

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	395	Press
		~~Mis~~
224-225		
Dated	18-3-1918	1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

4/5

14

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th March 1864.*

No. 224. Read the following letter from J. SILVER, Esq., Magistrate of Tinnevely; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Trichendoor, 21st January 1861, No. 34.

I have the honor to forward, for the consideration of Government, copy of a letter from the British Resident of Travancore, dated the 15th ultimo, No. 1893, regarding the execution in British territory of the warrants issued by the Travancore authorities for the apprehension of persons charged with offences committed in that State, and I request that the Government will be pleased to favor me with their instructions as to whether the warrants of the Travancore authorities can be backed and executed by the Sub-Magistrates of this District, without the intervention of the District Magistrate, under Section 84 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, as requested by the Resident.

From W. FISHER, Esq., Resident in Travancore and Cochin; to J. SILVER, Esq., Magistrate of Tinnevely, dated 15th December 1863, No. 1893.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 704 of the 5th instant, and to thank you for the prompt assistance rendered. At the same time I desire to reply to your letter No. 564 of the 10th September last, which through oversight has been left unanswered.

2. When I last addressed you I was under the impression that a warrant issued by a Travancore local Magistrate for the apprehension of a person charged with a criminal offence might be forwarded by post to the Magistrate within whose jurisdiction the individual was supposed to be, and that the warrant would be endorsed by that Magistrate, and the party against whom the warrant issued would, if apprehended, be forwarded by the Magistrate who endorsed the warrant to the officer who issued it.

3. After full consideration of my request, you are of opinion that the warrants of the Travancore authorities should not be sent direct to any Magistrate subordinate to you, but should be sent to you (the Magistrate of the District), through my office.

4. It certainly appears from Section 86, Code of Criminal Procedure, that a Magistrate issuing a warrant for the arrest of a person out of his jurisdiction, should direct the warrant to the Magistrate of the District in which such person is supposed to be. It also appears from the same Section that if the person named in the warrant is apprehended, he shall be carried before the Magistrate who endorsed the warrant, and shall be dealt with by such Magistrate as provided in Section 84.

5. This latter Section, however, provides that "when any person against whom a warrant is issued by a Magistrate shall escape, go into, or be in any place out of the jurisdiction of the Magistrate issuing such warrant, the warrant may be executed in such place; and if the person against whom the warrant is issued is arrested in such place, the Police officer or other person executing the warrant shall carry him before the Magistrate of the District, or some other Magistrate within whose jurisdiction the arrest was made.

6. The Magistrate within whose jurisdiction the arrest is made is authorized also by the above Section, under certain circumstances, to forward the person apprehended to the Magistrate who issued the warrant.

7. It follows then that one Magistrate can back the warrant of another Magistrate, and on its execution bail the prisoner, or forward him to the Magistrate who issued the warrant, without the intervention of the Magistrate of the District, and it is this power that I seek for, when the parties are respectively Magistrates in British territory, and Travancore.

8. I am of course aware that the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure do not necessarily affect foreign territory, but it is very desirable to introduce in Travancore all improvements in Criminal Procedure adopted in British territory, and this is being done; but if your reading of Section 86 is the rule of procedure without reference to the provisions of Section 84, it will prove so slow and cumbersome that it will seldom if ever be resorted to.

The course to be taken is

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th March 1864.

9. You do not allow the warrant of the Magistrate to be sent to you by post direct as provided for in the Section you quote, but a Travancore Magistrate's warrant must be transmitted through the Dewan Peshcar of the Division to the Dewan, and thence to me, and from my office to yours.

10. Having endorsed the warrant and caused the apprehension of the party named therein, he or she must be sent to your Outcherry or Camp wherever you may be, and then must either give bail to appear or be sent to me to be forwarded to the Dewan, and eventually to the Magistrate who issued the warrant.

11. If it is allowable to adopt the method I have proposed for your consideration, and a Magistrate of Travancore and a Magistrate of Tinnevely can act with respect to each other, as two Magistrates in Tinnevely, or one in Tinnevely and another in Madura would, I presume, do under Section 84, all circumlocution would be avoided, and criminal justice would be much facilitated.

12. As no British subject committing an offence in foreign territory could be tried for that offence, if apprehended in British territory by any but British authorities, the assistance I seek only relates to Travancore subjects flying from justice, and whose apprehension must greatly depend on the facilities given by the British authorities.

13. Under these circumstances, I trust that the matter may not be at once decided by reference to Section 86 alone, and without reference to other Sections which seem to admit of more rapid and efficient co-operation of authorities equally interested in suppressing crime.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. SILVER,
Magistrate.

No. 225.

ORDER THEREON, 18th March 1864, No. 395.

The foregoing letters have reference to the course to be taken regarding the execution of warrants issued by the Travancore authorities for the apprehension of offenders escaping into the adjoining Districts in British territory.

2. The Governor in Council observes that foreigners charged with offences committed in foreign territory can only be dealt with under Act VII of 1854. The course to be taken is laid down in this Act, which provides that in ordinary cases a requisition for the extradition of the accused shall be made to the local Government, whose order is necessary before the accused can be arrested. But under Section 19 of the Act, when the immediate apprehension of the accused is, in the judgment of a Magistrate, necessary for the ends of justice, it is open to him to apprehend and proceed against the accused person; but he cannot deliver up the accused to the authorities of a foreign State on whose requisition the arrest has been made, without an order of Government.

3. It may be a question whether the arrest can be made by a subordinate Magistrate not invested with the full powers of a Magistrate under the Code of Criminal Procedure. The Governor in Council is of opinion that it can; but before furnishing the Magistrates of Tinnevely and Madura with instructions on this point, he resolves to refer it for the opinion of the Advocate General.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Government Solicitor.

Edw. J. Tulloch.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the
Magistrates of Tinnevely.

(Here enter 21 January 1864 No. 34)

Order thereon 18th March 1864 No. 395.

The foregoing letters have reference to the course to be taken regarding the execution of warrants issued by the Travancore authorities for the apprehension of offenders escaping into the adjoining Districts in British territory.

2. The Governor in Council observes that foreigners charged with offences committed in foreign territory can only be dealt with under Act VII of 1854. The course to be taken is

is clearly laid down in
this Act, which provides
that in ordinary cases a
request for the extradition
of the accused shall be
made to the local Govern-
ment, whose order is
necessary before the accused
can be arrested. But
under section XIX of the
Act, when the im-
mediate apprehension of
the accused is, in the judg-
ment of a Magistrate,
necessary for the ends of
justice, it is open to him
to apprehend and proceed
against the accused person,
but he cannot deliver up
the accused to the authorities
of a foreign State on whose
request the arrest has
been made, without an
Order

34
Order of Government.

3. It may be a question
whether the arrest can be
made on the warrant of a
Subordinate Magistrate not
invested with the full powers
of a Magistrate under the
Code of Criminal Procedure.
The Governor in Council
is of opinion that it can,
but before furnishing
the Magistrates of Tinne-
vely and Madurai with
instructions on this point,
he resolves to refer it for
the opinion of the
Advocate General.

W

To the Secy. to the Govt. 19/3

Medical Department.

No. 395.

PROCEEDINGS of GOVERNMENT.

Dated 18th March 1864.

264-518-595-

Regarding the execution of
No. 10000 found by the Bureau
submitted for the Department
of Ordnance as being with the
original Quilted in British
the side of -

224-225