M.R.O. 1- -16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 588 Press ~~Mis.~~

144-145

Dated 18.4.1863 ~~19~~ .

5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th April 1863.

No. 144. Read the following letter from H. A. MANGLES, Esq., Officiating Civil Paymaster; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 26th March 1863, No. 393.

In reply to Government Order in the Judicial Department, No 421, dated 18th instant, I have the honor to state that the Jailor on 10½ Rupees in the Palghaut Jail, exhibited in the column of present scale in the monthly Statement of Establishments sanctioned during the month of November last, was taken from the tabular statement sanctioned by the Government of India on the 16th February 1861, No. 1,779.

2. I find on referring to the revised scale of Jail establishments sanctioned in the Orders of that Government, dated 9th June 1862, No. 4,051, that the Palghaut Jail establishment is not mentioned either amongst the existing or proposed establishments. But it was not understood from the manner in which that establishment was alluded to in the Order of Government, dated24th November 1862, No. 1,908, that it had been abolished.

No. 145. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 18th April 1863, No. 588.

In reply to paragraph 2 of your letter of the 9th February last, No. 425G, requesting explanation as to the entry of a charge of Rupees 10½ a month on account of the salary of a Jailor in the Return of charges for Establishments, &c., for November last, as the "previous scale" of the Jail Establishment at Palghaut, while it did not appear that the services of a Jailor were required at that station, I am directed to state that the Return in question was prepared in the Audit Office, and that it has been ascertained by reference to the Civil Paymaster that the entry of the "previous scale" in the Return in question was a mistake—no such Officer or any establishment having been entertained since the abolition of the Jail early in 1861.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT
Chief Secretary.

Pros: 18th March/63 Dest: 421.
" 12th March/63 " 104-105.
" 15th July/62 " 125-126.
" 21st Nov " 163 to 165.

dist. 221

1863 Dept.

From Pros: 18 April/63

The Offg Civil Paymaster

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Dated 26th March 1863

Rec'd 28th " 1863.

Nos. 393-

Nos. 671

With reference to G. O. dated 18th Inst. of former remarks regarding the Jails of the Poulghaut Jail -

144 - 145

Simla.

No. 588.

Secretary to the Govt of India.

Fort.

Sir,

In reply to para 2 of your letter of the 9th Feb. last. No. 425 G., requesting explanation as to the ~~abolition~~ fixing of the ~~of a Jailor~~ Rs. 10½ a month in of Charges ~~Establishment~~ Establishments, &c., for Nov. last, as the "previous scale" of the said establishment (?) ghaut, while it did not appear that the services of a Jailor were required at that station, I am directed to state that the Return in question was prepared in the Audit Office, that it has been ascertained by reference to the Local Paymaster that the fixing of the "previous scale" was a mistake ~~in~~ such officer, or any establishment having been entertained since the abolition of the Jail early in 1861.

186. *Department.*

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,