M.R. O. 1—39,000—16-10-26.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 413-14 Press ~~Mis.~~

99-101

Dated 12.3.1862 ~~19~~ .

a/s

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
12th March 1862.

No. 99. Read the following letter from Lieutenant Colonel T. McGOUN, Controller of Military Finance; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 27th February 1862, D.

With reference to the 2nd paragraph of the Order of Government, No. 309, of the 24th February 1862, requiring me to submit a Statement of the monthly cost of a Company of Native Infantry detached to Chicacole, and anticipating that similar Statements will hereafter be called for, I deem it necessary before complying with the requisition, to solicit instructions on the subject.

2. It appears to be desirable that the term "cost" should be clearly understood, and defined; it may mean the pay, allowances and expenses, incidental to the location of the Company at Chicacole; or it may mean the cost of each man on the aggregate of all Regimental charges, inclusive of the European Officer's pay and allowances, clothing, &c., or it may mean the cost on the aggregate of all Army charges in addition.

3. On the last, Colonel Balfour has apparently based his calculation that the annual cost of each Sepoy was Rupees 250 or Rupees 20-13-4 per mensem; but the question arises whether the Civil department should be charged with more than the actual *monthly Pay* of the Guard, with incidental expenses? When the Moffussil Police are similarly employed by the Military Authorities, their Pay with 10 per cent. for contingencies, is considered the money equivalent, and it would seem equitable that a similar rule should prevail with regard to the Native Troops under similar circumstances, provided always the Native Troops can be spared, and without injury to their health, or discipline.

Notification, Government of India, No. 2,005, 4th November 1861, Fort Saint George Gazette, 22nd November 1861, Page 844.

4. As it is desirable that the principle on which the "cost" is to be charged, should be authoritatively determined, may I request your having the goodness to submit the question for the consideration and Orders of Government.

No. 100. ORDER THEREON, 12th March 1862, No. 413.

In their Financial Resolution of the 14th December last, the Government of India affirmed the principle that the Civil Budget should be charged with the cost of the Military Guard employed on Civil duties, and requested Colonel Balfour to state how many men were required for the Military Guard over the Civil Treasury at Chicacole and their cost.

2. The question of the cost of Military Guards employed on Civil duties is a general one, and requires to be authoritatively decided. His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves accordingly to forward the Controller's letter, and the previous correspondence to the Government of India, and to solicit their instructions as to the principle on which such cost is to be calculated.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 101. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated Fort Saint George, 12th March 1862, No. 414.

In their Financial Resolution of the 14th December last, the Government of India affirmed the principle that the Civil Budget should be charged with the cost of the Military Guard employed on Civil duties, and requested Colonel Balfour to state how many men were required for the Military Guard over the Civil Treasury at Chicacole, and their cost.

2. The Military Guard was relieved by the Constabulary on the 11th ultimo, but the Commander-in-Chief has brought it to notice that, on the requisition of the Agent in Ganjam, the Officer Commanding the Northern Division had detached to Chicacole a full Company of Native Infantry under a European Officer to take up the Station duties on the departure of the 31st Regiment L. I.

the Civil Treasury at

Judicial
1862 Department
Prot. of March

From,
The Comr. of Hon. Finance

To,
The Chief Secretary
to Government

Dated 27th February/62
Recd 28th
No. 400.

Solicits certain instructions, relative to the meaning of the term Cost, prior to submitting a Statement of the Monthly Cost of a Company &c. &c. Noted attached to Chicacole - as required by Govt order No. 309 of 22nd Instant.

With an Order.

Pro. 24th Feb. 1862 Oct. 309.

99 @ 101

decided. 2d. that Government in resolves accordingly to forward the Controller's letter & the enclosures to the Govt. of India, & to solicit their instructions as to the principle on which such cost is to be calculated.

To Controller of Civil Finance, Fort St. George.
" Civil Govt. Paymaster
" Chief Engr. & Surveyor Genl. &c. &c. &c.

Copy forwarded for information & guidance, with reference to Govt. Order No. 309 of 22nd Instant.

Chelmsford
R 10 "

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
12th March 1862.

3. The Government having, in the Military Department, decided that the entire cost of the Detachment, as well as all extra charges incurred in connection with it, should be debited to the Civil Budget—the Controller of Military Finance was requested in this Department to submit a Statement, showing the monthly cost of the Company of the 37th N. I. detatched to Chicacole.

Proceedings of Government, 24th February 1862, No. 309.

4. Upon this the Controller has raised the question as to the meaning of the term "cost," whether it is to be understood as the pay, allowances, and expenses incidental to the location of the Company at Chicacole—or as the cost of each man on the aggregate of all Regimental charges, inclusive of the European Officer's Pay and Allowances, Clothing, &c., or the cost on the aggregate of all Army charges in addition.

5. I am directed to forward a copy of Colonel McGoun's letter, 27th ultimo, and as the question of the mode of adjusting the charge for Military Guards furnished for Civil duties is a general one, to solicit an authoritative decision by the Government of India upon it.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

No. 413 — 12th March 1862.

In their Financial Resolutions of the 14th Decr. last, the Govt. of India affirmed the principle that the Civil Budget should be charged with the cost of the Mily. guards employed on Civil duties — & requested Col. Balfour to state how many men were required for the Mily. guard over the Civil Treasury at Chicacole & their cost.

2. The question of the cost of Mily. guards employed on Civil duties is a general one, & requires to be authoritatively decided

Dept. 13th

Judicial. 1 4

No. 414.

The Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Home Dept.

Sir -

In their Financial Resolution of the 14th Decemr. last, the Govt. of India affirmed the principle that the Civil Budget should be charged with the cost of the Mily. guards employed on civil duties - & requested Col. Bal. Lows to state how many men were required for the Mily. guards over the Civil Treasury at

Chicacole, & their cost.

2. The Mily. guard was relieved by the Constabulary on the 11th ulto., but the Commander-in-Chief has brought it to notice that, on the requisition of the Agent in Ganjam, the officer Commanding the Northern Division had detached to Chicacole a full Company of Native Infantry under a European

European Officer to take up the Station duties on the departure of the 31st Regt., L. I.

3. The Govt. having in the Mily. Dept. decided that the entire cost of the Detachment, as well as all extra charges incurred in connection with it, should be debited to the Civil Budget — the Controller of Military Finance was requested+ to submit a statement, showing the monthly

Pro. of Govt. 26th Febry 1862 No. 809
+ in this Dept.

monthly cost of the Company of the 37th N. I. detached to Chicacole.

4. Upon this the Controller has raised the question as to the meaning of the term "cost" — whether as the pay, allowances & expenses incidental to the location of the Company at Chicacole — or as the cost of each man on the aggregate of all Regimental charges, inclusive of the European Officers Pay and

and allowances, Clothing, &c., or the Cost on the aggregate of all Army charges in addition.

5. I am directed to forward a copy of Col. Malcom's letter, 27th Ulto., & as the question of the mode of adjusting the charge for Militia guards furnished for Civil duties is a general one, to solicit an authoritative decision by the Govt. of India upon it.

Fort Saint George,
12th March 1862.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. P. Cross

Pros. & Branch

Judicial 1862 Dept.

No. 414.

To the Secy. to the
Govt. of India.
Home Dept.

Dd/ 12th March 1862
Rd. 20 56.

Soliciting a decision from the Govt of India with regard to the mode of adjusting the charge for Military Guards furnished for Civil duties.

99 10½