M.R. O. 1—32,000—16-10-23.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1050 Press ~~Mis~~

154-155

Dated 13. 7. ~~19~~ 1864

3/3+2

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department, 13th July 1864.

No. 154. Read the following letter from E. C. BAYLEY, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Simla, 11th June 1864, No. 646.

Home Department.

Police.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 6th ultimo, No. 652, and in reply to state that the Governor General in Council regrets he is obliged to decline compliance with the application submitted therewith, for a per. lowance of 500 Rupees a month to Mr. Robinson, the Inspector General of Police in the Madras Presidency.

2. The Governor General in Council desires me to explain that the circum..ces laid before the Government of India in the case of Mr. Wauchope, Commissioner of Police in Calcutta, which case is adverted to in the 3rd paragraph of your letter, were quite different from those of Mr. Robinson's case.

3. The Commissioner of the Calcutta Police held, besides his Police appointment, the office of Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners, for which office he had long received a separate remuneration of 333 Rupees a month; and in his capacity of Commissioner of Police, he had a Deputy under him on a salary of 800 Rupees a month.

4. In carrying out the recent changes in Calcutta, it was arranged that the Commissioner of Police should cease to have any connection with the Municipality of Calcutta (to which is entrusted the whole conservancy of the city), and that as he would then be required to give his whole time to the Police, the office of Deputy Commissioner should be abolished.

5. It was therefore in compensation for the actual loss of emolument incurred from his ceasing to be a Municipal Commissioner, and with regard to the saving effected by the abolition of his Deputy Police Officer, that the Government consented to the request of the Lieutenant Governor of Bengal for an increase of Mr. Wauchope's salary of 500 Rupees a month.

6. It is true that other considerations, similar in their nature to those now urged by the Madras Government, were advanced in Mr. Wauchope's case; but those conside..y themselves would certainly not have obtained the sanction of the Government of India to the increase of salary recommended by the Lieutenant Governor.

No. 155. ORDER THEREON, 13th July 1864, No. 1050.

Communicated to Mr. Robinson with copy of the letter to the Government of India, dated 6th May last, No. 652.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector General of Police, with No. 652.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Mr. Pt. as he would then be required to
give his whole time to the Police, if [illegible] Deputy
Commiss abolished.
[illegible] therefore compensation
for the act loss of emolument incurred from his
ceasing to be [illegible] Commission
rega [illegible] on the abolition his
Deputy,
to the request of the Lieut. Governor of Bengal
an increas [illegible] Mr. Wauchope's Salary of 500 Rs a month.
[illegible] that other considerations similar
in their nature to those now by the Madras
Government, were advanced in Mr. Wauchope's case,
[illegible] considerations by the [illegible] would certainly
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the Govt. of India
to the increase of Salary so com [illegible] by the Lieutenant
Governor.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

C. H. Dacres
to the Govt. of India

13th July 1864 No. 1050.

Communicate Robin.

[illegible] letter
[illegible] Govt. [illegible] India
[illegible] 1st May last
No 652.

the [illegible] 15/7

No 646

From

E. C. Bayley Esq

Secretary to the Govt. of India

To

A. J. Arbuthnot Esq

Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal

Dated Simla the 11th June 1856

Name Depart. Police

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the [illegible] and in reply to state that the Governor General in Council regrets he is obliged to decline complying with the application submitted to him with for a personal allowance of 500 Rs. a month to Mr. Robinson, Superintendent of Police in the Madras Presidency.

2 The Governor General in Council desires me to explain that the circumstances laid before the Govt. of India in the case of Mr. Hauchope Commissioner of Police in Calcutta, which case is adverted to in the 3d para of your letter, were quite different from those of Mr. Robinson's case.

3 The Commissioner of the Calcutta Police held, besides his Police appointment, the office of Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners, for which he had long received a separate remuneration of 333 Rs. a month. And in his capacity of Commissioner of Police, he had a Deputy under him on a salary of 800 Rs. a month.

4 In carrying out the recent changes in Calcutta, it was arranged that the Commissioner of Police should cease to have any connection with the Municipality of Calcutta (to which is entrusted the whole conservancy of