

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	46	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	72-73A	
Dated	12 - 1 - 1918	5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Dec
46

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
12th January 1864.*

Read the following papers:—
No. 72.

MEMORANDUM.

With reference to the Memorandum from the Chief Secretary to Government, dated the 22nd instant, the undersigned is directed to forward the Budget Estimates, for the year ending 30th April 1865, as far as they are now ready, and a Supplemental Estimate will be submitted immediately on the receipt of the revised Estimate from the Civil Judge of Chicacole.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, MADRAS,
24th December 1863.

(Signed) THOMAS WILKINS,
Deputy Registrar, Appellate Side.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 73.

MEMORANDUM.

The undersigned is directed to forward to Government a Supplemental Budget Estimate for the year ending 30th April 1865, including the charges for the Zillah Court at Chicacole, as promised in his Memorandum, dated the 24th ultimo.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, MADRAS,
7th January 1864.

(Signed) THOMAS WILKINS,
Deputy Registrar, Appellate wide.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 73 A.

ORDER THEREON, 12th January 1864, No. 46.

With the Memoranda above recorded, the Honorable Judges of the High Court have furnished the Budget Estimates for those branches of the general head of Law and Justice, which

High Court	Rupees 4,72,796	10	0	include the High Court and the Courts of the Pro-
Lower Courts	15,86,680	0	0	vinces. The Budget Estimates for the Court
Small Cause Courts in the Provinces..	1,58,071	0	0	of Small Causes at the Presidency, for the Town
Magistrates	1,06,436	0	0	Magistrates' Courts, and for the Jails in the Pro-
	Rupees...	23,23,983	10 0	vinces have been furnished separately. These
				Estimates amount to Rupees 23,23,983-10-0.

2. The Budget Estimates of expenditure for the two branches of the High Court have been prepared separately, that relating to the Original side of the Court by Mr. Ritchie, and that relating to the Appellate side by Mr. Wilkins the Deputy Registrar on the Appellate side of the Court. The first of these Estimates contains the salaries of the Chief Justice and one Puisne Judge; in the second Estimate the salaries of the three remaining Puisne Judges are entered. No reason is assigned for this mode of entry, which is not in accordance with the constitution of the Court. The salary of the Reporter, Rupees 4800 per annum, has been omitted from both Estimates. In the General Budget Estimate of receipts, no provision is made for the fees payable on the Original side of the Court, which are now carried to the credit of Government.

3. The Budget Estimate of expenditure for the High Court has been re-cast in the Government Office, and will be sent to the Accountant General in its revised form, a copy of which will also be furnished to the Honorable the Judges with a request that in preparing future Budgets this form may be observed. The Governor in Council would also suggest that this duty should in future be entrusted to a single Officer who should be held responsible for the correctness of the Estimate.

4. The Governor in Council requests that the Honorable the Judges will order the immediate preparation of an Estimate of fees, &c., receivable on the Original side of the Court, and direct that it be forwarded direct to the Accountant General not later than the 14th instant.

5. In the Budget for the Chingleput Zillah, under the head "Other items," is entered a sum of Rupees 3200. The actual expenditure under this head in 1862-63 having been Rupees 16, the explanation furnished by the Civil and Session Judge, to the effect that the increase of charge is caused by provision having been made for Woollen cloth for tables and Peons' belts, is altogether insufficient. The Civil and Session Judge will now submit a full explanation of this charge direct to the Accountant General, furnishing a copy of the same to Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
12th January 1864.

6. Chittoor. The estimated cost of batta to prosecutors and witnesses is Rupees 1000; the actual charge in 1862-63 having been Rupees 271, the average expenditure for the last three years ought to have been given, or if a higher rate was requisite, explanation should have been furnished. This will now be done by the Civil Judge direct to the Accountant General.

Civil and Session Judge of Calicut.
Do. of Cuddapah.
Do. of Coimbatore.
Do. of Negapatam.
Do. of Ootacamund.
Do. of Salem.
Do. of Trichinopoly.
Do. of Tinnevely.
Do. of Tellicherry.

Agent to Governor, Vizagapatam.

8. With these remarks the Budget Estimates are forwarded to the Deputy Auditor and Accountant General.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Memo and a from
the Dy Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature.

Here enter 24th Decr/63 4th Jan'y/64

Order thereon 12th January 1864 No 46.

With the Memoranda
above recorded, the Honorable
the Judges of the High Court
have furnished the Budget
Estimates for those branches
of the General head of Law
and Justice which include
the High Court and the Courts
of the Provinces. The Budget
Estimates for the Court of
Small Causes at the Pre-
sidency, for the Town Magistrates
Courts, and for the Courts in the
Provinces have been furnished
separately.

These Estimates amount to
Rs 23,23,983-10-00
including Rs 23,23,983-10-00
for the High Court and the
Courts of the Provinces.

High Court	Rs 472,796-10-00
Lower Courts	15,86,680-00
Small Cause Courts in the Provinces	1,58,071-00
Magistrates	1,06,436-00
	<u>23,23,983-10-00</u>

Ed. J. Tulloch.

2. The Budget Estimates of Expenditure for the two branches of the High Court have been prepared separately, that relating to the original side of the Court by Mr. Ritchie, and that relating to the Appellate side by Mr. Wilkins the Deputy Registrar on the Appellate side of the Court. The first of these Estimates contains the salaries of the Chief Justice and one Justice Judge. No provision is assigned for this mode of entry which is not in accordance with the constitution of the Court. The salary of the Reporter Rs 4,800 per annum, has been omitted from both Estimates. In the General Budget Estimate of receipts, no provision is made for the fees payable on the original side of the Court, which are now carried to the credit of Government.

In the General Estimate the salaries of the three remaining Justice Judges are entered.

3. The Budget Estimate of Expenditure for the High Court, has been recast in the Government Office, and will be sent to the Accountant General in its revised form, a copy of which will also be furnished to the Honorable the Judges with a request that in preparing future Budgets this form may be observed. The Governor in Council would also suggest that this duty should in future be entrusted to a single Officer who should be held responsible for the correctness of the Estimate.

4. The Governor in Council requests that the Honorable the Judges will order the immediate preparation of an Estimate of fees receivable on the original side of the Court, and direct that it be forwarded direct

to the Accountant General,
not later than the 14th to
Instant.

6. In the Budget for
the Principal Zillah
under the head "other items",
is entered a sum of Rs 3200.
The actual expenditure under
this head in 1862/63 having
been Rs 16, the explanation
furnished by the Civil
and Session Judge to the
effect that the increase of
charge is caused by pro-
-vision having been made
for woollen cloth for tables
and Pans' belts, is altogether
insufficient. The Civil
and Session Judge will now
submit a full explanation
of this charge direct to the
Accountant General, fur-
-nishing a copy of the same
to Government.

7. Chittoor. The estimated
cost of batta to prosecutors
and witnesses is Rs 1000. the

actual

Civil & Session Judge of Calicut	
— do —	of Cuddapah
— do —	of Combaratore
— do —	of Negapatam
— do —	of Ootacamund
— do —	of Salem
— do —	of Thiruvananthapuram
— do —	of Thiruvallur
— do —	of Tellicherry
Agent to Government	Negapatam

actual charge in 1862/63,
having been Rs 271, the
average expenditure for the
last 3 years ought to have
been given, or if a higher
rate was requisite, explana-
-tion should have been
furnished. This will now
be done by the Civil Judge
direct to the Accountant
General.

8. A similar remark
applies to the estimates for
Saddarward and Contingencies
and for batta to prosecutors
and witnesses in the Courts
entered in the margin. The
necessary explanation will
be called for by Telegraph
wherever the Court is at or
near a telegraph station.

9. With these remarks the
Budget Estimates are forwarded
to the Dy. Audr. and Acct. General.

To the Dy. Audr. & Accountant General

" The Honble the Judge of the
High Court.

" Civil & Session Judge of Chittoor

desp'd 12/1/64

B-187/64

Handwritten text, possibly a name or title, on a small rectangular piece of paper.

186 . Department.
No. 46
PROCEEDINGS of GOVERNMENT
Dated
Oct 4/78.