M.R.O. 1—[illegible]—16-10-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 557 Press ~~Mis.~~

56-60

Dated 4.4.1862 19 .

11/12

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
4th April 1862.

Read the following papers :—

No. 56. From G. S. FORBES, Esq., Agent to Governor, Ganjam ; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Chetterpoor, 7th March 1862, No. 19.

Paragraph 1. In reply to the Orders of Government, No. 309, dated the 24th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the Treasury at Chicacole was placed under charge of the new Constabulary early last month.

2. The location of a Detachment at Chicacole had reference solely to the support of the armed reserve in Kimedy and arose thus: When the Regiment lately stationed at Chicacole was about to be withdrawn, Major General Whitlock addressed to me by Telegram the enquiry "do you want a Detachment at Chicacole?" to which I replied in the affirmative. I subsequently learnt that a new system of debiting charges for Military Detachments was in force, and that it was made a point of importance to avoid these Detachments as much as possible. I then wrote to the Major General stating that I thought under these circumstances the Detachment had better be withdrawn, Troops being sent if ever they should be required direct from Vizianagrum to Kimedy.

3. I enclose General Whitlock's answer and my reply.

From Major General Sir G. WHITLOCK, K.C.B., Commanding Northern Division; to G. S. FORBES, Esq., Agent to the Governor, Ganjam, dated Waltair, 18th February 1862, No. 77.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th instant.

I complied with your request without delay in sending Troops to Chicacole, though I considered the movement a very useless and expensive one to Government, considering Military aid could have been easily furnished to Kimedy from Vizianagrum.

The State in consequence of your call for Troops has been put to a double expense for batta. I had no European Officer to leave with the Company of the 31st L. I., and therefore had to send for the Detachment to Vizianagrum, which I beg to add I have now directed to return to Regimental Head Quarters.

I further beg to add that I shall feel much obliged if you would give me any information regarding the two Companies of the 5th Regiment as to whether there is any probability of their services being required in the field, and as to the period of their detention from Regimental Head Quarters.

From G. S. FORBES, Esq., Agent to the Governor, Ganjam; to Major General Sir G. WHITLOCK, K.C.B., Commanding Northern Division, Vizagapatam, dated Chetterpore, 28th February 1862, No. 29.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter, dated the 18th instant, No. 77.

You are pleased to comment on my proposal to locate a Detachment at Chicacole in order to support the Sibbundy reserve in Kimedy as useless and expensive to Government. I might dispute the authority on which these remarks are addressed to me, but I will merely observe that when you communicated with me by Telegram desiring to know whether I wished a Detachment retained at Chicacole, Troops were already stationed there and I could not possibly be aware that it would be necessary to send a Detachment from Vizianagrum. Had you alluded to any difficulty or expense as consequent on the arrangement, I should have readily assented to Troops being sent direct from Vizianagrum to Kimedy in case of need.

As regards the 2 Companies of the 5th, temporarily advanced to Russellcondah, I may state for your information that disturbances were reported in the Khond Malias of such a character and extent that I thought it necessary to enter the Hills myself with the whole of the available Sibbundy levy, and as apprehensions were felt at Russellcondah and in the neighbourhood of aggression by the Khonds, I determined to advance 2 Companies of the 5th to that place during

the absence of the Sibbundy force or to support them if necessary. After a week's absence in the Hills, I returned to Russellcondah and sent the Detachment to Head Quarters.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Agent to the Governor.

No. 57. From F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Acting Accountant General; to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 10th March 1862, No. 335.

Budget and Finance Department.

With reference to the Order of Government, dated the 24th ultimo, No. 309, paragraph 2, I have the honor to state that the charge for guarding the Treasury at Chicacole by a body of Military men, can be met out of the Savings in the sanctioned Budget for 1861-62 under F. IV Police,—vide Order of Government in the Financial Department, dated 25th November last, No. 580.

Judicial Department.

2. In the event of any similar charge being incurred in 1862-63, it can be met from the increased provision made by Government in the Budget Estimate for that year, under the head of Police, as pointed out in my letter to Mr. Sim, dated the 11th ultimo, No. 314, a printed copy of which is enclosed, with the Order of Government thereon, under date the 20th idem, No. 376.

No. 58. Proceedings of Government in the Military Department, dated 7th March 1862, No. 841.

Communicating copy of a letter from the Quarter Master General of the Army intimating that the Detachment 37th Grenadiers detached to Chicacole had been directed to join Regimental Head Quarters, at Vizianagrum. (Vide Proceedings, Military Department, dated 7th March 1862, Nos. 94 and 95.)

No. 59. Telegram from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Madras Police; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 8th March 1862.

8th 16-30.—The charges for Military Treasure Guard and Detachment at Chicacole and for moving 2 Companies to Russelcondah.—Though not ordered in communication with me—might be charged to Police Budget, there will be a surplus in Ganjam District.

No. 60. ORDER THEREON, 4th April 1862, No. 557.

From the first of the above letters it seems that the Military have been withdrawn both from guarding the Treasury at Chicacole, and from occupying that station. No further orders are therefore necessary.

2. The Controller of Military Finance will furnish an account of the total expense of these Detachments calculated at the highest of the rates referred to in his letter of the 27th February last. Reference it is observed has been made to the Government of India for their instructions as to the principle on which the charge should be made—but as the answer may not be received until after the close of the present official year, and as the charge, whatever it may be, must be defrayed from the Budget of the current year, it will be best that the maximum demand that can be made on that account should be debited to the Civil Department at once, subject to adjustment hereafter should there have been any over-charge.

3. The Government sanction the cost of the detachments being charged against the savings in the Budget under the head of Police, as suggested by the Accountant General and Inspector General of Police.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following leter from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Acting Accountant General, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 11th February 1862, No. 314:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to enclose Extract* from the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Financial Department, dated 14th December last, No. 14,622, and to state that the Controller of Military Finance was, under date the 16th ultimo, requested to inform this Office what amount should be provided in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1862-63, on account of the Military guard kept up for the Revenue Treasury at Chicacole, and alluded to in the above Proceedings. In his reply dated the 1st instant, but not received by me until the 10th idem, the Controller states that a provision of Rupees 2,750 will be necessary for that purpose. The Section of the Budget Estimate for 1862-63, B. I. Land Revenue including Sayer and Abkári was closed on the 8th instant, and forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Government for despatch to Calcutta by the Mail Steamer, which was expected here from Suez on the following day, and in the absence of information from the Controller, a sum of Rupees 750 was provided to meet the cost of the Military guard in question. This sum is less by Rupees 2,000, the amount estimated by Colonel McGoun, and I request you will be good enough to inform me whether the additional provision of 2,000 Rupees is necessary, to enable me to communicate with the Financial Secretary to the Government of India, on the subject.

* To be returned.

2. It is probable that the new Police will be introduced into the Ganjam District early in the ensuing official year, and that the Military guard will thus be relieved and the charge for guarding the Treasury considerably reduced. I may also observe that the amount estimated by the Inspector General of Police on account of Constabulary to be supplied to the Revenue Department was Rupees 1,25,080. This amount was increased by Government to Rupees 1,32,560 by adding 10† per cent. for contingencies, if to this Rupees 750 be added, the amount provided by this Office, as already stated, the excess provision would be Rupees 8,230, and under these circumstances it may perhaps be unnecessary to trouble the Government of India on the subject; the excess, if any, being met from the increased provision already made by Government.

† Vide Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 28th January 1862, No. 128, paragraph 10.

	Rs.	Rs.
Amount provided by Government	1,32,560	
Do. do. by this Office.....	750	
		1,33,310
Amount provided by the Inspector General of Police.....		1,25,080
Excess.....		8,230

Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India, in the Financial Department, dated 14th December 1861, No. 14,622.

Extract from the Proceedings of His Excellency the Governor General in Council, in the Military Department, No. 860, under date the 21st November 1861.

From Colonel G. BALFOUR, C. B., Chief of the Military Finance Department, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, No. 966A, dated Calcutta, 4th October 1861.

SIR,

1. In reference to my letter, No. 809A, dated 17th September 1861, on the incomplete manner in which the reports from Madras, connected with the relief of the Military by the Police, were submitted to Government, I beg to refer you to the Proceedings of the Madras Government, No. 3,175, dated 11th September 1861, showing that the Military guard is still kept up for the Civil Treasury at Chicacole, on account of the bad building in which the Treasure is kept, and also for the few number of Police, and the doubts about their honesty.

2. Now I beg to represent that there are three of the most common reasons assigned by the Civil authorities for maintaining the Military; and the only remedy is, in my opinion, to charge the Civil Budget with the cost of the guard, calculated at Rupees 250 (two hundred and fifty) per man for three Reliefs.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Financial Department, for consideration and orders.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT, FORT WILLIAM, THE 14TH DECEMBER 1861.

RESOLUTION.

His Excellency the Governor General in Council is pleased to affirm the principle contained in the 2nd paragraph of Colonel Balfour's letter, No. 936A, dated the 4th October 1861, with the remark that where one Department calls on another to do its own proper work it should be charged in account with the cost. The rule is clearly laid down in the new Police regulations, that the ordinary guard of Civil Treasuries is to be done by Police as a Civil charge, and Military should only be called in when there are special circumstances where it becomes part of the proper duty of the Armed Force, and as such is not charged for.

His Excellency in Council desires that Colonel Balfour be requested to state how many men are required to keep up the guard in question and their cost.

A copy to Military Department.
" to the Government of Madras.
" to Auditor General of India.
" to the Military Finance Department.
" to the Civil Pay Master, Madras.
" to the Deputy Auditor and Accountant General, Madras.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) C. H. LUSHINGTON,
Secretary to the Government of India.

ORDER THEREON, 20th February 1862, No. 376.

No further provision need under the circumstances be made in the Estimates of 1862-63. The Chicacole Treasury will be transferred to the Táluq Treasury as soon as the building in course of construction is completed which will be on the 1st proximo.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant General.
" Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following papers—

Pros: 7th Mar.? 62 No. 841, Mily. Dept.

Telegram from
the Inspector
Genl. of Police — Inter 8th Mar.? 62 No. 89

Letter from the
Acct. Genl. ——— 10th do ——— 335

Order—

Encl 1862 No. 419

25/12/62

Page 162
No. 479

Rec. 24th Feb. 62 Oct. 309.

Ind.
1862 Depart.

No. Proc. April

From. Dio Sy. Act. Comb.

To. Dio Chief Secty to Government

Dublin 7th March 1862

Rec. 11th March 1862

No. 479 No. 335

Enclosing Ord. States that the Mary in guarding the Treasury at Chicacole can be met out of the Savings in the Sanctioned Budget for 1861. 62. under H. Police

Enclosed Ord.

Enclosed 5/7
1826.

Written Order.

As the detachments have been withdrawn from Chicacole, the expenses, even after the close of the current official year, can be adjusted against the savings from the Budget '61-62 — as the currency of the grant will extend to the end of the next official year.

Proposed to be substituted for para 3 in Order 4° Secl: No: 557.

3. The Govt. sanction the cost of the detachments being charged against the savings in the Budget under the head of Police, as suggested by the Secl: Genl. & Inspector Genl. of Police.

Approved
W. Wd
21 V.

April 5th

My dear Pycroft.

I do not quite understand what report is referred to in p. 3. of the G. O. of Apl. 1862. N° 557. in answer to your quote in these my Proceedings my letter of the 10th March N° 335 which was intended to give the report required from me in the G. O. of 24th Dec.

anything further necessary

Yours truly
F. Leichmann

Soon

There seems a
mistake in 4.
How did it occur.
Unless it can be
explained the
2nd part of 4 to

?

4. April 1557
should be
omitted

J.P.

Judicial Department

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

~~Memorandum~~

From the Agent in Ganjam. Dated 7th March 1862 No 79

From the Acg. Accountt Genl " Dated 10 March 1862 No 335

" Mily Deptt " 7 " " 821

Telegram from Sp of Police " 8 " " 89

Order thereon 4th April 1862 No 557

There seems to be no occasion for the middle portion with the questions of the Military guard at Chicacole - with reference to the question of the expenses incurred by the movement of the 2 companies that would have been a purely military movement called for entirely by the necessity of protecting the Treasury. I must however protest against this petty system of dealing with public questions or matters of pecuniary adjustment between Depts - Money be, and ought to be, a very dearest thing that one Dept should not be saddled with the expenses of another - but there are hundreds of occasions, of which the present is but a type, when it becomes impossible to say that all or any one item is Military, all or another Civil - This result

From the Agent above letters it appears that the Military have been withdrawn from Chicacole, and some according that station.

2. The further orders are therefore necessary. The Govt. see no reason to object to the proceedings of the Agent, as explained in the enclosures to the letter.

3 2 The Controller of Military Finance will furnish an account of the total expense of these detachments calculated at the highest

of those endeavours upon the part of the Controller of Publick Finances to charge the Calcutta & other Presidency Establishments with the cost of those Troops employed & remaining in the Provinces which would not be productive of any saving to the Government but would generate a long correspondence & heavy Account-current — that would not satisfy the conduct of the Agent for Fortifications — the communication between the Commander in Chief himself should be of a more confidential character. And it has been thought that some trouble which has arisen would have been obviated, especially necessary at this moment, if such an opinion of the Commander in Chief on this subject should be obtained. Mr Forbes should be directed to write to this

JP

Approved in Council
That Mr Secretary apply to the Court of Directors and Recommend Russell consideration

JP

of the rates referred to in his letter of the 27th Feby last. Reference it is observed has been made to the Govt of India for their instructions as to the principle on which the charge should be made — but as the answer may not be received until after the close of the present official year, and as the charge, whatever it may be, must be defrayed from the budget of the Current year, it will be best that the maximum demand that can be made on that account should be debited to the Civil Department at once — subject to adjustment hereafter should there have been any over charge.

The Accountant Genl has not yet reported — as requested by

3. The Govt sanction the Cost of the detachments being charged against the Savings in the Budget under the head of Police, as suggested by the Accountant Genl and Inspector General of Police.

by Govt Order 24th Feby last No 309 how the above demand is to be met from this year's Civil Budget. The Inspector Genl of Police has by his Telegram of the 8th instant suggested that the charge might be met from the Police Budget for the Bauglipur District in which there will be a saving —

JP

We doubt that the reduction of mounted Police would be proposed as the charge would not be diminished. It seems to us that the charge on this account is not properly debitable to the Civil Department. The two companies were called out not to maintain any duty appertaining to the Police — but to act as a reserve and support to the Police which those last were engaged in putting down disturbances in the Hills. That appears to us essentially a Military Service and one for which the Civil Power cannot be called upon. Indeed we dont see that the Military authorities can be relieved from the charge of the Troops employed in this service — not unless it is competent for them to question the necessity of the calls made upon them by the Civil Authorities —

To the Agent in Bengal
" Controller of Mr Finance
" Asst Genl
" Inspr Genl of Police
" Mily Depart

Despatch &c.
Remark Copies Despatch &c.

DM Leod

Proceedings
Judicial 1862 Dept.

No. 557.

Proceedings of the
Madras Govt.

Dated 4th April 1862

Ext. 707.

Call on the Controller of the Finance to furnish an account of the total expenditure of the Detachment of the Military which was guarding the Treasury at Chittoor and occupying that station calculated at the maximum rate – Request the Accountant General to report the source from which that Expense can be defrayed –

5660

Copy Land
Rev.