 29

Order thereon 5th April 1864. N^o 470.

The Governor in Council has
no objection to Mr Cullin's
leaving his station during the
adjournment of his Court, pro-
vided that the Magistrate
can make satisfactory arrange-
ments for the discharge of the
Magisterial duties devolving
on Mr Cullin during his
absence.

W
G
G
G

To the Magistrate of Malabar. des^{pt} 8/4

Admiral 1864 Department.

No. 471

PROCEEDINGS of GOVERNMENT.

Dated 25 April 1864.