M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1674 Press ~~His~~

145-146

Dated 21—Co—~~19~~ 1883

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department, 21st October 1863.

No. 145. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department, dated 5th October 1863, No. 1,822.

Communicating paragraph 7 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, requesting that early orders may be issued for erecting the Talook Cutcherry required at Kalkada in the Cuddapah District, with the remark that the plan and estimate for the same has already received the approval of Government.

(Vide Proceedings Revenue Department, dated 5th October 1863, Nos. 55 and 56.)

No. 146. ORDER THEREON, 21st October 1863, No. 1,674.

Referred to the Honorable the Judges of the High Court and to the Inspector General of Police, who will be requested respectively to report what changes should be made in the limits of the District Moonsiffs and of the Police ranges in consequence of the alterations referred to in the last paragraph of the foregoing Order.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th October 1863.

No. 55. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1863, No. 5,886:—

Read again letters from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 16th May and 30th June 1863, Nos. 2,934 and 3,953.

Read also the following letters.

From the same Officer, dated 17th July 1863, No. 113.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 30th June 1863, No. 3,953, I have the honor to enclose copy of a Memorandum from Mr. Molony with regard to the change of villages from the Kadiri Talook to Madanapalli Talook, by which you will observe if the original change proposed by Mr. Wedderburn is alone carried out, the Kadiri Talook will be too large.

2. The great object however is to have the Papagny river the boundary, which, owing to its not being bridged, renders the present Kasbah of Kadiri inaccessible some times, for days at a time, which is very objectionable both in Revenue and Police matters.

3. The change as proposed doubtless makes the distance between some villages and their Kasbahs considerably greater, but I consider this inconvenience to be more than counterbalanced by their being a well defined boundary, and also the Kasbah being at all times accessible.

4. The transfer of the villages from Royachoti to Kadiri will also make the boundary of the Royachoti Talook better defined, and the difference of the distance from the Kasbah is very slight.

5. With reference to paragraph 2 calling on me to report why the Kasbah station has not been changed from Voilpad to Kalkada, I have the honor to inform you that no sanction has yet been obtained to build a Cutcherry at the latter place, and there is no suitable building there for the purpose.

Enclosure No. 1.

Official Memorandum, No. 128.

1. In the absence of any specific information being immediately available respecting the number of times and the duration on each occasion of the river Papagny having been impassible in any year, the Sub-Collector is only able to supply from his own recollection and knowledge of the locality the information required in paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th June 1863.

2. The river Papagny was impassible at least four times in the course of last year, and as there was no November rain, the communication with Kadiri may be considered as having been unusually open in that year. The freshes last at least four or five days on each occasion, and the Sub-Collector is informed by the Tahsildar of Madanapalli that in seasons when any considerable amount of rain falls in the Mysore country, the duration of the freshes is much longer. The seasons have been so dry ever since the Sub-Collector has been acquainted with this part of the country, that no very long break has occurred within his recollection. Should the contemplated bridge be erected over the river, this objection will of course be removed. There is however another which the Sub-Collector begs respectfully to submit for consideration. The Talook of Kadiri will be so much increased in area by the transfer of the villages frm Madanapalli that there is some fear of its becoming too much for one set of officials. It was over 1,000 square miles in extent before that transfer was contemplated, and the increase will make it at least 1,200, as some of the villages to be transferred are very large. Even supposing the Sub-Collector's former proposal to transfer the villages south of the Papagny to Madanapalli were carried out, the area of the Kadiri Talook with its proposed addition would still be larger than it is at present.

9th July 1863. (Signed) F. B. MOLONY, *Sub-Collector.*

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. G. SMITH, *Acting Collector.*

Board would be inconvenient, and they agree with the Collector that Royachoty is fully capable of bearing the reduction. The transfer of all these twelve villages to Kalkada would have the effect, not only of bringing them nearer to their Kasbah, but of reducing Royachoty at present somewhat unwieldy in size, and of slightly increasing Kalkada, which will have suffered a diminution by the transfer of its seven south-western villages to Madanapalli. For the reasons above stated, the Board are of opinion that the proposed transfers noticed in this paragraph would be advantageous, and they accordingly recommend them for the sanction of Government.

3. In paragraphs 3 and 4 of his report already adverted to, Mr. Molony also proposed the transfer of nine villages from Kadiri to Madanapalli, and of four villages from Royachoty to Kadiri. The great object in this change appear to be to rectify the boundary of the Kadiri Talook, by making the Papagny river its eastern limit; but as this would at the same time remove the villages in question to a much greater distance from their Kasbahs than is the case at present, the Board inquired of the Acting Collector whether the obstruction presented by the Papagny river was of such consequence as to make it desirable to attach these villages to a new Kasbah, attended as the change would be with the great disadvantage above referred to.

Proposed in paragraph 3 of Mr. Molony's report.	Names of Villages.	Distace from Present Kasbah Kadiri.	Distace from Proposed Kasbah Madanapalli.
		Miles.	Miles.
	Momdlawaripalli	18	30
	Tavalum	18	28
	Coolapalli Shrotriem	19	29
	Bontalapalli	21½	25
	Coondelipadoogoo	22	28
	Chikatamanapalli	20	25
	Goonthoor	19½	29
	Gurrambyle	21½	27½
	Tolaru	21	25
Proposed in para. 4 of Mr. Molony's report.		Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Proposed Kasbah Kadiri
	Yellagul	19½	20
	Cotnami Yerragoodi	23½	19
	Cullagrapalli	[illegible]	18½
	Toomoorlah	18	21

4. In reply to this inquiry, Mr. Smith sent up Mr. Molony's letter of the 9th July last, in which he admits the evil pointed out by the Board; but both he and the Acting Collector hold to the opinion that it will be counter-balanced by the advantage of a river boundary, and by ready access at all times to the Kasbah, whereas at present the villages are sometimes cut off for many days together from Kadiri Kasbah. Mr. Molony urges that the change already sanctioned, viz., the transferring of the thirteen north-western villages of Madanapalli to Kadiri, would be incomplete, should the nine south-eastern villages of Kadiri not be given in exchange to Madanapalli; while the addition of the above thirteen villages to Kadiri, without the corresponding transfer of its nine villages to Madanapalli, would make the former Talook too much for one set of officials.

5. In the opinion of the Board, however, the reason given of "a well defined boundary" is not sufficient ground for placing these villages at so much greater a distance from their Kasbah, than they are at present, and though the intervening river may cause occasional inconvenience, the Board do not think this is sufficient reason for making the change, especially when their is the prospect of a bridge being shortly constructed over the Papagny, a measure which has been strongly pressed in the Proceedings of the Board dated 15th June 1863, No. 3,512, reviewing the Collector's report of the progress of Public Works in the Cuddapah District. The Board cannot therefore recommend the transfer of the nine villages referred to in paragraph 3 of these Proceedings from Kadiri to Madanapalli.

6. The same objection to the greater distance does not hold with respect to the four villages which it is proposed to transfer from Royachoty to Kadiri; and but for the fear of making Kadiri too large by the addition of these new villages, which the Sub-Collector apprehends, the Board would be disposed to support his recommendation. There is no actual necessity for the change however; and it will be seen by a reference to the map of the Cuddapah District, that if it is attempted to make the Papagny river a Talook boundary, it will become necessary to make still further changes in the Royachoty, Pulivendla and Cuddapah Talooks. The Board would therefore let matters stand as at present, as regards the proposal last referred to.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5th October 1863.

7. With reference to the last paragraph of the Acting Collector's letter of the 17th July, the Board request that early orders may be issued for erecting the Talook Cutcherry required at Kalkada.

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 5th October 1863, No. 1,822.

1. These Proceedings relate to proposals made by the local Officers of the Cuddapah District for the transfers of certain villages, with the view of properly defining the boundaries of the Madanapalli, Kadiri, Kalkada and Royachoty Talooks, and of bringing the villages in question nearer to the Cusbah towns.

2. Of the proposed changes, the Board of Revenue recommend for sanction only the transfer of the seven villages from Kalkada to Madanapalli and of the twelve villages from Royachoty to Kalkada, referred to in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings. For the reasons stated by the Board, the Governor in Council resolves to adopt their recommendation, and grants authority for the transfers in question being carried into effect.

3. As regards the other changes proposed, viz., the transfer of nine villages from Kadiri to Madanapalli and of four villages from Royachoty to Kadiri, the Board have satisfactorily shown (paragraphs 3 to 5) that the former would not be advantageous, and (paragraph 6) that the latter is not called for.

4. The Board's remark in paragraph 5 as to the advisability of the early construction of a bridge over the Papagny river will be considered in the Public Works Department.

5. Referring to paragraph 7, it is observed that the plan and estimate for a Talook Cutcherry at Kalkada has received the approval of Government in Proceedings 31st August 1863, No. 1,593.

6. The Board will submit for publication in the "Fort Saint George Gazette" a Notification of the transfers sanctioned in this Order and in that dated 16th April 1863, No. 672. The date from which the changes are to be made will of course be inserted in the Notification.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Public Works Department.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated 21st August 1863, No. 123.

By the enclosed copy of a letter* from Mr. Molony you will observe that owing to certain villages not having been marked on the Map, they escaped his notice when recommending certain changes of other villages as represented in my letter as per margin, and as in my opinion the change, as proposed, will be highly beneficial to the interests of the people, I have the honor to request that the arrangement may be taken into consideration at the same time as those previously proposed. Should the Board think that the Royachoty Talook would become too small (although I do not think so myself) the villages, as noticed by Mr. Molony, of Cheenepalli and Jillalamandah previously proposed† to be transferred to Kalkada, might remain as before.

* Dated 11th August 1863, No. 153.

Dated 25th April 1863, No. 61.

† *Vide* paragraph 11 of Mr. Molony's letter, dated 22nd April 1863, No. 70.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From F. B. MOLONY, Esq., Sub-Collector, to H. G. SMITH, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Kalkada, 11th August 1863, No. 153.

SIR,

1. With reference to the subject of the proposed transfer of certain villages of the Royachoty Talook to the Kalkada Talook on the change of Kasbah from Voilpaud to Kalkada, I have the honor to inform you that having lately been over the country in this neighbourhood, I find there are some villages which, except one, are not marked on the map, and which I imagined to be further to the north than they actually do, which it would be advisable to include in the measure if it is sanctioned. They all belong at present to the Royachoty Talook, and the best road from the first three would be actually through the village of Kalkada. Their names and distances are—

Villages.	From Royachoty.	From Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Surva Agraharum Muttumpalli ..	20	5
Rajpalli	19	4
Hissapalli	19½	4½
Mullagootapalli	16	4
Toovapalli	15	2
Shrotriem Potawaripalli	18	3

Their revenue is,

	Beriz.		
	Rs.	A.	P.
Surva Agraharum Muttumpalli ...	13	0	0
Rajpalli	1,372	0	0
Hissapalli	316	0	0
Mullagootapalli	810	0	0
Toovapalli	362	0	0
Shrotriem Potawaripalli	72	0	0
Total...	2,975	0	0

2. Should it be thought that the Talook of Royachoty will be too much reduced by the transfer of so many villages, the villages of Cheenepalli and of Jillalamandah might be left to it. I find in my former letter that I omitted to give the distances of Marallah from the respective Kasbahs. They are from Royachoty 20 miles, from Kalkada 9 miles.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) H. G. SMITH, *Acting Collector.*

1. On the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, Government, in their Proceedings of the 16th April 1863, No. 672, sanctioned Mr. Wedderburn's proposal to transfer from the Madanapalli to the Kadiri Talook the thirteen outlying villages noted in the margin, the effect of the proposal being to bring the villages nearer to their Kasbah, and to make the Kadiri Talook more compact by substituting a natural boundary, viz., the Papagny river, for an artificial one. In continuation of this arrangement, Mr. Smith, the Acting Collector, sent up with his letter of the 25th April, No. 61, a report from Mr. Molony, his Sub-Collector, proposing the transfer of other villages in order still better to define the boundaries of the Madanapalli, Kadiri, Kalkada and Royachoti Talooks, and to bring the villages in question nearer to the Kasbah towns. These proposals are made in paragraphs, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of Mr. Molony's Report; and the villages in question and their respective* distances from their existing and proposed Kasbahs, are marginally noted below in their proper place.

Names of Villages transferred.	Distance from Madanapalli Kasbah	Distance from Kadiri Kasbah
	Miles.	Miles.
Marikomadinne...	38	18
Vankawadi...	37	16
Pulikunta...	36	17
Danayanicheruvu...	38	14
Peddalalli...	30	17
Gutibylu...	31	13
Yedurodona	32	13
Kortikota...	30	14
Madanayanipolliem	28	16
Kockanti...	29	14
Agrar..	27	16
Chaduru..	26	17
Balasamudrum...	28	16

2. In paragraphs 5, 6 and 11 of his report, Mr. Molony proposed the transfer of seven villages from Kalkada to Madanapalli, and the transfer of six* villages from Royachoty to Kalkada. The seven villages to be made over to Madanapalli from Kalkada lie in the south-west corner of the former Talook, and on the removal of the Kasbah from Voilpad to Kalkada, in accordance with the sanction given by Government in their Proceedings of the 25th September 1860, No. 1,705, they will be placed at a great distance (over 20 miles except in two cases) from the approved Kasbah; whereas by their transfer to Madanapalli, they will have readier access to the Kasbah of that Talook, the distance in no case exceeding 14 miles. The six villages to be transferred from Royachoty to Kalkada are situated in the south-east extremity of the former Talook, and would appear by the map to be cut off from their present Kasbah by the Bapoonuddee river. In addition to these six villages, the Acting Collector supports, in his letter of the 21st August above recorded, a further proposition made by Mr. Molony to transfer to Kalkada six other villages which had escaped his attention originally belonging to the same cluster. Thus, it is proposed to transfer twelve villages in all from Royachoty to Kalkada. Mr. Molony suggests that, if it is thought the transfer of so many villages would reduce the former Talook too much, the two villages of Cheenapalli and Jillalamundah may be kept back; but this, in the opinion of the

* See also paragraph 2 of his letter enclosed in one from the Acting Collector, dated 21st August recorded above.

Names of Villages.	Distance from Present Kasbah of Kalkada.	Distance from Proposed Kasbah of Madanapalli.
	Miles.	Miles.
Cookadoorty	34	5½
Nimmanapalli	20	10½
Moostoor	22	10½
Goondapalli	24	7½
Tavalum	22½	11
Kondamarry	29	14
Agraharum	18	11

Names of Villages.	Distance from Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Distance from Proposed Kasbah Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Gurumitta	18½	7
Oostakotypentah	24	17
Jillalamandah	20	14½
Cheenapallai	15	7½
Nootanacalwah	17½	9
Marellah.....	20	9

Names of Villages.	Distance from Present Kasbah Royachoty.	Distance from Proposed Kasbah Kalkada.
	Miles.	Miles.
Surva Agraharum Muttampalli.	20	5
Rajapalli	19	4
Hissapali	19½	4½
Mullagootapalli.	16	4
Toovapalli	15	2
Shrotriem Patawaripalli ...	18	3

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proc: of Government in the Revenue Department.

(Here enters 5th October 1863 No. 1822)

Order thereon 21st October 1863 No. 1674

Referred to the Honorable the Judges of the High Court & to the Inspector General of Police, who will respectively report what changes should be made in the limits of the District Munsifs and of the Police ranges in consequence of the alterations referred to in the last para of the foregoing order.

To the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court
" Inspector General of Police
~~with Copy of Ltr Bd~~

dated 22

Proceedings of Govt. Revenue Dept. dated 19th Oct. 1863.

No. 1674

To Govt. dated 5th October 1863.

No. 2236

from Govt.

F. No. 2646 of 1863

F. No. 2500 of 1863

Government call[illegible] para 4 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, requesting that early orders may be issued for erecting the Talook Cutcherry required at Kallakurchi in the Tirukoyilur District, with the particulars therein mentioned and estimate for the same & also state the amount for additional office.

Rs. 1450

Judicial 1863. Department.

No. 1674

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 21st October 1863.
F.R. 2500

145-146.