M.R.O. 1—38,000—16-10-23.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 832 Press ~~Mis.~~

257 – 258

Dated 29. 5. 1863 ~~19~~ .

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
29th May 1863.

Read the following Petition :—

No. 257. To the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of VEERASAWMY NAIDOO, late Kotwal at Dindigul of the Zillah of Madura, now residing at Choolay, No. 40, Teroovangada Moodelliar Street.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

There was a publication made that any one who can find the escaped Bangole Felon Tirumala Reddy will be handsomely rewarded, and in accordance to which the petitioner on the 10th day of January 1862, seized and delivered into the hands of the Police this famous prisoner *once* escaped from the custody beyond the sea, being sentenced for his having plundered and murdered in the house of one Chellapah Chetty in the village of one Valasamoothrum of the Palanuyoor Talook; and *secondly*, escaped from the hands of the Police Peons while being taken to the Police for inquiries, for which the poor petitioner is entitled to a handsome reward; such being the case he was promised by the Magistrate but a very small amount of Rupees 75, upon which the petitioner applied for a proper amount so as to save his troubles, but the application was rejected by the Magistrate. The Convict promised the petitioner 200 Rupees in case of his leaving him go; but the petitioner in faithfulness to the Government gave the Convict in charge of the Magistrate, and so the petitioner humbly begs the Government on a due consideration of the great troubles the petitioner took, daring even to his life, will be pleased to issue an order to a proper amount enabling the petitioner to draw here at Madras.

Copies of testimonials are herein enclosed for the kind perusal of the Government.

For this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray for the prosperity of the Government.

(Signed) க. வீராசாமிநாய்க்கன்.

Madras,
31*st March* 1863.

No. 258. Order thereon, 29th May 1863, No. 832.

Petitioner, late Police Kotwal of Dindigul, produces an unauthenticated copy of a certificate from the Taluq Magistrate of that place, to the effect that he re-captured Tirumala Reddy (one of the escaped Convicts referred to in Government Order, 17th March 1859, No. 360), and is thereby entitled to the reward of Rupees 75 *offered* for that man's re-apprehension He states that that sum is an inadequate recompense for the trouble and danger, to which he has been exposed, and prays for a more liberal donation.

2. Petitioner will be informed that the Government see no reason to increase the reward, which was specifically offered for the service which he has performed, and appears to have been sufficient to induce him to undertake it. It is presumed that, if the local authorities had considered him entitled to a higher amount, they would have submitted a recommendation to that effect to Government.

3. The Government desire to be informed under what authority a reward of 75 Rupees was offered for the re-capture of Tirumala Reddy. In their Order, No. 1,380, 23rd August 1862, they empowered Magistrates of Districts to offer any sum not exceeding 100 Rupees, but that was only to informers and others aiding in the discovery or seizure of perpetrators of offences. The authority, granted in the same Proceedings to the Inspector General of Jails in regard to *escaped prisoners*, was limited to Rupees 50, the sum fixed by the late Fouj[illegible]ri Adalut* for the recapture of Convicts returned from transportation. This was also the amount awarded to the persons who arrested Nagooran, the man who effected his escape from Penang at the same time as Tirumala Reddy. The Magistrate will be so good as to explain this point.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

* C. O., 8th March 1839.

G. O. No. 1,323, 30th September 1859.

Exd. T. McMootry.

The bearer Veerasawmy Naick was the first and only Man who gave me information of an Escaped Felon, named Seemed Reddi, who had been Sentenced Up of a Torchlight Robbery &c. at Bala Samodrum Poly Talook Veerasawmy Naick saw the Convict near to Shanar putty wearing the Dark of a Sanyar, he arrested him and gave him over to Nantamagar went to Police and gave the Report the Prisoner was brought to Dindigul and Com.d to Court by the Sub. Mag. was proved to be the real Convict and accordingly dealt with by the Court

Veerasawmy Naick having requested me to give him a note of what I knows.

know of him I gave him this
I do not remember seeing the
man prior to his making the
Report to me about the Convict
Teroomal Reddie.

21/3/62 (Sd) H. J. Jackson Insp.t
M. Police
(A. True Copy)

This is to Certify that the bearer
Veerasawmy Naick has reap-
=hended Teroomalay Reddy
who escaped from Custody
beyond the Sea, And for whose
Apprehension a reward of 75-
Rupees has been offered

Dindigul
22 March. 1862. (Sd) Ramasawmy Aer
Talook Asst. of
Dindigul

N.B.

This was given at his
request.

(A. True Copy)

Jud. Dn. N° 915 of 1863.

4.

Judl
~~Political~~ Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Petition from Veerasamy Naidoo, late Kotwal of Dindigul in the District of Madura, residing at Choolay Madras.

(Here enter 31st March 1863 N° 915.)

Order thereon 29th January 1863 - N° 832.

Petitioner, late Police Kotwal of Dindigul, produces an unauthenticated copy of a certificate from the Taluq Magistrate of that place, to the effect that he recaptured Tirumala Reddy (one of the 8 escaped convicts referred to in Government Order 17th March 1859, N° 360) and is thereby entitled to the reward of Rupees 75 offered for that man's reapprehension. He states that that sum is an inadequate recompense for the trouble and danger, to which he has been exposed, and prays for a more liberal-

liberal donation.

2. Petitioner will be informed that the Government see no reason to increase the reward, which was specifically offered for the service which he has performed, and appears to have been sufficient to induce him to undertake it. It is presumed that, if the local authorities had considered him entitled to a higher amount, they would have submitted a recommendation to that effect to Government

3 The Government desire to be informed under what authority a reward of 75 Rupees was offered for the recapture of Tirumala Reddy. In their order — No. 1380, 23rd August 1862 they empowered Magistrates of Districts to offer any sum not exceeding 100 Rupees but that was only to informers and others aiding in the discovery or seizure of perpetrators of offences.—

The

C.O. 8th March 1839

C.O. Jc 1323, 30 Sept 1859.

6

The authority granted in the same proceedings to the Inspector General of Jails in regard to escaped prisoners, was limited to Rupees 50, the sum fixed by the late Tanjdaur Adalat for the recapture of convicts returned from transportation. This was also the amount awarded to the persons who arrested Raporan, the man who effected his escape from ~~Singapore~~ Penang at the same time as Tirumala Reddy. The Magistrate will be so good as to explain this point.—

MK

To Magistrate of Madura
Paras 1 & 2 to Petitioner

Rd 8 Jan
Replied 11 Jan

Judl.

186 3. **Department.**

No. 832

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,

Dated 29 May 1863

Ft. 1528.

Informing the late Resident at Dindigul that the reward offered for the reapprehension of the escaped Convict Tamada reddy cannot be increased.

Directing the Magte of Madura to explain the circumstances under which so high a reward as Rs. 75 was offered for the re-capture of that individual.

257 & 258

7876] Madras—Printed at the Government Press.