

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	969	Press
166-168		~~Mis.~~
Dated	23.6.1862	#

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7/7

Read again letter from the Civil Paymaster, dated 16th May 1862, No. 605, recorded in Proceedings 22nd idem, No. 823.

Read also the following papers:—

No. 166. From Major W. J. WILSON, Commissioner of Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 28th May 1862.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Order of Government noted in the margin, calling on me to report upon the advisability of adopting some fixed scale by which the strength of Police Guards supplied to Public Departments, or Offices should be regulated.

Judicial Department, dated 22nd May 1862, No. 823.

2. It appears to me that as the strength of such Guards must depend upon the nature of the duties to be performed that it is not possible to lay down any uniform rule upon the subject, and I therefore suggest that whenever a Guard of Police may be called for, the number of men of whom it is to be composed should in the first place be left to the consideration of the Head of the Department requiring it, and of the Chief Officer of Police by whom it is to be supplied, and that when this has been determined on by these Officers, the proposal should be submitted for the Orders of Government.

3. The Order the Government of India regarding the proper manner of adjusting the charge for Police supplied as Watchmen to Public Offices, and which is quoted by the Officiating Civil Paymaster in his letter forming

Dated 4th November 1861, No. 2,158.

part of the Proceedings under reply, appears to have reference to Districts and Towns where the Chief Officer of Police has a sufficient number of spare men at his disposal whom he may furnish as Watchmen to other Departments; for it is manifest that the additional charge of 10 per cent. mentioned in paragraph 1 of the Order would be quite insufficient to meet the first cost of Clothing and Accoutrements.

4. The Police Force of this City is fully and constantly employed, and the number is barely sufficient for the adequate performance of the duties expected from it. Not only is there no relief, but when a man falls sick his place must be immediately supplied by a substitute and he loses his pay until he rejoins. Under these circumstances I am not in a position to comply with any requisition for Guards or Watchmen, and the only means of meeting such demands is by raising men specially for that purpose, which as a matter of course cannot be done without the sanction of Government.

No. 167. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Madras Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 2nd June 1862, No. 1,115.

General Department.

With reference to Government Order No. 823, dated 22nd May 1862, Judicial Department, I have the honor to report that it is not at present practicable to lay down a fixed scale for the strength of every Guard supplied by the Police to Departments.

2. The strength of Guards though varying according to local circumstances and differing in each District, is always limited by District Police Officers to that actually necessary for the duties to be performed and is carefully supervised by the Department paying for it.

No. 168.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd June 1862, No. 960.

The Civil Paymaster suggested that, for audit purposes, a fixed scale should be laid down of the strength of each Police Guard to be supplied to Departments or Offices.

2. The Inspector General of Mofussil Police reports that it is not at present practicable to lay down a fixed scale. The strength of the Guards varies according to times and places, and is apportioned to the actual duty by the District Police Officers, subject to the supervision of the Department employing the Guards.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
23rd June 1862.

3. The Commissioner of the Madras Police remarks that as the strength must depend on the nature of the duty, it is not possible to lay down any uniform rule. He suggests that whenever a Guard is required, the number of men of whom it is to be composed should be left to the consideration of the Head of the Department applying for it, and of the Chief Officer of Police, by whom it is to be supplied. Major Wilson's suggestion will be acted on in future.

4. As the charge for Police Watchmen is debited Departmentally, the duty of obtaining Government sanction will properly devolve on the Department employing the Guard,—and such sanction, when granted, will guide the Audit Office, Civil or Military, as the case may be. And it is in the Estimates of the Departments debited, that the necessary Budget provision must be made. The strength and cost of the guards furnished in each district have been fixed by the Inspector General of Police for the year 1862-63, in accordance with the Order of the 10th December last, No. 619, Financial. The grades, numbers of each grade, and the amounts are given in the Statement in page 26 of the Police Budget for the year, prepared in the Government Office, a copy of which has been furnished to the Civil Paymaster.

5. The strength of Police Guards furnished to Jails will be regulated by the rule in Proceedings 12th April 1861, No. 482, viz., at the rate of one Policeman to six convicts, or nearly so. The Civil Paymaster will state whether in connection with the annual estimates, this rule is not sufficiently precise for audit purposes.

6. In regard to the Police Guards furnished to watch the Courts, it is observed from Proceedings 3rd July 1861, No. 338, Financial, that, according to the statement therein recorded, one Head Constable, 21 Deputy Head Constables, and 218, or in all 240 Policemen, will constitute the "Court Guards." In the Budget statement referred to in paragraph 5 supra, these Guards are reduced by one Deputy Head Constable and 7 Constables. The Civil Paymaster will report whether these data are not ample for audit purposes.

7. Mr. Raynor's letter of the 16th ultimo will be referred to the Revenue Department, as to the strength of the "Collector's Guards" furnished by the Police. In regard to the Guard supplied to the Military Department, no remarks are necessary, as the charges are not subject to the audit of the Civil Paymaster.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Pro. 22nd Nov 1861 No. 129-130.
— 30th Jan 1862 No. 208-209.
— 22nd May — Oct. 823.

Pro. of May
Ind. 1862 Dist.

From
Major W. J. Wilson
Commissioner of Police
to
A. J. Arbuthnot Esq.
Acting Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George

Dated 28th
Recd. 28th May 1862.

No. 55.
No. 1060
Reports that the
strength of Police Guards
supplied to Public Depart-
ments must depend on the
nature of the service to be
performed.

To await report
of the Ins. Genl.
Serving Office.

c 16 June 1862

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again letter, 15 May
'62 N^o 605, from the Civil
Paymaster. ————— Recd. in Pro: 22nd idem
N^o 823.

Read also the following letters —
From the Commr. of Police — Inter 28th May '62 N^o 55.
" the Inspector Genl. ————— 2nd June '62 " 1115.
" of Police —————

Order — 23rd June 1862 No 969.

The Civil Paymaster
suggested that, for
audit purposes, a fixed
scale should be
laid down of the
strength of each Police
guard to be supplied
to Dpts. or Offices.

The Inspector Genl. of
Police reports that it
is not at present is
practicable

practicable to lay down
a fixed scale. The strength
of the guards varies ac-
cording to times and
places, & is apportioned
~~according~~ to the actual
duty by the District
Police Officers, subject
to the supervision of
the Dept. employing
the guards.

3. The Commissioner of
the Police remarks that
as the strength must
depend on the na-
ture of the duty, it
is not possible to lay
down any uniform
rule. He suggests that,
whenever

4. ~~Major Wilson's sug-~~
~~gestion~~

whenever a guard is required,
the number of men of whom
it is to be composed should
be left to the consideration of
the Head of the Department
applying for it, & of the Chief
Officer of Police, by whom it
is to be supplied. Major
Wilson's suggestion will be
acted on in future.

4. As the charge for
Police watchmen is debited
departmentally, the duty of
obtaining Govt. sanction
will properly devolve on the
Department employing the
guards - & such sanction,
when granted, will guide
the Audit office, Civil or
Military, as the case may be.
And it is in the Estimates
of the Departments debited,
that the necessary Budget
provision must be made.
The strength & cost of the
guards

Collectors Guards
Sail — do
Mily — do
Court — do

guards furnished in each district have been fixed by the Inspector Genl. of Police for the year '62 & '63, in accordance with the order of the 10th Dec^r last N^o 619.

5. The grades, numbers each grade, & the amounts are given in the Statement in page 26 of the Police Budget for the year, prepared in the Govt. Office, a copy of which has been furnished to the Civil Paymaster.

6. The strength of Police guards furnished to Sails will be regulated by the rule in Res. 12th April '61. N^o 482, viz., at the rate of one Policeman to six Convicts, or nearly so. The Civil Paymaster will state whether in connection with the annual estimates, this rule is not sufficiently precise for audit purposes.

6. In regard to the Police

Police guards furnished to watch the Courts, it is shown from Res. 3rd July '61 N^o 358. Genl: that, according to the statement therein recorded, one Head Constable, 21 Deputy Head Constables, & 216, or in all 240 Policemen, will constitute the "Court Guards." In the Budget Statement referred to in para 5 supra, these guards are reduced by one Deputy Head Constable & 7 Constables. The Civil Paymaster will report whether these data are not ample for audit purposes.

7. Mr. Rayner's letter of the 16th ultimo will be referred to the Res. Dept. as to the strength of the "Collectors' Guards" furnished by the Police. In regard to the guards supplied to the Mily. Dept., no remarks are necessary,

By order of the
Colonial Secy

10/9/69.

Proceedings of Court.

2/23/69 June 1869

10/27/69

According to directions

relative to the number of

guards to be furnished by

the Police & Police Officer

and back.

10/27/69

as the charges are not subject
to the audit of the Civil Pay-
master.

C/16

62 C 26 70

- The Civil Paymaster.
- Suspector Genl of Police.
- Commissioner of Police.
- Suspector Genl of Sails.
- Sudder Court.
- Revenue Department.
- Accountant General.

Desp 24th

C/16 June
R 20